## Principles of Constitutional Design

Donald S. Lutz

CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE www.cambridge.org/9780521857789

of ebbing that is quite natural. The overall trend has been steadily, if not inevitably, upward. A more interesting question is why the trend has continued upward even during periods much less auspicious for democracy than is the case today. Certainly the growth and spread of economic wealth has been an important factor, as has the continuous pressure from existing democracies to spread and protect the idea of democracy. Nor can we discount the impact on the spread of democratic ideas of growing international trade, the proliferation of international organizations, and other forms of cross-national exchange strongly aided by the spread of inexpensive mass communication technology. Still, as was suggested in Chapter 1, the diffusion of written constitutions may serve as both a surrogate for all of these factors as well as an independent variable.

## **Concluding Remarks**

From the very beginning, the existence of a written constitution did not coincide with the presence of democracy. In 1789 the United States was still a developing nation both economically and politically. Some have suggested that in the absence of full adult suffrage the United States did not become a democracy until well into the nineteenth century. Robert Dahl has plumped for the 1960s as the beginning of true democracy in the United States. Certainly this has to be wrong. Constitutional democracy does not rest on a single variable, or on the perfect achievement of an ideal. Furthermore, as has been amply demonstrated, as democracies develop more fully, they actually move away from pure democracy institutionally by increasing the separation of powers as the level of popular control increases. Indeed, many today are loath to term countries with full suffrage but insecure rights as anything but "hollow democracies" or "pseudo-democracies." For this reason, the preference in this analysis has been to refer to constitutional republics rather than democracies as the long-term goal. "Democracy" as an ideal implies the absence of individual and minority rights to thwart majority rule, and the use of constitutions implies a democracy, and a majority, that is not free to do anything it wants whenever it wants. The actual choices made by citizens of "democracies," such as increasing the separation of powers to slow down majority rule and the use of rights to thwart majorities in certain policy areas, prove that "democracy" per se is not what people outside of the academy really want. What democratically inclined people want is popular sovereignty rather than simple popular control.

Using the definition of a constitutional republic from Chapter 1, the United States became one in 1800 with the peaceful transfer of power from one party to another. Curve B in Figure 1.1 represents the curve of best fit using this definition. Other definitions are available. For example, if we use Dahl's definition, curve B would begin someplace well into the twentieth century and rise even more rapidly to a current count of more than one hundred constitutional republics. This curve would track curve A even more closely with a diminishing average time between the use of a written constitution and the emergence of a constitutional republic. Among other things, this would imply a stronger future for democracy than is probably the case. In fact, democracy is a difficult system to implement and maintain, and democratization is not something limited to new nations from the Third World. In a sense, curve B can be viewed as a learning curve that represents the struggle for more peoples to understand what democracy implies and requires, and to implement effective institutions to achieve what has been learned. The people of the United States are still in the process of learning, and to require that they understood in 1820 what they know now is essentially anachronistic. Any reasonably stable democracy will tend toward more effective democracy, and it is argued here that democracy will thus tend toward what is more properly termed a constitutional republic with at least de facto popular sovereignty if not de jure.

Curve A is misleading in one respect. It seems to imply a continuing rapid increase in the number of countries with a written constitution. In fact, there are fewer than half a dozen countries left without a written constitution. As of about 1998 the number has abruptly stopped growing and should be represented by a horizontal line. Curve B should continue to rise gradually, but the prospects for the curve to continue rising at the rate exhibited since 1945 are dim. Perhaps more important, the percentage of the world's population living in a constitutional republic may not increase at all until countries like Russia, China, or Indonesia make the definitive move to such a political form. Most constitutional republics are very small, and some of the medium-sized countries like Venezuela, Mexico, Nigeria, and Turkey tend to slip in and out of the category.

Existing constitutional republics will continue to use economic trade and capital investment as tools for helping in the spread of democracy. There is a very strong correlation between average per capita income and the presence of stable democracy, so this is not an unimportant consideration when predicting future diffusion. Still, it is not entirely clear whether democracy follows wealth or wealth follows democracy. Saudi Arabia and Iraq should be democracies using the per capita income predictor, and many or most of the small democracies should not. Rather than representing the diffusion of wealth, the curves in Figure 1.1 may represent the diffusion of an idea. The United States was well down the road to democratization at a time when most European nations were much wealthier but governed by monarchies and autocracies. Twice during the twentieth century the United States has had to assist European democracies against nondemocratic invaders who were anything but poor, and then engage in a fifty-year Cold War to preserve the fruits of the second intervention. The statistical correlation between average per capita wealth and democracy is so recent as to approximate a historical accident when one takes the long view.

Figure 1.1 implies another hypothesis that probably cannot be tested empirically in any satisfactory way with the statistical techniques and small number of examples currently available to us. This hypothesis is that an idea, or set of ideas, has taken hold and represents a general evolutionary trend. Chapter 2 laid out an evolutionary framework for viewing human history. It was suggested that at some point humans began to evolve culturally at a much more rapid rate than nature does genetically. The eventual result was species dominance over the rest of nature, and the eventual ability to organize larger and larger numbers of people under government. Certain ideas took hold that resulted eventually in the spread of political organization to most members of the species, much as did the control of fire, agriculture, and the mining and working of metals. At different times in human history, similar clan organizations spread over much of the Earth, as then did military kingships, empires, and eventually the nation-state. If one takes the long evolutionary perspective, we find that technical innovations in social, economic, and political organization spread and competed with each other. Over time, politically organized peoples overcame and largely extinguished less competitive forms of organization. There were a few democracies thousands of years ago, as well as peoples with what amounted to constitutionalism. Four hundred years ago, the Iroquois, for example, certainly had a constitutional system, if not a democracy, in the midst of less fully articulated political systems, and the advantage this gave them has been clearly demonstrated. Although it was not a historical necessity that democratic ideas should be linked to the idea of a constitution, even random processes may have inevitably produced the combination.

We may be living in an era when the combination of the two political technologies of democracy and constitutionalism is leading to another evolution in human organization that has a competitive advantage. This is not to suggest the superiority of some peoples over others, but instead to suggest that all humans tend to prefer political organization that better secures for them the liberty, self-preservation, sociability, and beneficial innovation that led them to invent government to begin with. In this view, the political forms we now have are not the end of history. Instead, we as a species are still in the midst of learning, improving, and preserving that which serves us better. Other, better forms of organization lie in the future, and other curves of diffusion as well. At least we can hope. The hope rests on a view of humans as fundamentally "rational" in the sense of wanting more of what we value as humans, as well as seeking appropriate and effective means for achieving this goal.

accountability, 35, 219, 220	analysis
Acts and Orders (Rhode Island, 1647),	cost-benefit, 219
76	levels of, 238-243
Adams, Willi Paul, 149	value, 219
affirmative action, 19	Angola, 3
Afghanistan, 3	Antieu, Chester James, 178
Africa, 10	Antigua and Barbuda, 132
Albania, 3	arēte, 197
Algeria, 3	Argentina, 3, 6, 130, 175
Althusius, Johannes, 36, 65, 72, 75, 76,	Arianism, 42
77, 80–84, 86, 183	aristocracy, 64, 84
amendment, 14, 98	classical Greece, 189
amendment process, 1, 68, 110, 120, 122,	aristocracy (Aristotle), 195
146–182, 184, 226. See also	aristoi, 36, 195
constitution, ways to modify,	Aristotle, 8, 13, 16, 19, 36–37, 38, 40,
amendment	64, 84, 86, 112, 114, 183, 187,
degree of difficulty, 147, 151, 155, 165,	191, 193-206, 209, 212, 214, 243
166, 171, 176, 180, 182, 222,	empiricism, 20
223	realism, 20, 203
amendment rate, 154–182, 222, 223	Armenia, 3
amendment strategies, 174, 176, 177. See	Arnhart, Larry, 28
also constitution, ways to modify,	Asia, 2
amendment	Asian model, 4
intervening election, 174	Athenian stranger, 191
legislative complexity, 175	Athens, 134, 185, 194
legislative supremacy, 174	Augustine, Saint, 45
required referendum, 176	Australia, 6, 17, 130, 175, 178
amendment, ways to initiate	amendment process, 125
constitutional commission, 164	parliamentary system, 125
constitutional convention, 164	Austria, 6, 7, 131, 174, 175
legislative proposal, 164, 165-169	Austro-Hungarian Empire, 115
popular initiative, 164-165	authoritarianism, 2

authority, 10, 33-35	citizenship, 14, 15, 16, 37, 38, 114, 192,
Azerbaijan, 3	193, 196, 198, 201, 204
	city, ideal (Plato), 187, 190
Bahamas, 132	civic education, 2
balance, 193	civil religion, 92, 204, 211
Barbados, 132	civil society, 2, 20, 21, 26, 86, 87, 88, 90,
behavior, coordinated, 28-30, 32, 41, 53,	92, 150
85, 96, 106	class, 192, 206
Belgium, 6, 7, 131, 175	class (Aristotle), 195
Belize, 132	cleavages, 12
Bellarmine, Robert Cardinal, 72, 75	coercion, 20, 93, 116
Benin, 131	cohesion model, 115
Beza, Theodore, 74	Cold War, 2, 248
bicameralism. See legislature, bicameral	Colombia, 3, 6, 130, 133, 175
bill of rights, 12, 16, 17, 110, 116	comitia centuriata, 39
Blackstone, Sir William, 72, 184	comitia curiata, 39
Bodin, Jean, 21, 35, 36, 42, 43-56, 58-66,	comitia tributa, 39
69, 70, 72, 73, 74, 76, 77, 80, 82,	commander in chief, 121
83, 86, 87, 90, 97, 112, 137, 140,	commercial society, 22
184, 199, 205	common good, 23, 24, 37, 40, 48, 51, 73,
Bolivia, 6, 7, 131	87, 114, 143, 151, 189, 195, 196,
Bosnia, 3, 31	202, 219, 234
Botswana, 6, 131, 174, 176	common good model, 117
Brazil, 3, 6, 8, 130, 133, 175	common law, 27, 72
British colonies in North America, 75, 83,	commonweale, 51-52, 54, 64
85, 124	commonwealth, 51, 58, 80, 83, 87
brute fact, 26, 27–29, 32, 70, 213	commonwealth model, 40
Buchanan, James, 99, 148, 181	communism, 2
Bulgaria, 3	communitarian, 114
Bullinger, Heinrich, 80, 84	community, 88, 91, 189
Burma, 3	compact. See social compact
	concilium plebis, 39
cabinet, 121	Concordat of Worms, 43
California, 164	confederation, 41, 64
Cambodia, 3	conflict, 12, 30
Canada, 6, 12, 17, 130	Congo, 3
Carey, John M., 149	consensual model, 11
censorship, 92	consensus, 81
change	consent, 13, 14, 24, 32, 34, 55, 57, 58, 68,
economic, 21	70, 71, 81, 84, 85, 91, 111–112,
social, 21	146, 149, 150, 151, 184, 185
Charlemagne, 43	Constant, Benjamin, 36, 184
Chaucer, Geoffrey, 46	Constantine, 42
Chechen, 3	constituency, 101, 102, 121, 141, 143,
checks and balances, 37, 121-122,	229, 230, 233, 235, 236, 239,
141	242
Chile, 3, 6, 130, 175	constitution, 74, 98, 105, 148, 185, 186,
China, 247	188, 189, 195, 196, 199, 219,
Christianity, 36	244. See also regime of laws
Cicero, 36, 38, 183, 188	code-of-law form, 157, 176, 179, 220
citizen, 14, 36, 52–54, 58, 60, 64	covenant form, 220

duration, 156, 162, 1/1, 160, 223,	amendment process, 1/1-182
226	small, 7, 133, 134, 141, 143, 230
elements	constitutional republic model, 74, 76, 83
culture, 16, 18, 21, 147	84, 85, 94
justice, 16, 17, 18, 21, 147	constitutionalism, 9, 13, 17, 18, 26, 37,
power, 16, 17, 19, 21, 147	40, 61, 86, 95, 98, 111, 112, 140,
rule of law, 19	147, 183, 187, 190, 191, 215, 221
framework form, 176, 220, 223	243, 249
as higher law, 150, 151, 152, 153, 156,	corruption, 3, 21
174, 177, 179	Costa Rica, 6, 7, 131, 175
length, 155, 157-161, 171, 176, 180,	costs, 219
182, 223, 226	decision, 99, 181, 235, 238, 242
parliamentary form, 220	external, 99, 181, 235, 239
policy content, 207	opportunity, 235
preamble, 16	council of elders (Roman senate), 39
presidential form, 220	Council of Nicaea, 42
rate of amendment. See amendment	court
rate	constitutional, 11, 12, 18
ratification process, 150, 151, 185, 226	independent, 118, 123, 125
reasons to modify, 153-154	national, 12, 126
ways to modify, 220	supreme, 11, 12, 18, 109, 110, 226
alteration, 152, 153	covenant, 42, 50, 54, 56, 57, 60, 61, 65,
alteration by judicial interpretation,	68, 76, 77–80, 82–85, 90, 98
148, 156, 162, 178, 224	Cuba, 7
alteration by legislative revision,	cube root rule,102,105,227,229,232,233
148, 157	
amendment, 146, 148, 152, 169,	cultural mores, 15-16, 17-18
174. See also amendment process;	culture, 15–18, 29, 187, 215, 224. See
amendment strategies;	also cultural mores; subculture
amendment, ways to initiate	constitutional, 14
replacement, 146, 148, 154, 156, 182	definition, 16
written, 4, 9, 17, 105, 149, 152, 222,	dominant, 18
232, 245, 246	national, 14
constitutional democracy, 1–5, 7, 8, 11,	political, 10, 20, 22, 189, 205, 218
13, 14, 17, 19, 22, 67, 74, 84, 90,	curve
93, 113, 246	cube root, 221, 228–237, 240
diffusion, 5, 9, 245–248	hyperbolic, 221, 222
performance criteria, 5, 6	Cyprus, 132
constitutional democracy model, 74, 76,	Czech Republic, 7, 131
83, 84, 90	Sheen respublie, /, 131
constitutional design principles, 218–220	Dahl, Robert, 34, 246
constitutional monarchy, 113	decision-making process, 17, 220
constitutional oligarchy, 113	Declaration of Independence, 87
constitutional order, 26, 192, 203, 205	Delaware, 169
constitutional pedigree, 19, 183	delay, institutions of, 96, 142, 143
constitutional principles, 21	delegate model, 117
diffusion, 152	delegate theory. See representation,
constitutional republic, 63, 67, 74, 84,	delegate delegate
96, 101, 102, 107, 110, 113, 129,	deliberative process, 11, 93, 150–151,
	153, 174–176, 220
140, 141, 144, 145, 184, 193,	democracies, unconsolidated, 133
	acinociacios, uniconsolidateu, 144

democracy, 63, 64, 73, 84, 93, 97, 112,	faction, 55, 61, 141, 199, 206, 207, 219,
126, 194, 195, 197, 247, 249	243
classical Greece, 189	fallibility, 94, 96, 151, 153
pseudo-, 246	fanaticism, 186, 213
strong, 40, 94, 134	Federal Convention, 119
Democracy in America, 22	federalism, 7, 8, 9, 12, 65, 80, 81, 102,
democracy model, pure, 114	111, 114, 118, 120, 123, 125, 143,
Denmark, 7, 131, 175, 178	219, 234
Deuteronomy, 78	Federalist Papers, 20, 94, 141, 206
diversity, 8, 92, 206, 218, 236. See also	feudal system, 41
population, heterogeneous	few, the
Divine Right, 46	classical Greece, 197
Dominica, 132	Fiji, 131
Dominican Republic, 131	Filmer, Robert, 46
double majesty, 47	Finland, 7, 131, 175, 178
Draco, 185	foedus, 80
dystopia, 210	force, 32
	France, 6, 7, 54, 55, 60, 83, 130, 175
economic equality, 106	free lunch, 212
economic exchange, 83	free press, 106, 117
El Salvador, 131	free speech, 92
elections, 5, 24, 89, 98, 100, 101, 112,	freedom, 20, 30, 31, 42, 69. See also
127, 134, 185, 193, 194, 224	liberty
electoral college, 120, 122	freedom-coercion problem, 20
electoral commission, 110, 124	Freedom House, 133, 135
electoral system, 13, 99, 100, 100, 135,	Fundamental Orders of Connecticut,
217, 220, 239. See also elections	76
multimember districts, 13, 117	,
single-member districts, 13, 100, 101	Galasian doctrine. See two-sword theory
elite, 113, 219, 235, 236	general will, 90, 91, 93, 112, 211
elite model, 115	general will model, 116
elitism, 94	Genesis, 78
England, 55, 75, 88, 106, 115, 140	geography, 20, 204
Civil War, 60	Germany, 6, 7, 9, 14, 83, 106, 130, 175,
equality, 22, 117, 187, 194, 206, 210,	178
213, 219, 242	Gierke, Otto, 47
Eritrea, 3	Glorious Revolution, 55, 113, 140
essentialism, 187–190	God, 27, 36, 42, 55, 61, 69, 75, 83, 84,
Estates General, 55	85, 87, 97
Estonia, 5, 6, 131	in Bodin, 44–51
Ethiopia, 3	in Mornay, 78–80
Europe, 2, 7, 9, 35, 41, 44, 46, 69, 83,	Golden Bull, 55
248	good life, the, 190, 203
European Union, 8, 11, 41	government
evolution	limited, 116, 219
cultural, 30, 32, 248	Great Britain, 7, 10, 40, 72, 83, 115, 118,
genetic, 29, 248	129, 185
ex post facto laws, 17	parliamentary system, 113–114, 120
executive, 101, 109, 110, 111, 119, 120,	Greece, 7, 130, 175
123, 126, 179, 217, 220	Greece, classical, 189–206
1-7, 140, 1/7, 41/, 440	51ccc, classical, 109 200

Grenada, 132	institutional complexity, 143, 219. See
Grofman, Bernard, 148	also legislative organizational
Grotius, Hugo, 36, 64, 65	complexity
Guatemala, 7	interaction effects, 226
Guyana, 131	interest, 95
,,	interests
Haiti, 3	long-term, 95, 96, 142
Hamilton, Alexander, 20, 184	permanent and aggregate, 95–96,
happiness, 23, 150, 191	141–143, 219
Harrington, James, 75	short-term, 95, 142
Henry V (Holy Roman Emperor), 43	Iran, 115
Hinsley, F. H., 31, 33	Iraq, 3, 248
history, 220, 248	Ireland, 6, 7, 131, 174, 178
history (Plato), 191	Iron Law of Oligarchy, 102
Hitler, Adolf, 185	Iroquois, 249
Hobbes, Thomas, 20, 21, 36, 44, 48, 50,	Iroquois Confederation, 115
53, 55-66, 71, 74, 76, 79, 82, 85,	irrationality, 95, 183
87, 184, 243	Israel, 7, 131
Holy Roman Empire, 43	Italy, 6, 7, 130, 175, 178
Honduras, 7	11117, 0, 7, 190, 173, 170
Hooker, Richard, 75	Japan, 6, 8, 14, 130, 175, 177, 178
Hooker, Thomas, 76, 85	Jefferson, Thomas, 1, 2
Hotman, François, 74	John of Salisbury, 43
human needs, 28, 200, 203, 212	Jouvenel, Bertrand de, 33
Hume, David, 86, 184	judicial interpretation of constitution. See
Hungary, 7, 131	constitution, ways to modify,
hypothesis	alteration by judicial
complex empirical, 217	interpretation
simple empirical, 217	judicial intervention, 12, 18
simple empirical, 21/	judicial review, 122, 126, 179
Iceland, 6, 7, 132, 175, 178, 194	judiciary, 157, 178, 179, 220
ideologues, 213	jura, 81
ideology, 15, 207, 215, 219, 227	jurisdiction
impeachment, 122	appellate, 122
imperium, 37	original, 122
income, per capita, 248	jus majestatis, 81
Index of Popular Control, 97, 98, 99,	jus regni, 81
102, 129–140, 237–238	justice, 11, 12, 16, 17, 20, 26, 34, 78, 112
Index of Separation of Powers. See	183, 186, 190, 194, 206, 215, 219
Separation of Powers Index	224, 225, 244
India, 6, 7, 130, 133, 174, 176, 228	224, 223, 244
Indonesia, 3, 7, 247	Kashmir, 3
innovation, 30, 86, 152	Kenya, 175, 176
technological, 3	king-in-parliament, 55, 113
e-mail, 3	Kiribati, 6, 17, 132
innovation, beneficial, 23–24, 28, 31, 32,	knowledge, 197
69, 70, 88, 94–96, 108, 203, 234,	Korea, 5, 6, 130
242	Kosovo, 3
institution, 28	kurios, 199
institutional change 8	Kuwait 2

Lutz, Donald S., 76, 145, 147, 149
Luxembourg, 132, 175
Maarseveen, Henc van, 152
Machiavelli, Niccolò, 21, 44, 65, 69-71,
183, 198, 204
Madison, James, 20, 94, 101, 102,
119-121, 134, 135, 136, 141-144,
184, 206, 224
Madisonian Model, 95, 141-144
Magna Carta, 55
majestas, 81
majestaticus, 46
majestus, 45, 46
majesty. See sovereignty
majoritarian model, 11
majoritarian principle, 74
majority, 18, 87, 88, 89, 91, 109, 110,
121, 142, 143, 185, 196, 207
_
extraordinary, 99
simple, 99
majority rule, 90, 91, 100, 109, 110, 111,
126, 133, 219, 242, 246
majority tyranny, 88, 93, 117, 135, 141,
142
Malaysia, 175, 176
Malory, Sir Thomas, 46
many, the
classical Greece, 114, 189, 198
Mao, Tse-Tung, 185
Mariana, Juan de, 75
Marshall Islands, 132
Marsilius of Padua, 43
Marxist regimes, 72
matching a government to the people, 7,
11, 13, 96, 100, 184, 201, 202,
205, 218-219
in Aristotle, 193–200
in Plato, 186–193
Mather, Richard, 76, 84
Mauritius, 131
medieval theology, 44
Mercosur, 8
methodology, 214-218
analytic, 224, 245
critical, 214, 215
empirical, 222-223
normative, 223, 224, 225
methodology, integrated, 215–218, 222,
226
Mexican Constitution (1917), 16

Mexico, 3, 5, 6, 7, 16, 130, 247	Norway, 7, 131, 175
Michels, Robert, 102	-1:
Micronesia, 132	oligarchy, 101, 113
Middle Ages, Europe, 40–44	classical Greece, 189
middle class, 116	oligarchy (Aristotle), 195
Miller, Joshua, 76	optimality, 20, 226
Milton, John, 46	original position, 87
minimal winning coalition, 147	Ostrom, Vincent, 94, 150
minority, 12, 18, 88, 91, 99, 100, 117,	Overton, Richard, 75
121, 207	
mixed regime, 37, 40, 65, 84, 192,	Palau, 132
194-200, 201, 203, 220	Panama, 3, 6, 131
moderation, 192, 197	Papal States, 43
Moldavia, 3	Papua New Guinea, 6, 17, 131, 175,
monarchy, 64, 77, 84, 114, 192	176
Montesquieu, Charles Louis de Secondat,	Parker, Henry, 75
Baron de la Brede et, 19-22, 134,	parliament, 55, 58, 73, 89, 109
184, 205	parliamentary government. See
moral code, 27	parliamentary system
moral relativism, 187	parliamentary model, 124, 126
morality	parliamentary supremacy, 120
higher, 38	parliamentary system, 10, 11, 13, 72,
political, 194	109-111, 114, 176, 240, 243
More, Thomas, 204	parliamentary-presidential system, 125,
Morgan, Edmund S., 75, 149	135
Mornay, Philippe du Plessis-, 36, 75, 76,	participation, 12, 192
77–80, 86	parties
multinational corporation, 3	competitive, 5, 135
Murray, Richard, 147	party
myth, 205, 218	coalition, 5
Myth of Er, 190	dominant, 5
	party system, 134, 217, 239
NAFTA, 8	multi-, 100, 135, 240
nation-state, 2, 3, 8, 14, 31, 41, 43, 53,	two-, 100, 125, 240
64, 133	patriotism, 14, 114
natural law, 36, 38, 42, 47, 51, 54, 55, 56,	peace, 116
61, 70, 82, 86, 87	Penington, Isaac, Jr., 75
nature	Penn, William, 76, 150
human, 85, 94, 95, 187, 189, 200, 203,	Pennsylvania, 76
219, 224, 243	people, the, 7, 13, 16, 38, 47, 49, 65,
law of, 61, 85, 87	70-73, 77-78, 80, 81, 82, 84, 85,
state of. See state of nature	87, 89, 91, 92, 97, 99, 113, 114,
Nazism, 245	141, 184–186, 189, 193, 200,
Netherlands, 6, 7, 83, 130, 174	
	218, 220, 226, 247
Neustadt, Richard, 121	citizenship, 201, 244
new institutionalism, 214	commonalities (Aristotle), 200–206,
New Zealand, 131, 175, 177	224
amendment process, 125	commonalities (Plato), 191
parliamentary system, 125, 129, 176	divisions, 206–208
Nicaragua, 3, 6, 7, 131	education, 201
Nigeria, 3, 247	geographic proximity, 201, 204

people, the (cont.)	popular acceptance. See consent
intermarriage, 201, 204	popular consent. See consent
shared culture, 204	popular control, 85, 94, 97-108, 111,
shared laws, 205	112, 113, 129-140, 145, 146, 221,
shared myth, 205	234, 236, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242,
Pepin the Short, 43	244, 246
Persia, 192	popular sovereignty, 3, 21, 24, 34, 37, 39
Peru, 3	40, 47, 48, 51, 53, 56, 57, 65, 66,
philia, 201, 203, 204, 205	67, 71-78, 83, 85, 86, 89-91,
Philippines, 5, 6, 130	93-94, 96-98, 100, 107-108, 111,
philosopher-king, 186, 191, 193, 244	112, 113, 129, 133, 139-141,
phronēsis, 198, 212, 213	143-144, 149-153, 154, 162,
physical sciences, 216	174–176, 184–186, 192, 193, 219.
Pitkin, Hanna Fenichel, 73	224, 238, 241-244, 247. See also
Plato, 112, 183, 186-193, 215, 243, 244	populus Romanus; power,
plebiscitum (plebiscite), 39	supreme, limited
Pol Pot, 185	direct, 24
Poland, 130	foundational, 24
polis, 189, 190, 193, 194, 199, 200, 202	in Althusius, 80–84
politeia, 189, 190, 191, 195	in Bodin, 72
politeuma, 189, 190, 195	in British colonies in North America,
political mobilization, 12	84-85
political system, 13, 14, 17, 20–21, 63,	in Hobbes, 71–72
68, 71, 74, 97, 98, 100, 108, 109,	in Locke, 85–89
114, 118, 126, 129, 134, 140, 143,	in Machiavelli, 69–71
148, 153, 182, 183, 187–195, 199,	in Madison, 94–97
201, 207, 212, 213–214, 243	in Mornay, 77–80
best possible, 201, 209, 214, 219, 243	in Rousseau, 90–94
confederal, 11	strong, 24
consensual, 217	weak, 24
consociational, 11	population
efficiency in, 147, 218, 225	heterogeneous, 11, 12, 217, 236, 241
existing, 44, 65, 191, 194, 199, 210,	homogeneous, II, 201
211, 213, 214	size, 7, 8, 20, 31, 98, 101–102, 134,
federal, II, 24I	141, 161, 193, 194, 227–236
ideal, 65, 192, 209-213, 219	populus Romanus, 37, 38, 40, 45. See
majoritarian, 217	also popular sovereignty
unitary, 11	Portugal, 6, 7, 131, 175
United States, 1, 118, 119, 121, 122	postmodernism, 187
unity in, 198, 243	potestas, 81
political technology, 17, 23, 27, 112, 242,	potestas imperandi universalis, 81
249	power, 3, 5, 10, 11, 17, 21, 22, 26, 31,
polity, 37, 40, 80	33-37, 40, 43, 44, 46, 48, 52, 53,
Pope Calixtus II, 43	60, 73, 87, 112, 113, 118, 121,
Pope Gelasius I, 41	126, 137, 183, 188, 215, 219, 224.
Pope Gregory I, 43	247
Pope Innocent I, 43	absolute, 35, 47, 87. See also power,
Pope Julius I, 43	supreme
Pope Leo I, 43	arbitrary, 87
Pope Leo III, 43	devolution of, 111–118, 124–126
Pope Martin I, 43	executive, II
· r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

nduciary, 48, 87	relative advantage
legitimate, 80, 83	short-term, 95
limited, 20, 24	religion (Aristotle), 204
pardon, 122	representation, 111, 112, 116, 193, 194,
religious, 41–44	220
secular, 41–44	delegate, 73
sovereign, 20	trustee, 73
supreme, 17, 27, 31–37, 42, 48, 49, 50,	republic, 22, 63, 70, 116. See also
54, 61, 64, 67, 69, 79, 80, 82, 83,	constitutional democracy
87-89, 91, 98, 123, 129, 146, 199,	republic, extended, 142
234, 236	republican government, 22, 23, 134
limited, 33, 36, 37, 39-41, 54, 55, 67,	republican virtue, 143
91, 97, 108, 185, 243, 244. See	republicanism, 23
also popular sovereignty;	res publica, 189
sovereignty	responsibility, 202, 244
unlimited, 56	revolution, 1, 2
praetor	right, 91
peregrinus, 38	rights, 88, 107, 135, 195, 225, 246. See
urbanus, 38	also bill of rights
prediction, obstacles to, 19	<u> </u>
prepolitical condition, 16, 17, 20, 235	group, 12, 15 individual, 12, 219
presidential system, 10, 13, 111, 118–123,	
	minority, 74, 219, 241 natural, 87
243	
presidential parliamentary system, 125	socioeconomic, 9, 15
pretheoretical analysis, 210	rights consciousness, 9, 12
principle	Roman natural law, 45
aristocratic (Aristotle), 195	Roman Republic, 37–40
democratic (Aristotle), 195	Romania, 130
monarchic (Aristotle), 195, 199	Romulus and Remus, 205
property, 55, 60, 69, 192, 203	Rousseau, Jean Jacques, 36, 76, 90–94,
proportional representation, 99-101, 117	112, 184, 204, 211, 243
Przeworski, Adam, 149	rule by a few, 195
public opinion, 220, 236	rule by one, 192, 195, 198
Putnam, Robert, 106	rule by the many, 37, 192, 195, 196. See also popular sovereignty
Rae, Douglas W., 148	rule of law, 2, 5, 10, 18, 23, 24, 116, 192,
rational actor, 86, 88, 99, 147, 148, 181,	193, 205, 218
183, 219, 222, 223, 226, 230, 232,	rule, one-man, 111, 118
234, 239, 242, 245	Russia, 7, 247
Rawls, John, 87	Rwanda, 3
recurrence to fundamental principles, 1,	
2, 5, 8, 15	Samoa, 175
referendum, 98, 110, 176. See also	San Marino, 132
amendment strategies, required	Saudi Arabia, 248
referendum	Scandinavia, 101
regime, 189	Searle, John R., 28
regime of laws, 244. See also constitution	self-fulfilling prophecy, 5, 9
regime types. See political system	self-preservation, 23, 24, 30, 31, 69, 85,
defined, 62	86, 88, 90, 94–96, 108, 203, 234,
regularity	242
cross-cultural, 234	Selznick, Philip, 28

separation of church and state, 84	span of control, 31, 32, 41, 43
separation of functions, III, II2, II3,	Spinoza, Benedict [Baruch] de, 36, 75,
124, 146	184
separation of powers, 9–11, 17, 21–22,	spirit of the laws, 19, 21, 22, 205
37, 65, 80, 89, 109–114, 118, 119,	Sri Lanka, 3
121-133, 135-141, 142, 143-144,	St. Kitts and Nevis, 132
145-147, 219, 221, 225, 234, 236,	St. Lucia, 132
238, 241–242, 244, 246	St. Vincent, 132
Separation of Powers Index, 109, 123,	stability, 116
126-140, 237-238	state of nature, 50, 57, 61, 80, 85, 86, 90,
separation of powers model, 124	92, 150
Shain, Barry Alan, 76	statecraft, 197
Shugart, Matthew S., 149	statism, 15
Sibley, Mulford Q., 60	Stoics, 45
Sidney, Algernon, 36, 75, 76, 77, 86	Sturm, Albert L., 152, 157
Sierra Leone, 3	Suarez, Francisco, 72
size	subculture, 18
geographical, 7, 141, 143, 161, 200	Sudan, 3
Slovenia, 131	suffrage, 113, 246
sociability, 23, 30, 31, 32, 69, 86, 88, 90,	supranational organizations, 8
94-96, 108, 203, 234, 242	supreme power, the, 97-98, 108. See also
social compact, 86	sovereign, the
social contract, 90, 91, 93	Sweden, 6, 7, 131, 175
social mores, 27	Switzerland, 6, 7, 83, 131, 175, 185
socialization, 38	systems analysis, 220
Socrates, 186	
Solomon Islands, 132	Taagepera, Rein, 101, 110
Solon, 185	Taiwan, 5, 6, 130
Somalia, 3, 31	Tajikistan, 3
sophistry, 215	Tang, Ger van der, 152
sophists, 190	terms of office, 121, 141, 143
South Africa, 5, 6, 130	Texas, 124, 207
sovereign, the, 3, 17, 32, 34, 36, 37, 43,	Thanksgiving, 205
44, 58, 60, 61, 64, 67, 68, 70–72,	Thirty Years' War, 60
82, 133, 141	tipping point
in Bodin, 47–55	legislative size, 235
sovereignty, 4, 26–64, 67, 69, 71–72, 87,	population size, 232
90, 104, 108, 112, 129, 140, 144,	Tocqueville, Alexis de, 22, 184, 205
184, 199, 219. See also power,	Torah, 69
supreme, limited	trade, 3, 5, 20, 192, 206, 246, 248
in ancient Greece and Rome, 36–40	traditionalistic model, 77, 83
in Bodin, 35–36, 43–56, 59–66	treaty, 64, 121
executors of, 47, 48	Tribunate, 93
in Hobbes, 56–64	Trinidad and Tobago, 131
holders of, 47	trustee theory. See representation, trustee
in medieval Europe, 40-43	Tulloch, Gordon, 99, 148, 181
parliamentary, 40, 72, 73, 89, 113,	Turkey, 247
140	two-sword theory, 35, 42, 43
state, 140	tyranny, 36, 49, 55, 79, 192. See also
Soviet Union, 2, 9, 106, 245	majority tyranny
Spain 6 7 82 120 175	governmental, IAI, IA3, 236

Uganda, 3 United States, 2, 6, 7, 14, 80, 101, 129, 176, 178, 185, 205, 246-248 presidential system, 118-123 state constitutions, 1, 87, 149-151, 155, 157-169, 223 Massachusetts (1780), 150 New Hampshire (1784), 150	Venice, 115 veto, 39, 93, 109, 120, 121, 126 veto override, 121, 126 violence, 17, 32, 61, 69, 217, 243 Virginia Plan, 119–121 virtue, 22, 27, 36, 143, 191, 197, 202, 224. See also republican virtue
New Hampsime (1764), 150  New Jersey (1776), 150  Pennsylvania (1776), 150  Supreme Court, 120, 122  United States Constitution, 1, 17, 121  amendment process  degree of difficulty, 171, 178  Uruguay, 5, 6, 131  utility, 82	Washington, George, 205 way of life, 200 wealth, 64, 106, 196, 206, 246, 248 Western Samoa, 6, 17, 176 William of Ockham, 43 Williams, Roger, 76, 85 wisdom, 197, 199, 212 world market, 2, 3, 206 world order, 3
values, 212 Vane, Henry, 75 Vanuatu, 132 Venezuela, 3, 6, 7, 130, 175, 247	Yemen, 3 Zaire, 3