the human element to the relationship between value, power, office and law. It treats a constitution as an end in itself, rather than as a means to an end.

5.3.3 Redefining International Law?

To the extent we wish to avoid any such comprehensive undertaking in the field of constitutional law and constitutionalism, our other option for addressing the integration of international law and national law is to reconsider the purpose and role of international law. Specifically, the reorientation to an internal perspective for international law ought to spark the question why we understand international law to be, and why it is needed in and as part of domestic law. Of course, it represents no less a comprehensive undertaking in the field of international law. My objective in this section, far from the temerity of suggesting a new direction or conception of international law, attempts merely to sketch out very briefly one analytic approach.

Re-evaluating international law implies reconsidering why we need international law integrated into domestic law at all. Specifically, we need to identify what international law is and ought to do within a national legal system, and that preferably with sufficient transparency to declare any underlying philosophical, ideological or political attachments. There would likely be two poles. If we accept a Koskenniemi type hypothesis that international law acts a sort of conscience for state authorities, then we are using international law as a sounding board for domestic policy and law. We rely then on international law not as law *per se* but as a moment of sober second thought instead. International law would not strictly require the character of law to accomplish this. And it could still offer courts additional reasons why a given case ought to be decided one way or another. On the other hand, we might prefer a Kant inspired view which accords international law legal compulsion and moral conscience.

Let us put to one side the important question why such a further legal or policy check is in fact desirable or necessary. Whichever side we choose, we must press yet further and ask whose conscience international law represents, and who is charged with determining or identifying its central elements. Drawing upon our constitutional inheritance, we have every right to be deeply sceptical, if not suspicious, of any proposals which deprive us or dilute our voice in forming the rules governing us. Likewise, we should be suspicious of any attempt to undo constitutional history by returning greater law-making powers to executive bodies, or special interest groups, under the guise of administration or social management. This assumes that any law ought to reflect our conscience, or interests and values, at the very least. But the "we" and "us" at issue here cannot be defined by region or (political) nation if international law is to transcend the bounds of dualism. Yet once we venture into more widely sourced pools of value and interest, we compound the central problem of identifying any one particular value, sense of good or right, in sufficiently practicable detail beyond idle ruminations sauced with

self-assuring generalities. Equally, we must ensure that these values are not merely our own specific ideas and preferences tarted up as universals. All this of course presumes we have some process of locating and articulating transcendent good and right.

Perhaps we need not venture just so far. This route continues to assume a direct applicability of international law, formed independently, in a domestic legal system. If we add to the mix of issues that of the need for and nature of an international check upon the national, another possible approach to international law arises. Recalling its origins as that governing the conduct of states, international law depends upon a convergence or divergence of interests ascribed to states. In short, it structures a *modus vivendi* among states as represented by public officials and private individuals alike. Just as domestic law addresses the mutual and reciprocal bounds of individual conduct, so too does international law commend to a state the recognition of and respect for other states. By this recognition, state actors ought to adjust and moderate their conduct to account for those others, whether by reining in claims of jurisdiction, readjusting policy and executive acts, and so on. Disputes of an international character, whether between states or a state and private individual, do represent therefore matters of sovereignty. But the concept of sovereignty would here be defined in function of the existence of other states of co-ordinate, equal stature. Not to go so far as to suggest that stated sovereignty be conceived of as interdependent or interlaced; rather the idea here is the use of international law by domestic organs of government as a reflective practice of self-limitation and self-restraint, based on reciprocity and mutuality, in the exercise of public power. The sober second thought for which international law serves, would operates thus on a constitutional level—albeit as policy, not law.

Presenting international law as a form of constitutional check, if only regarding the exercise of state powers affecting foreign interests directly, does not necessarily end the enquiry into the form and function of modern international law. Left aside was the conception of international law as a set of compulsory rules, which can equally function as type of constitutional check. Indeed, the rule of law mindset has done just that, seeking to measure state conduct as against all individuals, national and foreign alike, based on international humanitarian and human rights norms. The clear and fundamental difference separating the two poles pertains to what law—national and international—is and should do. It brings us again to the very start of these enquiries. In order to make sense of the interrelationship between national and international law, we need to have some grasp of what is that law is. This remains the central, basic question, one yet lacking in a coherent, clear and systematic answer. All these issues, and more, have been asked and assayed in diverse works, yet a single, coherent, constitutional approach to law-making on the national and international levels remains elusive for the moment. We are reminded that much more work remains to be done.

5.4 Conclusion

Whatever merits or demerits to all this, the fact remains that the treatment international law in the domestic legal order must necessarily proceed from—and not prescind—a constitutional perspective. The separation of powers is inescapable and determinative of the question. It is an ineluctable institutional facet to (national) law-making, grounded in the long and bloody history of constitutionalism. To ignore the separation of powers or treat it in a purely instrumental way is to discount or ignore the history and practice of constitutionalism. Certainly, that may be a theoretical option to achieve a seamless unity of international and national law, whatever constitutionalism might prescribe. Modern constitutionalism, the rule of law and the separation of powers, these may be overcome or transcended, I do not doubt. But it will inevitably require a redefinition and retooling, not only of law and legal systems, but also of the state and constitutionalism as they all now exist—a veritable paradigm shift.

And having begun with Montesquieu, it is perhaps just as fitting to end with his considered insights as applied to the project of globalising law:

> Laws should be so appropriate to the people for whom they are made that it is very unlikely that the laws of one nation can suit the other.
>
> Laws must relate to the nature and the principle of government that is established or that one wants to establish, whether those laws form it as do political laws or maintain it, as do civil laws.
>
> They should be related to the *physical aspect* of the country; to the climate, be it freezing, torrid or temperate; to the properties of the terrain, its location and extent; to the way of life of the peoples, be they plowmen, hunters or herdsmen; they should relate to the degree of liberty that the constitution can sustain, to the religion of the inhabitants, their inclinations, their wealth, their number, their commerce, their mores and their manners; finally, the laws are related to one another, to their origin, to the purpose of the legislator and to the order of things on which they are established. They must be considered from all these points of view.[27]

---

[27] Montesquieu 1989, Part 1, Book 1, Chap. 3, pp. 8–9.

Table of Cases

1 France

Conseil Constitutionnel

4 Dec. 1962, °62–20 DC, 50
19 June 1970, °70–39 DC, 181, 182
29 Feb. 1972, °72–73L DC, 50
15 Jan. 1975, °74–54 DC, 178
30 Dec. 1975, °75–60 DC, 168, 179
30 Dec. 1976, °76–71 DC, 182
12 Jan. 1977, °76–75 DC, 182
28 Dec. 1976, °76–76 DC, 253
28 Dec. 1976, °76–78 DC, 253
3 Nov. 1977, °77–101 DC, 50
29 April 1978, °78–93 DC, 180
17 July 1980, °80–116 DC, 54, 179
27 July 1982, °82–142 DC, 181
19 July 1983, °83–160 DC, 180
22 May 1985, °85–188 DC, 182
10 July 1985, °85–194 DC, 253
29 July 1986, °86–210 DC, 168
18 Sept. 1986, °86–217 DC, 168
8 July 1989, °89–258 DC, 180
29 Dec. 1989, °89–268 DC, 179
23 June 1991, °91–293 DC, 169
25 July 1994, °91–294 DC, 182
25 Feb. 1992, °92–307 DC, 54, 170, 180, 182
9 April 1992, °92–308 DC, 54, 179, 180, 253
2 Sept. 1992, °92–312 DC, 253
2 Sept. 1992, °92–313, DC, 179, 180

D. Haljan, *Separating Powers: International Law Before National Courts*,
DOI: 10.1007/978-90-6704-858-3, © T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague,
The Netherlands, and the author 2013

30 June 1993, °93–318 DC, 180
30 June 1993, °93–319 DC, 180
31 Dec. 1997, °97–394 DC, 54, 180
22 Jan. 1998, °98–408 DC, 182, 254
15 June 1999, °94–412 DC, 180
14 Oct. 1999, °96–179 DC, 50
7 Dec. 2000, °2000–435 DC, 181
19 Nov. 2004, °2004–505 DC, 54, 180
13 Oct. 2005, °2005–524/525, 182
30 March 2006, °2006–535 DC, 180
20 Dec. 2007, °2007–560 DC, 54, 179, 180, 182
9 April 2009, °2009–509 DC, 181
3 Dec. 2009, °2009–595 DC, 53
4 Nov. 2010, °2010–614 DC, 179

Cassation

24 June 1889, *Duc de Richmond Estate*, 175
16 May 1961, *Le Breton v Delle Loesch,* 170
4 June 1964, *Argoud*, 253
24 May 1975, *Soc de cafes Jacques Vabre* (1975) [1976] CMLR 43, 177, 269
6 April 1976, °74–15246, 175
30 June 1976, *Glaeser,* 175
25 Jan. 1977, °74–13437, *Reyrol*, 171
19 Jan. 1982, °80–94835, 175
6 Oct. 1983, °83–93194, *Barbie*, 252
6 March 1984, °82–14008 *Kryla,* 178
23 Oct. 1987, °72951, *Soc. Nachfolger*, 252
7 June 1989, °87–14212, *Soc. Cartours*, 175
15 July 1993, °91–18735, 177
7 Feb. 1995, °93–12668, 175
19 Dec. 1995, °93–20424, 175
15 July 1999, °97–19742, *Soc. Dumez*, 176
2 June 2000, °99–60274, *Fraiss*, 173, 177, 269
13 March 2001, °00–87215, *PG CA Paris v Association SOS Attentats*, 252, 253
29 May 2001, °99–16673, *ASENA v N'Doye*, 172
17 June 2003, °02–80719, *MRAP*, 251, 253
20 June 2003, °00–45629 and °00–45630, *Ecole Saoudinne de Paris*, 252, 253
13 Oct. 2004, °04–84470, 175
25 Jan. 2005, °03–10068, 176
12 April 2005, °03–83452, 175
18 May 2005, °02–20613, 177
14 June 2005, °04–16942, 177
13 July 2005, °05–10519 / 05–10521, 77
22 Nov. 2005, °03–17912, 177
18 Jan. 2006, °02–80787, 175

25 April 2006, °02–17344, *Iraqi State v Dumez SA* ILDC 771 FR 2003, 253
16 Jan. 2008, °06–43124, *Soc Trigano*, 176
18 March 2008, °08–81266, 175
9 April 2008, °07–86412, 251
16 April 2008, °07–11273, 177
25 Feb. 2009, °08–11033, 177
6 May 2009, °07–21091, 251
8 April 2010, °09–88675, 175
26 Oct. 2010, °09–15044, 171
15 Dec. 2010, °09–10439, 177

Conseil d'Etat

23 July 1823 (*Veuve Murat*), 173
14 Nov. 1884 (*Szanianski*), 173
13 Dec. 1889 (*Cadot*), 52
18 April 1951 (*Elections de Nolay*), 176
2 Nov. 1955, 169
18 June 1965 (*Chatelaine*), 173
13 July 1965 (*Société Navigator*), 170, 171
1 March 1968, *Semoules de France* [1970] CMLR 395, 177
16 May 1980, °20230 (*Min. d'Interieur v Bennace*), 173
29 May 1981, °15408 (*Rekhou*), 178
23 Dec. 1981, °15309, 15310, 16107, 16282 (*Commune de Thionville*), 170
18 April 1986, °53934 (*Soc. des mines de potasse d'Alsace*), 252
8 April 1987, °74840 (*Procopio*), 171
20 Oct. 1989, °108243 (*Nicolo*), 52, 53, 178, 269
29 June 1990, °78519 (*GISTI*), 174
29 Jan. 1993, °111946, 111949 (*Mme Bouillez*), 174
3 July 1996, °169219 (*Kone*), 172, 174
23 April 1997, °163043 (*GISTI*), 176
6 June 1997, °148683 (*Aquarone*), 52, 252, 274
22 Sept. 1997, °161364 (*Cinar*), 176
30 Oct. 1998, °200286, 200287 (*Sarran*), 52, 172, 177, 269
18 Dec. 1998, °181249 (*Blotzheim*), 171
30 Dec. 1998, °188159 (*Chambre d'agri des Alpes Maritimes*), 176
9 April 1999, °180277 (*Chevrol*), 178
23 Feb. 2000, °157922 (*Bamba Dieng*), 172
21 April 2000, °206902 (*Zaidi*), 174
31 May 2000, °213882 (*Soc Wellcome Fdtn*), 176
5 Jul 2000, °206303, 166
5 Jul 2000, °206965, 166
7 July 2000, °213461 (*Fed. nat. des assoc. tutélaires*), 170
28 July 2000 °206594 (*Confed. fran. de la boucherie*), 174
28 July 2000, °178834 (*Paulin*), 242, 274
6 Oct. 2000, °216901, 217800, 218213 (*Assoc. Promouvoir*), 176

6 Nov. 2000, °204784 (*GISTI*), 176
8 Dec 2000, °205241 (*Commune d'Auvare*), 176
8 Dec 2000, °204756 (*Commune de Breil sur Roya*), 176
8 Dec. 2000, °201816 / 212081 / 199601 / 199072 (*Hoffer*), 178
7 July 2001, °214919 (*Alfihani*), 176
18 Jan. 2002, ° 214664 (*Préfet Val de Marne*), 177
3 May 2002, °234929 (*Loberot*), 176
17 May 2002, °232359, 178
27 May 2002, °232599 (*Préfet d'Isère*), 177
8 July 2002, °239366 (*Porta*), 172
20 Nov. 2002, °230530 (*Ets. Soules*), 174
30 Dec. 2002, °23096 (*Hanna*), 174
7 Feb. 2003, °244043 (*FNAUT*), 172
16 June 2003, °246794 (*Cavaciuti*), 172
11 Feb. 2004, °257682 (*Chevrol*), 179
24 March 2004 °249369 (*Boubouida*), 176
2 April 2004, °249482 (*Bisiaux*), 176
28 April 2004, °245255 (*Chamonix*), 172
7 July 2004, °262645 (*EURL Ecosphere*), 178
29 Dec. 2004 °265003 (*Hadj Kacem*), 177
18 Feb. 2005 °269653 (*Alice XY*), 177
8 July 2005, °261675 (*Chen*), 177
19 Oct. 2005, °28347 (*CGT*), 174, 176
26 Oct. 2005, °265199 (*Préfet de la Seine St Denis*), 177
8 Feb. 2007, °287110, (*Arcelor*), 172
9 July 2010, °327663 (*Fed. Nat. de la Libre Pensée*), 172

Court of Appeal

18 June 1968 (Paris) *Dame Klarsfeld,* 170
26 March 1979 (Rennes) *Rego Sanles Andres,* 252
5 Dec. 1984 (Paris) *Soc. Egyptair*, 175
16 Sept. 2002, °99PA02934 (Paris) *Soc. Barry Callebaut*, 176
25 May 2004, °RG04/00528 (Rennes), 176

Administrative Court of Appeal

5 Dec. 2000, °98PA02437 (Paris) *Neggaoui,* 176

2 The Netherlands

Hoge Raad (Supreme Court)

13 Jan. 1879, W 1879 4330, *Meerenberg*, 59, 188
18 Nov. 1901, W 1901 7690, 183
25 May 1906, W 1906 8383, *Wiercx,* 184, 185
1 June 1908, W 1908 8721, *Berner spoorwegovereenkomst*, 185
6 Nov. 1919, NJ 1919 371, *Grenstractaat Aken*, 184, 185
17 Dec 1934, NJ 1935 5, *Rijnvaartacte van Mannheim*, 185
3 March 1941, NJ 1942 20, *Hecht*, 186, 193
25 Jan 1952, NJ 1952 125, *Stop te Lobith,* 186
10 Dec. 1954, NJ 1954 240, *Cognac (No. 1)*, 190, 191, 195
1 June 1956, NJ 1958 424, *Cognac (No. 2)*, 210
24 May 1958, NJ 1958 455, *Bols*, 208
13 Jan. 1956, NJ 1956 141, *Nyugat (No.1)*, 255
6 March 1959, NJ 1962, 2 *Nyugat (No.2)* (*sub nom Swiss Corp. v The Netherlands* (1963) 10 Neth. ILR 82), 199, 207, 255, 269
24 Feb. 1960, NJ 1960 483, *AOW*, 208
27 Jan. 1961, NJ 1963 248, *Van der Bergh*, 191
17 March 1967, NJ 1967 237,*"Bonanza"*, 191
8 Nov. 1968, NJ 1969 10, *Portalon*, 201, 207, 208
24 Jan. 1969, NJ 1969 316, *Pocketbooks II*, 59
17 Oct. 1969, NJ 1970 428, 259
31 August 1972, NJ 1972 4, 193
21 Nov. 1972, NJ 1973 123, 200, 204
26 Oct. 1973, NJ 1974 361, *Soc. Europ. d'Etudes et d'Entreprises*, 258
15 June 1976, NJ 1976 551, *Nederlandse Volksunie*, 208
18 Nov. 1981, NJ 1982 44, 196
25 June 1982, NJ 1983 295, 196
1 July 1983, NJ 1984 360, *LSV*, 59
2 Dec. 1983, NJ 1984 306, 204
24 Jan. 1984, NJ 1984 538, 193, 202
12 Oct. 1984, NJ 1985 230, 204
23 Nov. 1984, NJ 1985 604, 207
22 Dec. 1984, NJ 1991 70, 257
22 Feb. 1985, NJ 1986 3, 204
21 March 1986, NJ 1986 585, 204
16 May 1986, NJ 1987 251, *Landbouwvliegers*, 59, 205, 258
7 Nov. 1986, NJ 1987 226, *Spoorwegstaking*, 190, 201, 202
23 Sept. 1988, NJ 1989 740, *Naamrecht*, 204
14 April 1989, NJ 1989 469, *Harmonisatiewet*, 191, 193, 196, 198, 202, 206, 258
10 Nov. 1989, NJ 1990 623, 202
10 Nov. 1989, NJ 1991 248, *Cruise-missiles*, 193, 194, 257, 274
30 March 1990, NJ 1991 249, 193

31 May 1991, AB 1992 290, *Van Gog/Nederweert*, 209
25 Sept. 1992, NJ 1992 7, *BOA*, 190, 202
1 Dec. 1993, NJ 1996 230, 192
1 Dec. 1993, AB 1994 35, 59, 196
15 April 1994, NJ 1994 608, *Valkenhorst*, 60
18 April 1995, NJ 1995 619, *Militaire Dienst*, 202
8 Nov. 1995, LJN AA3133, 192
11 Nov. 1997, NJ 1998 463, *Knesevi*c *(No.2)*, 202, 256
17 Aug. 1998, NJ 2000 169, 203
12 May 1999, NJ 2000 271, *Arbeidskostenforfait*, 60, 197, 204
12 Nov. 1999, LJN AA3368, *USA v Havenschap Delfzijl*, 202
19 Nov. 1999, AB 2000 387, *Tegelen*, 60
28 Jan. 2000, NJ 2000 292, *Douwe Egberts*, 202
18 Sept. 2001, NJ 2002 559, *Bouterse* (*sub nom. Desi Bouterse* ILDC 80 (Netherlands 2001), 198, 202, 255, 256
21 Dec. 2001, NJ 2002 217, *NATO Nuclear Weapons*, 195, 210, 257, 269, 274
2 Feb. 2002, NJ 2002 240, *Taxibus*, 196
29 Nov. 2002, NJ 2003 35, *Kosovo*, 210
21 March 2003 NJ 2003 691, *Waterpakt*, 69, 194, 206
9 Dec 2003, LJN AF7921, *Vreemdelingen en Rijnvaart*, 196
6 Feb. 2004, NJ 2004 329, *Afghanistan*, 209, 210, 257, 269
2 Nov. 2004, NJ 2005 80, 190
5 Sept. 2006, LJN AV4149, 195
8 July 2007, LJN BC 7418, *Heram,* 202
8 July 2008, LJN BC7418, 255
9 April 2009, NJ 2010 388, *SGP/Clara Wickmann*, 194, 203, 206

Raad van State (Administrative Tribunal Division)

24 Nov. 1986, *MK v Openbaar Min.* (1998) 19 Netherlands Yearbook IL 439, 260
15 Sept. 2004, LJN AR2181, *Spoedwet wegverbreding*, 201, 208
20 July 2007, LJN BB0917, 248

Centraal Raad van Beroep (Administrative High Court)

29 Dec. 1992, LJN AK9924, 192
23 Sept. 1988, NJ 1989 740, 204
4 July 2003, LJN AI0140, 202
8 Aug. 2005, LJN AU0687, 202
24 Jan. 2006, LJN AV497, 203
27 Jan. 2006, LJN AV0802, 190, 192, 201
21 July 2006, LJN AV5560, 202
20 July 2007, LJN BB0917, 258

Court of Appeal (*Gerechtshof*)

5 Jan 1951, NJ 1951 69, The Hague, *Van Woudenberg*, 186
21 May 1953, Rotterdam, (1955) 2 Netherlands ILR 94, 186

21 Dec. 2005, LJN AV9650, The Hague, 194
15 March 2007, LJN BA2278, The Hague, 258
5 July 2011, LJN BR5386, The Hague, *Dutchbat,* 194
5 July 2011, LJN BR0133, The Hague, *Dutchbat*, 194

Trial Level (*Arondissementsrechtbanken*)

21 May 1984, AB 1985 12, The Hague, *Ems–Dollard*, 194
27 Nov. 2000, LJN AA7335, Rotterdam, 209
1 Nov. 2006, LJN AZ1511, Rotterdam, *Sierra Oil v Georgia,* 258
19 Dec. 2006, LJN AZ4647, The Hague, 193
25 June 2007, LJN BA9575, The Hague, 202
19 March 2008, LJN BC7128, The Hague, *BARIN v Netherlands*, 196
10 July 2008, LJN BD6796, The Hague, *Dutchbat*, 194
10 Sept. 2008, LJN BF0187, The Hague, *Dutchbat*, 194

Bijzondere Raad van Cassatie (Post–war Supreme Court)

Rauter 19 Jan. 1949, NJ 1949 87, 186, 197
Röhrig, 15 May 1950, NJ 1950 504, 186, 197

Koninklijk Besluit

19 Feb. 1993, AB 1993 385, *Ems–Dollard Treaty*, 209

3 The United Kingdom

In re AB & Co [1900] 1 QB 541 (CA), 242
Adan v Sect. State Home Dept. [1999] 1 AC 293, 119
Administrator of German Property v Knoop [1933] Ch 439 (Ch), 110
AG v De Keyser's Hotel [1920] AC 508, 42, 43
AG v Guardian Newspapers (No.2) [1990] 1 AC 109, 122
Arab Monetary Fund v Hashim (No.3) [1991] 2 AC 114, 126, 127, 128
Bank voor Handel en Scheepvaart v Slatford [1953] 1 QB 248 (QB), 103, 259
Blackburn v AG [1971] 1 WLR 1037 (CA), 47, 112
Ex p. Blain (1879) 12 ChD 522 (CA), 242
Bowles v Bank of England [1913] 1 Ch 5 (Ch), 45
Bradlaugh v Gossett (1884) 12 QBD 271 (CA), 45
British Airways v Laker Airways [1985] AC 58, 103, 108, 116
J. Buchanan & Co v Babco Forwarding & Shipping [1978] AC 141, 119
J. Buchanan *& Co* v Babco *Forwarding & Shipping* [1977] QB 208 (CA), 37
Burmah Oil v Lord Advocate [1965] AC 75, 42, 43
Buron v Denman [1848] 2 Exch. 167, 154 ER 450, 101

Buttes Oil v Occidental Oil and Hammer [1982] AC 888, 37, 47, 89, 104, 130, 132, 273
Campbell v Hall (1774) 1 Cowp 209, 98 ER 848, 231
Case of Proclamations (1611) 12 Co Rep 74, 77 ER 1352, 43
The Charkieh (1872-75) LR 4 A&E 59 (Adm), 233
Cheung (Chung Chi) v The King [1939] AC 160 (PC), 47, 48, 233, 274
Civilian War Claimants v The King [1932] AC 14, 43, 110
Collco Dealings v IRC [1962] AC 1, 46
Commercial and Estates Company of Egypt v Ball [1920] LLR 70 (QB), 224
Commercial and Estates Company of Egypt v Board of Trade [1925] 1 KB 271 (CA), 224, 225
Comp. Nav. Vascongado v SS Cristina (The Cristina) [1938] AC 485,
Cook v Sprigg [1899] AC 572 (PC), 101, 102
Cooke v Chas. Vogeler Co. [1901] AC 102, 242
Cope v Doherty (1858) 44 ER 1127 (CA), 242
Corocraft v PanAm Airways [1969] 1 QB 616, [1968] 3 WLR 1273 (CA), 46, 119
Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for Civil Service [1985] AC 374, 37, 42, 43, 46, 109, 112
Cox v Army Council [1963] AC 48, 242
Czech Republic v European Media Ventures SA [2007] 2 CLC 908 (QB Comm), 21, 112
Dallal v Bank Mellat [1986] QB 441 (QB), 129, 130
Duff Dev v Kelantan [1924] AC 797, 165
Duke of Brunswick v King of Hanover (1844) 49 ER 725, 232
Dupont Steels Ltd v Sirs [1980] 1 WLR 142 (HL), 37
Ecuador v Occidental Exploration [2006] QB 432 (CA), 112, 130
Ecuador v Occidental Exploration [2007] 2 CLC 16 (CA), 130
Edinburgh and Dalkeith Rwy Co v Wauchope (1842) 8 ER 279 (HL), 45
Egerton v Brownlow (1853) 4 HLC 1, 10 ER 359, 103
Fagernes (The) [1927] P 311 (CA), 228
Fothergill v Monarch Airlines [1981] AC 251, 46, 92, 119
Garland v British Rail [1983] 2 AC 751, 122
Heathfield v Chilton (1767) 98 ER 50, 232
Hinds v The Queen [1977] AC 195 (PC), 37
Holland v Lampen Wolff [2000] 1 WLR 1573, 239, 241
Jindal Iron v Islamic Solidarity Shipping [2005] 1 WLR 1363 (HL), 119, 120
Jones v Saudia Arabia et al. [2007] 1 AC 270, 239, 241, 274
Kuwait Airways v Iraq Airways (No. 2) [1995] 1 WLR 1147 (HL), 107
Kuwait Airways v Iraq Airways (Nos 4 & 5) [2002] 2 AC 883, 46, 48, 103, 106, 274
Laker Airways v DTI [1977] 2 All ER 182 (CA), 42
Lonrho Exports v Export Credits Guar. Dept. [1999] Ch 158 (Ch), 110, 111
Lord Advocate's Reference (No. 1 of 2000) [2001] SLT 507, 81
Luther v Sagor [1921] 3 KB 532 (CA), 47
M v Home Office [1994] 1 AC 377, 37

JI Macwilliam Co v Mediterranean Shipping [2005] 2 AC 423, 119, 120
Magor and St Mellons Rural DC v Newport Corp [1952] AC 189, 37
Malone v Commr Metropolitan Police (No 2) [1979] Ch 344 (Ch), 46
Marchiori v Environmental Agency [2002] EWCA Civ 03 (25 Jan 2002), 105
In re McKerr [2004] 1 WLR 807 (HL), 43, 106, 115
McWhirter v AG [1972] CMLR 882 (CA), 112
Mortensen v Peters (1906) 14 SLT 227 (HCJ), 117
Munden v Duke of Brunswick (1847) 116 ER 248, 232
Nabob of Arcot v East India Company [1793] 4 Bro. C.C. 181, 29 ER 841, 101
Nabob of the Carnatic v East India Company [1793] 2 Ves Jun 56, 30 ER 521, 101
Nissan v AG [1970] AC 179, 43
Novello v Toogood (1823) 107 ER 204, 232
The Parlement Belge (1879) LR 4 PD 129 (Adm),
The Parlement Belge (1879–80) LR 5 PD 197 (CA), 47
Re a Petition of Right [1915] 3 KB 649 (CA), 222
Pickin v British Rwy [1974] AC 765, 45
Philippine Admiral v Wallem Shppng. [1977] AC 373 (PC), 47, 274
Phillips Bros. v Sierra Leone [1995] 1 LLR 289 (CA), 109
Philippson v Imperial Airways [1939] AC 332, 129
In re Piracy Jus Gentium [1934] AC 586 (PC), 225, 275
The Playa Larga (Owners of Cargo laden on) v The I Congreso del Partido (Owners of) [1983] 1 AC 244, 48, 232, 239, 275
The Porto Alexandre [1920] P 30 (CA), 233
Post Office v Estuary Radio [1968] 2 QB 740 (CA), 121, 227, 228
Re Queensland Mercantile and Agency [1892] 1 Ch 219 (CA), 46
R v Asfaw [2008] 1 AC 1061, 124
R v Bow St. Met. Stipendiary Magistrate ex p. Pinochet Ugarte (No. 1) [2000] 1 AC 61, 239
R v Bow St. Met. Stipendiary Magistrate ex p. Pinochet Ugarte (No. 2) [2000] 1 AC 119, 239
R v Bow St. Met. Stipendiary Magistrate ex p. Pinochet Ugarte (No. 3) [2000] 1 AC 147, 48, 239, 240, 275
R v Chief Immig Officer ex p. Salamat Bibi [1976] 1 WLR 979 (CA), 114
R v Dir Public Prosecutions ex p. Kebilene [2002] AC 326, 40, 131, 132
R v Jameson [1896] 2 QB 425 (Div Ct), 242
R v Jones (Margaret) [2007] 1 AC 136, 81, 112, 228, 229, 240, 275
R v Kent Justices ex p. Lye et al [1967] 2 QB 153 (Div Ct), 121, 227
R v Keyn, The Franconia (1876–77) LR 2 Ex D 63 (CA), 226, 227
R v Knuller Publishing [1973] AC 435, 229
R v Lyons [2003] 1 AC 976, 111, 114, 115, 122, 123
R v Sect. State FCO ex p. Southall [2003] 3 CMLR 18 (CA), 112
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Adan [2001] 2 AC 477, 119, 120
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Ahmed and Patel [1998] INLR 578 (CA), 123, 124
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Behluli (7 May 1998) (CA), 123

R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Bentley [1993] 4 All ER 442 (Div Ct), 47
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Brind [1991] 1 AC 696, 43, 114, 122, 123
R v. Sect State Home Dept. ex p. Bugdaycay [1987] AC 514, 114
R v. Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Fire Brigades Union [1995] 2 AC 513, 77
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Launder [1997] 1 WLR 839 (HL), 131, 132
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Northumbria Police Authority [1988] 1 All ER 556 (CA), 42
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Sivakumaran [1988] AC 958, 124
R v Sect. State Home Dept. ex p. Thakrar [1974] QB 684 (CA), 274, 275
R v Uxbridge Magistrates' Court ex p. Adimi [2001] QB 667 (Div Ct), 123, 124
R (Abbasi) v Sect. State FCO [2002] EWCA Civ 1598 (6 Nov. 2002), 37, 40, 48, 107, 108, 119, 123, 273
R (Al Rawi) v Sect. State FCO [2008] QB 289 (CA), 48, 107, 123, 273
R (Bancoult) v Sect. State FCO [2008] 3 WLR 955 (PC), 37, 46, 112, 273
R (Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament) v The Prime Minister et al [2002] EWHC 2777 (Div. Ct.), 37, 42, 47, 105, 106, 108, 129, 131
R (Corner House Research) v Director SFO [2008] 3 WLR 568 (HL), 132, 133
R (European Roma Rights Cntr) v Immigration Officer Prague Airport [2004] QB 811 (CA), 123
R (European Roma Rights Cntr) v Immigration Officer Prague Airport [2005] 2 AC 1, 123
R (Gentle) v The Prime Minister et al. [2008] 1 AC 1356, 43, 47, 106, 112
R (Hurst) v London Nthrn Dist Coroner [2007] 2 AC 189, 115
R (Jackson) v AG [2006] 1 AC 262, 37
R (McWhirter and Gouriet) v Sect. State Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs [2003] EWCA Civ 384 (5 March 2003), 112
R (Middleton) v W. Somerset Coroner [2004] 2 AC 182, 106
R (Mullen) v Sect. State Home Dept [2005] 1 AC 1, 120, 121
R (Quark Fishing) v Sect. State FCO [2005] 3 WLR (HL), 118
Rahimtoola v Nizam of Hyderabad [1958] AC 379, 110, 234
JH Rayner (Mincing Lane) v DTI [1990] 2 AC 418, 43, 101, 102, 113, 124, 125, 126, 130
Republic of Italy v Hambros Bank [1950] Ch 314 (Ch), 43, 113
Rustomjee v The Queen (1876) 2 QBD 69 (CA), 43, 109, 110
Salomon v Comm'rs Customs and Excise [1967] 2 QB 116 (CA), 46, 121, 122
Sect. State for India v Kamachee Boye Sahaba [1859] 13 Moo PC 74, 15 ER 28 32, 101, 110
Sect. State Home Dept. v Rehman (2001) [2003] 1 AC 153, 112
Sidhu v British Airways [1997] AC 430, 119
Stag Line v Foscolo, Mango & Co [1932] AC 328, 119
In re State of Norway's Application (No.2) [1990] 1 AC 723, 120
Stockdale v Hansard (1839) 112 ER 1112 (HL), 45
Thai-Europe v Pakistan [1975] 1 WLR 1485 (CA), 236, 237
Theophile v The Queen (Sol. Gen.) [1950] AC 186, 242
Thomas v Baptiste [2000] AC 1 (PC), 124

Trendtex v Central Bank of Nigeria [1977] QB 529 (CA), 236, 237, 238
Triquet v Bath (1764) 3 Burr. 1478, 97 ER 273, 232
UBS AG v HM Revenue & Customs [2006] Brit. Tax Cases 232 (Ch), 119
USA v Dollfus Mieg [1952] AC 582, 110, 233
Viveash v Becker (1814) 105 ER 619, 232
Walker v Baird [1892] AC 491 (PC), 43, 113
West Rand Central Gold Mining v The King [1905] 2 KB 391 (CA), 231
Westland Helicopters v Arab Organisation for Industrialisation [1995] QB 282 (QB), 127
Winfat v AG (Hong Kong) [1985] AC 733 (PC), 101
Wolff v Oxholm (1817) 105 ER 1177, 232
Young v Bristol Aeroplane [1944] KB 718 (CA), 44
Zamora, The [1916] 2 AC 77 (PC Prize), 43, 221
Zoernsch v Waldock [1964] 1 WLR 675 (CA), 129
Zollverein, The (1856) Swab. 96, 166 ER 1038, 242

4 The United States

Air France v Saks 470 US 392 (1985), 157, 158
Altman v US 224 US 583 (1912), 138
American Ins. v Canter 26 US (1 Pet.) 511 (1828), 68
American Insurance Assoc. v Garamendi 539 US 396 (2003), 62, 66, 138, 154, 156, 159
Argentine Republic v Amerada Hess Shipping 488 US 428 (1989), 249
Arizona v Evans 514 US 1 (1995), 71
Asakura v Seattle 265 US 332 (1924), 137, 158
Avero Belgium v American Airlines 423 F.3d 73, (2nd Cir. 2005), 142, 157
Bacardi Corp. v Domenech 311 US 150 (1940), 158
Baker v Carr 369 US 186 (1962), 70
Banco Nacional Cuba v Sabbatino 376 US 398 (1964), 71, 244, 259
Barclay's Bank v Franchise Tax Bd Calif. 512 US 298 (1994), 157, 159
Boos v Barry 485 US 312 (1988), 154
Bowsher v Synar 478 US 714 (1986), 61, 67, 68
Breard v Green 523 US 1352 (1998), 147, 163
Brown v US 12 US 110 (1814), 81-2, 240
Buckley Valeo 424 US 1 (1976), 68
Burnet v Brooks 288 US 378, 134
Can. Transport v US 663 F.2d 1081 (DC Cir 1980), 162
CFTC v Schor 478 US 833 (1986), 61, 67, 68
The Cherokee Tobacco 11 Wall. 616 78 US 616 (1870), 136, 138
Chevron v Nat. Resources Defence Fund 467 ***US*** 837 (1984), 65, 67

Choctaw Nations v US 318 US 423 (1943), 89, 138
Chicago & Sth Airlines v Waterman Steamship 333 US 103 (1948), 70
Clark v Allen 331 US 503 (1947), 134, 159
Clinton v City of New York 524 US 417 (1998), 68
Ex parte Cooper 143 US 472 (1892), 139, 149
Crosby v National Foreign Trade Council 530 US 363 (2000), 133, 139, 159
Curtiss Wright v US 299 US 304 (1936), 62, 66, 133, 134, 137, 138, 139, 152, 155
Dalton v Specter 511 US 462 (1994), 66
Dames Moore v Regan 453 US 654 (1981), 62, 66, 130, 133, 139, 140, 156
DC Crt of Appeals v Feldhaver 460 US 462 (1983), 69
De Geofroy v Riggs 133 US 258 (1890), 136, 137, 153, 159
The Diamond Rings 183 US 176 (1901), 148
Dickerson v US 530 US 428 (2000), 164
Doe ex dem Clark v Braden 16 Howe 635, 57 US 635 (1853), 136
Dole v Carter 444 F.Supp 1065 (DC Kansas 1977), *stay pending app. denied* F.2d 1109 (10th Cir. 1977), 138
Edye v Robertson (Head Money Cases) 112 US 580 (1884), 134, 159
El Al Israel Airlines v Tsui Yuan Tseng 525 US 155 (1999), 157, 158, 161
Erie Railroad v Tompkins 304 US 64 (1938), 72, 243, 244, 273
Field v Clark 143 US 649 (1892), 135
Filartiga v Pena–Irala 630 F.2d 876 (2nd Cir. 1980), 248
First Nat. Bank v Bank Nacional de Cuba 406 US 759 (1972), 133
Fletcher v Peck 10 US 87 (1810), 45
Fong Yue Ting v US 149 US 698 (1893), 138, 151
Foster v Neilson 27 US 253 (1829), 161, 269
Franklin v Massachusetts 505 US 788 (1992), 66
Free Enterprise Fund v CFAO 561 US_ (2010), 68
Frolova v USSR 761 F.2d 370 (7th Cir. 1985), 161
Fry v US 421 US 542 (1975), 63
Fund for Animals v Kempthorne 472 F.3d 872 (DC Cir. 2006), 154
Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority 469 US 528 (1985), 71
Granfinanciera SA v Nordberg 492 US 33 (1989), 68
Gilligan v Morgan 431 US 1 (1973), 70
Glidden v Zdansk 370 US 530 (1962), 69
Goldman v Weinberger 475 US 503 (1986), 70
Goldwater v Carter 444 US 996 (1979), 140, 149, 150
Gordon v US 69 US (2 Wall.) 561 (1864), 68
Gregory v Ashcroft 501 US 452 (1991), 71
Hamdan v Rumsfeld 548 US 557 (2006), 146, 162, 165, 247, 272
Hines v Davidovitz 312 US 52 (1941), 159
Holden v Joy 17 Wall 211, 84 US 211 (1872), 136
Hopkirk v Bell 3 Cranch 454, 7 US 454 (1806), 158
Igartua De La Rosa v US 417 F.3d 145 (1st Cir. 2005), 148
INS v Chadha 462 US 919 (1983), 68
Japan Whaling Assoc v American Cetacean Soc 478 US 221 (1986), 162

Johnson v Eisentrager 339 US 763 (1950), 162
Jones v US 137 US 202 (1890), 149
Jordan v Tashiro 278 US 123 1928), 157
Kadic v Karadzic 70 F.3d 232 (2nd Cir. 1995), 248
Khulumani v Barclay Nat'l Bank 504 F.3d 254 (2nd Cir. 2007), 248
Kolovrat v Oregon 366 US 187 (1961), 158, 160
Lawrence v Texas 539 US 558 (2003), 85
*Liverpool, New York & Philadelphia Steamship v Comm'rs of Emigratio*n 113 US 33 (1885), 137
Loving v US 517 US 748 (1996), 152
Ludecke v Watkins 335 US 160 (1948), 133
Lujan v Dep't Wildlife 504 US 555 (1992), 68
Luther v Borden 48 US 1 (1849), 70
Marbury v Madison 5 US 179 (1801–1803), 69, 70, 135
Mackenzie v Hare 239 US 299 (1915), 133
Made in the USA Fdtn v US 242 F.3d 1300 (11th Cir. 2001), 150, 154
Maiorano v Baltimore & Ohio Railrd 213 US 268 (1909), 158
Mannington Mills v Congoleum 595 F.2d 1287 (3rd Cir. 1979), 161
*Martin ex. p Fairfax v Hunter's Lesse*e 1 Wheat. 304, 14 US 304 (1816), 135, 158, 217
Matar v Dichter 500 F.Supp.2d 284 (Dist NY 2007), 249
Maximov v US 373 US 49 (1963), 157
McCulloch v Maryland 17 US 316 (1819), 64, 67, 152
Medellin v Texas 552 US 491 (2008), 72, 140, 156, 162, 164, 165
Metro Washington Airport Auth v Citizens for Abatement of Aircraft Noise 501 US 252 (1991), 67, 71
Missouri v Holland 252 US 416 (1920), 62, 66, 67, 72, 136, 139, 152, 153, 155, 159
Mistretta v US 488 US 361 (1989) 381, 61, 68, 69, 71
Morrison v Olson 487 US 654 (1988), 61, 67
Murray v Schooner Charming Betsy 6 US 64 (1804), 81, 250
Myers v US 272 US 52 (1926), 67
Neely v Henkel 180 US 109 (1901), 152
New York Indians v US 170 US 1 (1898), 148
Nielsen v Johnson 279 US 47 (1929), 157
Nixon (Walter) v US 506 US 224 (1993), 70
Nixon v Admin Gen Services 433 US 425 (1977) 443, 69
Northern Pipeline v Marathon 458 US 50 (1982), 68, 69
Oetjen v Central Leather 246 US 297 (1918), 71, 133
Old Dominion Branch 496 Nat. Assoc. Letter Carriers v Austin 418 US 264 (1974), 66
The Paquette Habana 175 US 677 (1900) 700, 81, 250
Powell v McCormack 395 US 486 (1964), 70

Power Auth. of NY v Federal Power Comm 247 F.2d 538 (DC Cir. 1957), vacated *sub nom Am. Pub Power Assoc. v Power Authority of NY* 355 US 64 (1957), 148, 149
Presbyterian Church of Sudan v Talisman Energy 582 F.3d 244 (2nd Cir. 2009), 248
Reid v Covert 354 US 1 (1957), 67, 135, 136, 137, 154, 159
Republic of Mexico v Hoffman (1945) 324 US 30, 234
Sanchez–Llamas v Oregon 548 US 331 (2006), 148, 163, 164
The Schooner "Exchange" v McFaddon 11 US 116 (1812), 47, 234
Sealand Serv. Inv. v ICC 738 F.2d 1311 (DC Cir. 1984), 66
Sei Fuji v State of California 217 P.2d 481 (1950) (CA) *aff'd other grds* 242 P.2d 617 (1952) (Cal. Sup Crt), 160
Seminole Tribe of Florida v Florida 517 US 44 (1996), 138
Soc. Nat. Industrie Aerienne v US Dist Ct (Sth Dist Iowa) (482) US 522 (1987), 147
Sosa v Alvarez–Machain 542 US 692 (2004), 249, 240, 272
South Dakota v Dole 483 US 203 (1987), 71
Sumitomo Shoji America v Avagliano 457 US 176 (1982), 157, 160, 161
Tel–oren v Libyan Arab Republic 726 F.2d 774 (DC Cir. 1984), 161, 248
Terlinden v Ames 184 US 270 (1902), 149
Thomas v Union Carbide Agri. Prods 473 US 568 (1985), 68
Todok v Union State Bank of Harvard 281 US 449 (1933), 158
Torres v Mullin 540 US 1035 (2003), 164
Totes – Isotoner v US 594 F.3d 1346 (Fed Cir. 2010), 154
Underhill v Hernandez 168 US 250 (1897), 71
US v Belmont 301 US 324 (1937), 134, 135, 139, 155, 159
US v Darby 312 US 100 (1941), 63
US v Lee Yen Tai 185 US 213 (1902), 159
US v. Lopez 514 US 549 (1995), 71
US v Mead 533 US 218 (2001), 65, 67
US v Minnesota 270 US 181, 136
US v Morrison 529 US 598 (2000), 71
US v Nixon 418 US 683 (1974), 69, 71
US v Percheman 32 US 51 (1833), 161
US v Pink 315 US 203 (1942), 135, 139, 155, 159
US v Rauscher 119 US 407 (1886), 144
US v Sprague 282 US 716 (1931), 63
US v Yousef 327 F.3d 56 (2nd Cir. 2003), 245
Youngstown Sheet & Tube v Sawyer 343 US 579 (1952), 63, 64, 66, 67, 68-9, 135, 136
Van der Weyde v Ocean Transport 297 US 114 (1936), 134
Verlinden v Central Bank of Nigeria 461 US 480 (1983), 245
VW AG v Schlunk 486 US 694 (1998), 147
Ware v Hylton 3 US 199, 3 Dall 199 (1796), 70, 158
Weinberger v Rossi 456 US 25 (1982), 135, 139

Whitney v Robertson 124 US 190 (1888), 134, 139
Wiwa v Royal Dutch Petroleum 226 F.3d 88 (2nd Cir. 2000), 248
Zschernig v Miller 389 US 429 (1968), 159
Zicherman v Korean Airlines 516 US 217 (1996), 157

5 Other States

Australia

Min. Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v Teoh (1995) 183 CLR 273 (HC), 123

6 International – Transnational

PCIJ

Chorzow Factory PCIJ A17 (1928), 76
Danzig Courts PCIJ B15 (3 March 1928), 76
Exchange of Greek & Turkish Populations PCIJ B10 (1925), 76
Memel Territory PCIJ AB 49, 77
Polish Nationals in Danzig PCIJ A/B44 (1932), 14, 76
Rights of Minorities in Upper Silesia PCIJ A15 (1928), 17

ICJ

Applicability of Obligations to Arbitrate (Adv. Op.) ICJ Reps 1988 12, 76, 77
Arrest Warrant of April 11 2000 (Congo v Belgium) ICJ Reps 2002 3, 240
Avena and other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v US) ICJ Rep. 2004 12, 14, 76, 77, 140, 164
Elettronica Sicula SpA (US v Italy) ICJ Reps 1989 15, 76
Fisheries (UK v Norway) ICJ Reps 1951 116, 77
Lagrand (Germany v US) ICJ Reps 2001 466, 14, 76, 77, 164
Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon v Nigeria) ICJ Reps 1998 275, 77
Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v US) ICJ Reps 1986 14, 14, 18, 214
International Status of South West Africa (Adv. Op.) ICJ Reps 1950 128, 82
Reservations to the Genocide Convention ICJ Reps 1951, 142

ECtHR

Arends v Netherlands 29 Jan 2002 (°45618/99), 204
Chevrol v France 13 Feb. 2003, 178

References

Ackerman B (1991) We the people: foundations. Harvard University Press/Belknap, London
Ackerman B (1998) We the people: transformations. Harvard University Press/Belknap, London
Ackerman B (2000) The new separation of powers. Harv Law Rev 113:633
Ackermann B, Golove D (1995) Is NAFTA constitutional? Harv Law Rev 108:799
Adair E (1928) The law of nations and the common law of England: a study of 7 Anne cap 12. Camb Hist J:290
Adonis A (1993) Parliament today, 2nd edn. Manchester University Press, London
Ahlstrom C (2000) The status of multilateral export control regimes—an examination of legal and non-legal agreements in international co-operation. Lustu Forlag
Aleinikoff A (2004) International law, Sovereignty and American constitutionalism: reflections on the customary international law debate. Am J Int Law 98:91
Alexy R (2004) A theory of constitutional rights (J Rivers trans). Oxford University Press, Oxford
Allan T (2001) Constitutional justice. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Alland D (1997) La coutûme internationale devant le Conseil d'Etat: l'existence sans primauté. RGDIP 101:1053
Allen C (1965) Law and orders: an inquiry into the nature and scope of delegated legislation and executive powers in English law, 3rd edn. Stevens & Sons, London
Allott P (2007) The emerging universal legal system. In: Nijman and Nollkaemper 2007, 63
Anghie A (2007) Imperialism Sovereignty and the making of international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Anghie A, Chimni B, Mickelson K, Okafor O (eds) (2004) The third world and international order: law politics and globalization. Kluwer, Boston
Amrhein–Hofmann C (2003) Monismus und Dualismus in den Völkerrechtslehren. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin
Ardant P, Mathieu B (2008) Institutions politiques et droit constitutionnel. LGDP
Auby J, Cluzel–Métayer L (2007) Administrative law in France. In: Seerden R (ed) Administrative law in the European Union, its member states and the United States: a comparative analysis, 2nd edn. Intersentia, Oxford, p 61
Aust A (2007) Modern treaty law and practice, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Aust A (2009) United Kingdom. In: Sloss D (ed) The role of domestic courts in treaty enforcement. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 476
Austin J (1995) Province of jurisprudence determined. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Bachelier G (2001) Les règles non écrites du droit international public et le juge administrative. In Dupuy P (ed) Droit International et droit interne dans la jurisprudence compare du Cour Constitutionnel et du Conseil d'Etat, Pantheon/ LGD, Paris, p 42

D. Haljan, *Separating Powers: International Law Before National Courts*,
DOI: 10.1007/978-90-6704-858-3, © T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague,
The Netherlands, and the author 2013

Bagehot W (2001) The English constitution, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Barber N (2001) Prelude to the separation of powers. Camb Law J 60:59
Barber N, Young A (2003) The rise of prospective Henry VIII clauses and their implications for sovereignty. Public Law 47:112
Barendrecht J (1992) Het constitutionele toetsingsrecht van de rechter. In: Preadviezen: Toetsing, Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 85
Barendt E (1995) Separation of powers and constitutional government. Public Law 21:599
Bartlesen J (1995) The critique of the state. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Bartlesen J (2001) A Genealogy of Sovereignty. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Bell J (2008) Principles of French law. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Bell J, Neville Brown L (1998) French administrative law, 5th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Bellamy R (2007) Political constitutionalism: a republican defence of constitutional democracy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Bellekom T et al (2002) Compendium van het Staatsrecht, 9th edn. Kluwer, Deventer
Bennion F (1984) Statutory interpretation. Butterworths, London
Bentham J (1843) The principles of international law: essay I objects of international law. In: Bowring (ed) The works of Jeremy Bentham, vol 2. Tait, Edinburgh
Benvenisti E (2008) Reclaiming democracy: the strategic uses of foreign and international law by national courts. Am J Int Law 102:241
Benvenisti E, Downs G (2009) National courts, domestic democracy, and the evolution of international law. Eur J Int Law 20:59
Bergbohm K (1892) Jurisprudenz und Rechtsphilosophie. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin
Berman P (2005) From international law to law and globalisation. Colum J Transn Law 45:485
Berman H (1983) Law and revolution. Harvard University Press, Harvard
Berman P (2006) Seeing beyond the limits of international law. Texas Law Rev 84:1265
Besselink L (1990) Van stoomschip tot kruisvluchtwapen: rechterlijke toetsing aan het volkenrecht binnen de Nederlandse rechtsorde. TBP 45:266
Besselink L (1995) Staatsrecht en buitenlands beleid. In: Ars Aequi Cahiers: Staats- en bestuursrecht, Ars Aequi
Besselink L (1996) Preadvies: De Staatsrechtelijke regeling van aanvaarding en invoering van verdragen in Nederland. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle
Besselink L (2003) The constitutional duty to promote the development of the international legal order: the significance and meaning of article 90 of the Netherlands constitution. Neth Yearb Int Law 34:89
Besselink L (2007) Internationaal recht en national recht. In: Horbach N, Lefeber R, Ribbelink O (eds), Handboek Internationaal Recht. TMC Asser, The Hague, p 48
Besselink L, Wessels R (2009) De invloed van ontwikkelingen in de internationale rechtsorde op de doorwerking naar Nederlands constitutioneel recht. Een 'neo-monistische' benadering. In: Voorstudies ter voorbereiding op het werk van de staatscommisie Grondwet, Kluwer, and http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/bestanden/documenten-en-publicaties/rapporten/2009/10/16/voorstudies-ter-voorbereiding-op-het-werk-van-de-staatscommisie-grondwet/ontwikkelingenvaninternationale.pdf. Accessed Jan 2012
Bestor A (1979) Respective roles of Senate and President in the making and abrogation of treaties. Washington LR 55:1
Bickel A (1986) The least dangerous branch, 2nd edn. Yale University Press, London
Blackstone W (1979) Commentaries on the laws of England. University of Chicago Press, Chicago
Blomquist R (2010) The jurisprudence of American national security presiprudence. Valparaiso Univ Law Rev 44:881
Borchard E (1954) Treaties and executive agreements—A Reply. Yale Law J 54:616
Bos M (1985) Preadvies: Ongeschreven volkenrecht in de Nederlandse jurisprudentie. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle
Bothwell M (1990) Nicolo and the push to 1992—the evolution of judicial review in France. Brigham Young Univ Law Rev 1649

Bovend'Eert P, Kummeling H (2004) Het Nederlandse Parlement, 10th edn. Kluwer, Deventer
Boyle A, Chinkin C (2007) The making of international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Bradley C (1998a) The Charming Betsy canon and separation of powers: rethinking the interpretative role of international law. Georgetown Law J 86:479
Bradley C (1998b) The treaty power and American federalism. Mich Law Rev 97:390
Bradley C (2000) The treaty power and American federalism, Part II. Mich Law Rev 99:98
Bradley C (2003) Presidential signing statements and executive power. Const Comm 23:307
Bradley C (2007) Unratified treaties, domestic politics, and the US constitution. Harv Int Law J 48:307
Bradley C (2008) Self-execution and treaty duality. Supreme Court Rev 131
Bradley C, Goldsmith J (1997a) Customary international law as federal common law: a critique of the modern position. Harv Law Rev 110:815
Bradley C, Goldsmith J (1997b) The current illegitimacy of international human rights litigation. Fordham Law Rev 66:319
Bradley C, Goldsmith J (1998) Federal courts and the incorporation of international law. Harv Law Rev 111:2260
Bradley C, Goldsmith J (2000) Treaties, human rights, and conditional consent. Pennsylvania LR 149:399
Bradley C, Goldsmith J, Moore D (2007) Sosa, customary international law, and the continuing relevance of Erie. Harv Law Rev 121:869
Bradley C, Flaherty M (2004) Executive power essentialism and foreign affairs. Mich Law Rev 102:545
Brilmayer L (1994) Federalism, state authorities and the pre-emption power of international law. Supreme Court Rev 295
Brierly J (1958) The basis of obligation in international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Brölmann C (2007) Deterritorialization in international law: moving away from the divide between national and international law. In: Nijman and Nollkaemper (2007). Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 84
Brouwer J (1992) Verdragsrecht in Nederland. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle
Brouwer J (2005) The Netherlands. In Hollis D., Blakeslee M., Ederington B. (eds.), National Treaty Law and Practice, Kluwer, Boston 483
Brown R (1991) Separated Powers and Ordered Liberty. Pennsylvania LR 139:1513
Brownlie I (2008) Principles of Public International Law, 7th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Brudner A (2004) Constitutional goods. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Buffet-Tchakaloff M-F (1991) L'arrêt GISTI. RGDIP 124
Burdeau G (1986) Les engagements internationaux de la France. AFDI 836
Byers M (1997) The relationship between jus cogens rules and erga omnes rules. Nordic J Int Law 66:211
Byers M (1999) Custom, power and the power of rules: international relations and customary international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Campbell T, Goldsworthy J (eds) (2000) Judicial power, democracy, and legal positivism. Ashgate, Derbyshire
Cappeletti M (1985) Repudiating Montesquieu? The expansion and legitimacy of constitutional justice. Catholic Univ Law Rev 35:1
Cappeletti M (1980) The mighty problem of judicial review and the contribution of comparative analysis. South Calif Law Rev 53:409
Capps P (2007) The court as gatekeeper: customary international law in English courts. Mod Law Rev 70:458
Carolan E (2007) The new separation of powers. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Case Note (2006) An objection to sosa and to the new federal common law. Harv Law Rev 119:2077

Cash R (1963) Presidential power: use and enforcement of executive orders. Notre Dame Law Rev 39:44
Chadwick E (1996) Self-determination, terrorism and the international humanitarian law of armed conflict. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague
Chantebout B (2008) Droit constitutionnel. Siery, Paris
Charlesworth H, Chiam M, Hovell D, Williams G (eds) (2005) The fluid state. Federation Press, Leichhardt
Chinkin C (2007) Monism and dualism: the impact of private Autonomy on the Dichotomy between national and international law. In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 134
Chodosh H (1991) Neither treaty nor custom: the emergence of declarative international law. Tex Int Law J 26:87
Chretien C (1960) L'interpretation des traits bilatéraux de double imposition. JCP 1(3):561
Claus L (2005) Montesquieu's mistakes and the true meaning of separation. OJLS 25:419
Cleary T (2007) A wolf in sheep's clothing: the unilateral executive and the separation of powers. Pierce Law Rev 6:265
Cohen G (1996) Lecture 5: Reason, humanity and the moral law. In: O'neill O (ed) The sources of normativity. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Cohn M (2009) Judicial review of non-statutory executive powers after Bancoult: a unified anxious model. Public Law 260
Colburn J (2004) Democratic experimentalism: a separation of powers for our time? Suffolk Univ Law Rev 37:287
Comment (2008) Self-execution of treaties. Harv Law Rev 122:435
Conforti B (1993) International law and the role of domestic legal systems. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague
Cooper P (2002) By order of the president: the use and abuse of executive direct action. Kansas University Press, Lawrence
Craig P (2008) Administrative law, 6th edn. Sweet & Maxwell, London
Craig P, Brown R (1990) The separation of powers and ordered liberty. Pennsylvania LR 139:1513
Cunningham A (1994) The European Convention on Human Rights, customary international law and the constitution. Int Comp Law Q 43:537
D'Amato A (1971) The concept of custom in international law. Cornell University Press, Ithaca
D'Amato A (1987) Trashing customary international law. Am J Int Law 81:101
Damen L, Nicola P et al (eds) (2005) Bestuursrecht, 2nd edn. Boom Juridische uitgevers, The Hague
Damrosch L (1991) The role of the United States senate concerning self-executing and non-self-executing treaties. Chic-Kent Law Rev 67:515
de Been W (2008) Legal realism regained. Stanford University Press, Palo Alto
de Lange R (2006) Constitutionele toetsing van wetgeving in Nederland. Regelmaat 142
de la Rochere F (1987) France. In: Jacobs F, Roberts S (eds) The effect of treaties in domestic law, Sweet & Maxwell, London, p 41
Delbrück J (1993) Globalisation of law? Politics and markets/ the implications for domestic law—a European perspective. Indiana J Glob Law Stud 1:9
Delbrück J (2001) Structural changes in the international department and the liberal legal order: international law in the era of globalisation. Swiss Rev Int Eur Law 1:1
Denning B, Ramsey M (2004) American Ins. Assoc v Garamendi and executive preemption in foreign affairs. William Mary Law Rev 46:825
Devins N (2009) Presidential unilateralism and political polarization: why today's congress lacks the will and the way to stop presidential initiatives. Willamette Law Rev 45:395
de Wet E (2006) The international constitutional order. Int Comp Law Q 55:51
Dicey A (1967) An introduction to the study of the constitution, 10th edn. Macmillan, London

Doyle M (2009) The UN charter—a global constitution? In: Dunoff J, Trachman J (eds) Ruling the World? Constitutionalism, international law, and global governance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 113
Dreyfus F (1992) The recent change in French administrative case law concerning treaties and domestic law. Tel Aviv Univ Stud Law 11:97
Dubuis L (1971) Le juge administratif français et les régles du droit international. AFDI 40
Dubuis L (1972) L'application du droit coutumier par le juge francais. In: Reuter et al (eds), p 75
Dunoff J (2006) Constitutional conceits: the WTO's constitution and the discipline of international law. Eur J Int Law 17:647
Dunoff J, Trachman J (eds), (2009) Ruling the world? constitutionalism, international law, and global governance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Dupuy P-M (2008) Droit international public. Monchrestien, Paris
Edmunson W (1998) Legitimate authority without political obligation. Law Philos 17:43
Edmunson W (2004) State of the art: the duty to obey the law. Leg Theory 10:215
Ehrlich E (2002) Fundamental principles of the sociology of law, (Moll W trans). Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick
Eisemann P-M, Kessedjian C (1995) National treaty law and practice: France. Stud Transnatl Leg Policy 27:1
Elliott E (1989) Why our separation of powers jurisprudence is so abysmal. Geo Wash Law Rev 57:506
Elliott M (2009) Pyrrhic public law: Bancoult and the sources, status and content of common law limitations on prerogative power. Public Law 697
Ely J. Hart (1980) Democracy and distrust. Harvard University Press, Harvard
Elzinga D, de Lange R (2006) Van Der Pot Handboek van het Nederlandse staatsrecht, 15th edn. Kluwer, Deventer
Erades L (1949) Waar volkenrecht en Nederlands staatsrecht elkaar raken. De Erven Bohn, Haarlem
Erades L (1993) Interactions between international and municipal law: a comparative caselaw study. TMC Asser Instituut, The Hague
Erades L, Gould W (1961) The relation between international law and municipal law in the Netherlands and the United States. Sijthoff, Amsterdam
Falk R (1964) The role of domestic courts in the international legal order. Syracuse University Press, Syracuse
Fassbender B (1998) The UN charter as constitution of the international community. Columbia J Transl Law 36:529
Fassbender B (2009) Rediscovering a forgotten constitution: notes on the place of the UN Charter in the international legal order. In: Dunoff J, Trachman J (eds) Ruling the World? Constitutionalism, international law, and global governance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 133
Favoreu L (1993) Le controle de constitutionalité du Traité de Maastricht et le development du droit constitutionnel international. RGDIP 39
Favoreu L, Ga P, Ghevontian R, Mestre J-L (2008) Droit Constitutionnel. Dalloz, Paris
Fejfar A (1996) An analysis of the term "Reification" as used in Peter Gabel's "Reification and legal reasoning". Cap Univ Law Rev 25:579
Feldman D (1999) Monism, dualism, and constitutional legitimacy. Australian Yearb Int Law 20:105
Fitzmaurice M (2002) The identification and character of treaties and treaty obligations between states in international law. British Yearb Int Law 73:141
Fitzmaurice M, Elias O (2005) Contemporary issues in the law of treaties. Eleven International, The Hague
Flaherty M (1996) The most dangerous branch. Yale Law J 105:1725
Flaherty M (1999) History right: historical scholarship, original understanding, and treaties as supreme law of the land response. Columbia Law Rev 99:2095
Flaherty M (2004) The future and past of US foreign relations law. Law Contemp Probl 67:169

Fleischman J, Afuses A (1976) Law and orders: the problem of presidential legislation. Law Contemp Probl 40:1
Fleuren J (1995) Voorlopige toepassing van verdragen in constitutioneel perspectief. Themis 156:247
Fleuren J (2004) Een ieder verbindende bepalingen van verdragen. Boom, Amsterdam
Fleuren J (2005) Preadvies: Directe en indirecte toepassing van international recht door de Nederlandse rechter. In: Nollkaemper A, Fleuren A, Wouters J, Van Eeckhoutte D (eds) Preadviezen: De nationale rechter en het internationaal recht, NVIR/TMC Asser, The Hague, p 69
Foreign and Commonwealth Office, United Kingdom (2001) The Ponsonby Rule. www.fco.gov.uk/resources/en/pdf/pdf2/fco_nopdf_ponsonbyrule. Accessed Jan 2012
Foreign and Commonwealth Office, United Kingdom (2011a) In Prison Abroad www.fco.gov.uk/resources/en/pdf/2855621/in-prison-abroad. Accessed Jan 2012
Foreign and Commonwealth Office, United Kingdom (2011b) Consular Assistance Guide. www.fco.gov.uk/resources/en/pdf/2855621/support-for-british-nationals-abroad.pdf. Accessed Jan 2012
Forst R (2002) Contexts of justice (Farrell J trans). California University Press, Berkeley
Forsyth C (ed) (2000) Judicial review and the constitution. Hart, Oxford
Franck T (1963) International law: through national or international courts? Villanova Law Rev 8:139
Franck T (1988) Legitimacy in the international system. Am J Int Law 82:705
Franck T (1990) The power of legitimacy among nations. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Franck T, Glennon M, Murphy S (2007) Foreign relations and national security, 3rd edn. Thomson West, Eagan
Friedmann T (2000) The lexus and the olive tree. Anchor, Harpswell
Friedmann T (2005) The world is flat: a brief history of the 20th century. Anchor, Harpswell
Gabel P (1994) Reification in legal reasoning. In: Boyle J (ed) Critical legal studies. Dartmouth, Watertown
Gaja G (2007) Dualism—a review. In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 84
Gardiner R (1995) Treaty interpretation in the English courts since Fothergill v Monarch airlines. Int Comp Law Q 44:62
Gardbaum S (2009) Human rights and international constitutionalism. In: Dunoff J, Trachman J (eds) Ruling the World? Constitutionalism, international law, and global governance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 233
Gicquel J, Gicquel J-E (2008) Droit constitutionnel et institutions politiques. Montchrestien, Paris
Giegerich T (2009) The “Is” and the “Ought” of international constitutionalism. Ger Law J 10:31
Ginn D (2008) The domestic status of customary international law in the United States: lessons from the federal courts’ experience with general maritime law. J Int Law Int Rev 4:105
Glennon M (1983) The senate role in treaty ratification. Am J Int Law 77:257
Goldsmith J (2000) Statutory foreign affairs pre-emption. Supreme Court Rev 175
Goldsmith J, Posner E (2005) The limits of international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Goldwin R, Kaufman A (eds) (1986) The separation of powers—does it still work? American Enterprise Institute, Washington, DC
Golove D (2000) Treaty-making and the nation: the historical foundations of the nationalist conception of the treaty power. Mich Law Rev 98:1075
Golove D (2010) A civilized nation: the early Amrican constitution, the law of nations, and the pursuit of international recognition. N Y Univ Law Rev 85:932
Greenwood C (1990) The tin council litigation in the house of lords. CLJ 49:8
Guzman A (2005) Saving customary international law. Mich J Int Law 27:115
Gwyn W (1966) The meaning of the separation of powers. Tulane University Press, New Orleans
Gwyn W (1989) The indeterminacy of the separation of powers and the federal courts. Geo Wash Law Rev 57:474
Habermas J (1996) Between facts and norms (W. Rehg trans.). Polity, Cambridge

Haljan D (2009) Is the rule of law a limit on popular sovereignty? In: Claes E, Devroe W, Keirsbilck B (eds) Facing the limits of the law. Springer, Berlin, p 271
Hamilton A, Madison J, Jay J (1961) The federalist papers (ed. & intro C. Rossiter). Mentor, New York
Hansen J (2009) Murder and the military commissions: prohibiting the executive's unauthorized expansion of jurisdiction. Minn Law Rev 93:1871
Harrington J (2006) Scrutiny and approval: the role for Westminster-style parliaments in treaty-making. Int Comp Law Q 55:121
Hart H (1961) The concept of law. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Hathaway O (2008) Treaties' end: the past, present, and future of international lawmaking in the United States. Yale Law J 117:1236
Henkin L (1956) The treaty makers and the law makers: the Niagara power reservation. Col Law Rev 56:1151
Henkin L (1979) How nations behave: law and foreign policy, 2nd edn. Columbia University Press, New York
Henkin L (1984) International law as law in the United States. Mich Law Rev 82:1555
Henkin L (1989) Treaties in a constitutional democracy. Mich J Int Law 10:406
Henkin L (1997) Foreign affairs and the constitution, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Heringa H, Kiiver P (2007) Constitutions compared. Intersentia, Belgium
Higgins R (1987) United Kingdom. In: Jacobs F, Roberts S (eds) The Effect of Treaties in Domestic Law, Sweet & Maxwell, 120
Higgins R (1993) The relationship between international and regional human rights norms and domestic law. In Higgins R (ed) Themes and theories. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Hirsch Ballin E (2005) Een levende Grondwet. Regelmaat 161
Hoffmann F (2009) Gentle civiliser? Moving (beyond) international law. Mod Law Rev 72:1016
Hoffmann G (2005) Critique, culture and commitment: the dangerous and counterproductive paths of international legal discourse. Nova Law Rev 29:211
Hollis D (2005) Why state consent still matters: non-state actors, treaties, and the changing sources of international law. Berkeley J Int Law 23:137
House of Commons Information Office (2008) Factsheet Statutory Instruments. www.parliament.uk/documents/commons-information-office/l07.pdf. Accessed Jan 2012
Iwasawa Y (1986) The doctrine of self-executing treaties in the United States: a critical analysis. Virginia J Int Law 26:627
Jackson J (1987) United States. In: Jacobs F, Roberts S (eds) The effect of treaties in domestic law. Sweet & Maxwell, London, p 141
Jackson J (1967) The general agreement on tariffs and trade in United States domestic law. Mich Law Rev 66:250
Jackson P (1964) The royal prerogative. MLR 27:709
Jennings I (1967) The law and the constitution, 5th edn. University of London Press, London
Jennings R (1990) An international lawyer takes stock. Int Comp Law Q 39:513
Jennings R, Watt A (1992) Oppenheim's international law, 9th edn. Longman, London
Jessup P (1939) The doctrine of Erie Railroad v Tompkins applied to international law. Am J Int Law 33:740
Jones J (1940) Immunities of the servants of diplomatic agents and the Statute of Anne 7, c.12. J Comp Law Int Law 22:19
Kelly P (2000) The twilight of customary international law. Virginia J Int Law 40:449
Kelsen H (1944) Peace through law. University of North Carolina Press, North Carolina
Kennedy D (1987) The move to institutions. Cardozo Law Rev 8:841
Kent A (2007) Congress's under-appreciated power to define and punish offences against the law of nations. Tex Law Rev 85:843
Keohane R, Nye J (2000) Power and independence. Longman, London
Kirgis F (1987) Custom on a sliding scale. Am J Int Law 81:146
Klabbers J (1995) The new Dutch law on the approval of treaties. ICLQ 44:629
Klabbers J (1996) The concept of treaty in international law. Kluwer Law International, The Hague

Knutsen T (1997) A history of international relations, 2nd edn. Manchester University Press, Manchester
Koh H (1986) Congressional controls on presidential trade policymaking after I.N.S. v. Chadha. N Y Univ J Int Law 18:1191
Koh H (1997) Why do nations obey international law? Yale Law J 106:2599
Koh H (1998) Commentary: is international law really state law? Harv Law Rev 111:1824
Koh H (2004) The Supreme Court meets international law. Tulsa J Comp Int Law 12:1
Koopmans T (1992) Constitutionele toetsing. In: Preadviezen: Toetsing. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 35
Korsgaard C (1996a) Lecture 3: The authority of reflection. In: O'neill O (ed) The sources of normativity. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Korsgaard C (1996b) Lecture 4: The origin of value and the scope of obligation. In: O'neill O (ed) The sources of normativity. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Kortmann C et al (2002) IEL constitutional law series: The Netherlands. Kluwer Law International, The Hague
Kortmann C (2005) Constitutioneel Recht, 5th edn. Kluwer, Deventer
Koskenniemi M (2001) The gentle civiliser of nations: the rise and fall of international law, 1870–1960. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Koskenniemi M (2005) From apology to Utopia: the structure of international legal argument (Reissue). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Koskenniemi M (2007) The fate of public international law: between technique and politics. Mod Law Rev 70:1
Krutz G, Peake J (2009) Treaty politics and the rise of executive agreements: international commitments in a system of shared powers. Michigan University Press, Michigan
Ku J (2005) Structural conflict in the interpretation of customary international law. Santa Clara Law Rev 45:857
Kumm M (2003) International law in national courts: the international rule of law and the limits of the internationalist model. Virginia J Int Law 44:19
Kumm M (2009) The Cosmopolitan Turn in Constitutionalism: On the Relationship between constitutionalism in and beyond the state. In: Dunoff and Trachman 2009, 258
Laski H (1918–1919) The responsibility of the state in England. Harv Law Rev 32:447
Lassser M (2004) Judicial deliberations: a comparative analysis of transparency and legitimacy. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Lauterpacht H (1933) The function of law in the international community. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Lepard B (2010) Customary international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Lee M (2008) Reorienting the debate on presidential signing statements: the need for transparency in the president's constitutional objections, reservations, and assertions of power. UCLA Law Rev 55:705
Levit J (2008) Does Medellin matter? Fordham Law Rev 77:617
Lillich R (1970) The proper role of domestic courts in the international legal order. Virginia J Int Law 11:9
Litowitz D (2000) Reification in law and legal theory. South Calif Interdiscip Law J 9:401
Lobel J (1985) The limits of constitutional power: conflicts between foreign policy and international law. Virginia Law Rev 71:1071
Loughlin M (1999) The state, the crown and the law. In: Sunkin M, Payne S (eds) The nature of the crown: a legal and political analysis, Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 33
Loughlin M, Walker N (eds) (2007) The paradox of constitutionalism: constitutional power and constitutional form. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Loveland I (2006) Constitutional law, administrative law, and human rights: a critical introduction, 4th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Luchaire F (1991a) Commentaire à la décision de 23 juin 1991. RDP 1514
Luchaire F (1991b) The participation of parliament in the elaboration and application of treaties. Chic-Kent Law Rev 67:341
MacCormick N (2007) Institutions of law. Oxford University Press, Oxford

Magill E (2000) The real separation in separation of powers law. Virginia Law Rev 86:1127
Magill E (2001) Beyond powers and branches in separation of powers law. Pennsylvania LR 150:603
Maitland F (1961) The constitutional history of England, (HAL Fisher ed). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Manin P (1987) Des traités et accords internationaux: article 52. In: Luchaire F, Conac G (eds) La constitution de la république française, 2nd edn. Economica, Paris
Mann F (1986) Foreign affairs in English courts. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Mann F (1991) International organisations as national corporations. Law Q Rev 107:3
Markensis B (1973) The royal prerogative revisited. Camb Law J 32:287
Marshall G (1971) Constitutional theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Martin R (2003) The most dangerous branch: how the Supreme Court of Canada has undermined our law and our democracy. McGill-Queen's University Press, Montreal
Martinez J (2005–2006) Inherent executive power: a comparative perspective. Yale Law J 115:2480
McCutcheon P (1994) Mistake, precedent, and the rise of the administrative state: toward a constitutional theory of second best. Cornell Law Rev 80:1
McDougal M, Lans A (1954) Treaties and congressional-executive or presidential agreements: interchangeable instruments of national policy. Yale Law J 54:534
McGinnis J, Somin I (2007) Should international law be part of our law? Stanf Law Rev 59:1175
McIntyre A (1984) After virtue, 2nd edn. Notre Dame University Press, Beirut
McIntyre A (1988) Whose justice? Which rationality? Notre Dame University Press, Beirut
McNair A (1961) The law of treaties, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Merrill P (1991) The constitutional principle of the separation of powers. Supreme Court Rev 225
Merrill T (1985) The common law powers of federal courts. Chicago Law Rev 52:1
Mok M (1984) Rechterlijk toetsing van wetgeveing. In: Recht op Scherp (Liber Amicorum W. Duk). Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 55
Monaghan H (1970) Presidential war-making. Boston Univ Law Rev 50:19
Montesquieu Ch L de Secondat (1989) The spirit of the laws (Cohler A, Miller B, Stone H, trans & ed). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Moran M (2007) Shifting boundaries: the authority of international law. In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 163
Morgenstern J (1950) Judicial practice and the supremacy of international law. British Yearb Int Law 27:48
Munro C (1999) Studies in constitutional law, 2nd edn. Butterworths, London
Murphy J (2010) The evolving dimensions of international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Mus J (1996) Verdragsconflicten voor de rechter. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle
Neuborne B (1982) Judicial review and separation of powers in France and the United States. N Y Univ Law Rev 57:363
Neuman G (1997) Sense and nonsense about customary international law: a response to professors Bradley and Goldsmith. Fordham Law Rev 66:371
Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) (2007) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Nollkaemper A (2009) The Netherlands. In: Sloss D (ed) The role of domestic courts in treaty enforcement. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 326
Nourse V (1996) Toward a Due Foundation for the separation of powers: the federalist papers as political narrative. Tex Law Rev 74:447
Nourse V (1999) The vertical separation of powers. Duke Law J 47:1171
O'Connell D (1970) International law, 2nd edn. Stevens, London
O'Keefe R (2002) Customary international crimes in English courts. British Yearb Int Law 72:293
O'Keefe R (2008) The doctrine of incorporation revisited. British Yearb Int Law 79:7

Olsted M (2005) Are things falling apart? Rethinking the purpose and function of international law. Loyola Los Angeles Int Comp Law Rev 27:401

Panel Discussion (2007) The path to Sosa. ASIL Proc 101:261

Paulus A (2005) Jus cogens in a time of hegemony and fragmentation. Nordic J Int Law 74:297

Paulus A (2007) The emergence of the international community and the divide between international and domestic law. In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between international law and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 216

Paulus A (2009) The international legal system as a constitution. In: Dunoff J, Trachman J (eds) Ruling the world? Constitutionalism, international law, and global governance. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 69

Paust J (1983) Federal jurisdiction over extraterritorial acts of terrorism and nonimmunity for foreign violators of international law under the FSIA and the act of state doctrine. Virginia J Int Law 23:191

Paust J (1988a) Rediscovering the relationship between congressional power and international law: exceptions to the last in time rule and the primacy of custom. Virginia J Int Law 28:393

Paust J (1988b) Self-executing treaties. Am J Int Law 82:760

Paust J (1990) Customary international law: its nature, sources, and status as law of the United States. Mich J Int Law 12:59

Paust J (1996) International law as the law of the United States. Carolina Academic Press, Durham

Paust J (1999) Customary international law and human rights treaties are the law of the United States. Mich J Int Law 20:301

Payne S (1999) The royal prerogative. In: Sunkin M, Payne S (eds) The nature of the crown: a legal and political analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 77

Peeters A (2005) Global constitutionalism revisited. Int Leg Theory 11:39

Peeters A (2006) Compensatory constitutionalism: the function and potential of fundamental international norms and structures. Leiden J Int Law 19:579

Peeters A (2007) The globalisation of state constitutions. In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, New York, p 251

Pellett A (1987) Article 53. In: Luchaire F, Conac G (eds) La constitution de la république française, 2nd edn. Economica, Paris, p 1005

Perkins J (1997) The changing foundations of international law from state consent to state responsibility. Boston Univ Int Law J 15:433

Perrean-Saussine A, Murphy J (eds) (2007) The nature of customary law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Petersen N (2008) Customary law without custom? Am Univ Int Law Rev 23:275

Pfersmann O (2010) Concrete review as individual constitutional complaint in French constitutional law: a comparative perspective. Eur Const Law Rev 6:22

Phillimore R (1854) Commentaries on international law. Johnson. Butterworth, London

Philpott D (2001) Revolutions in sovereignty. Princeton University Press, Princeton

Picciotto S (2008) Constitutionalising multilevel governance? I CON 6:457

Pinto R (1987) Article 55. In: Luchaire F, Conac G (eds), La constitution de la république française, 2nd, Economica, p 1063

Poggi G (1990) The state: its nature, development and prospects. Polity, Cambridge

Powell C (2008) Tinkering with torture in the aftermath of Hamden: testing the relationship between internationalism and constitutionalism. New York Univ J Int Law Pol 40:723

Pozo C (2006–2007) Foreign affairs power doctrine wanted dead or alive: reconciling one hundred years of preemption cases. Valparaiso Univ Law Rev 41:591

Prakash S, Ramsey M (2001) The executive power over foreign affairs. Yale Law J 111:231

Prakke L (1972) Toetsing. Van Gorcum & Comp, Assen

Prakke L (1992) Bedenkingen over toetsingsrecht. In Prakke L, Koopmans T, Barendrecht J (eds) Preadvies: toetsing. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 1

Preuss L (1950) The relationship of international law to internal law in the French constitutional system. Am J Int Law 44:641
Rakove J (1984) Solving a constitutional puzzle: the treatymaking clause as a case study. Persp Am History 1:233
Ramsay M (2002) International law as non-preemptive federal law. Virginia J Int Law 42:555
Randall R (1985) Federal jurisdiction over international law claims: Inquiries into the Alien Tort Statute. New York Univ J Int Law Pol 18:1
Rao P (2004) Multiple international judicial forms: a reflection of the growing strength of international law or its fragmentation. Mich J Int Law 25:929
Raustialia R (2006) Refining the limits of international law. Georgia J Int Comp Law 34:423
Redish M (1995) The constitution as political structure. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Reestman J (2002) De Franse Conseil d'Etat: de constitutie staat in Frankrijk boven verdragen. RM Themis 2:84
Reisenfeld S, Abbott F (1991) The scope of US Senate control over the conclusion and operation of treaties. Chic-Kent Law Rev 67:571
Restatement of the Law Third (1987) Restatement of the foreign relations law of the United States. American Law Institute, Philadelphia
Reuter P, Blondeau A, Questiaux N (1972) L'application du droit international par le juge français. Colin, Paris
Rodgers J (1999) International law and United States law. Ashgate, Surrey
Rosenkranz N (2005) Executing the treaty power. Harv Law Rev 118:1867
Rowe P, Meyer M (1996) The Geneva Conventions Amendment Act 1995: a generally minimalist approach. Int Comp Law Q 45:476
Sadurska R, Chinkin C (1990) The collapse of the international tin council: a case of state responsibility? Virginia J Int Law 30:845
Sales P, Clement J (2008) International law in domestic courts: the developing framework. Law Q Rev 124:388
Schönfeld K (2008) Rex, Lex, et Judex: Montesquieu and the bouche de la loi revisited. Eur Const Law Rev 4:274
Schutgens R (2007) Het voorstel–Halsema en de toestbaarheid van de wet. Regelmaat 12
Schutte C (2003) De stille kracht van de Neerlandse Grondwet. Themis 1:26
Schutte C (2004) De verwarring van rechtsstaat en rechtersstaat. Kanttekeningen bij constitutionele rechtspraak volgens het voorstel-Halsema. Regelmaat 93
Schwarzenberger G (1947) The inductive approach to international law. Harv Law Rev 60:539
Seerden R, Stroink F (2007) Administrative law in the Netherlands. In: Seerden R (ed) Administrative law in the European Union, its member states and the United States: a comparative analysis, 2nd edn. Intersentia, Belgium, p 145
Shaw M (2008) International law, 5th edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Skrodzki A (2007) Signing statements and the new supreme court: the future of presidential expression. John Marshall Law Rev 40:1317
Slaughter A-M (1994) A typology of transjudicial communication. Richmond Law Rev 29:99
Slaughter A-M (2004) A new world order. Princeton University Press, Princeton
Slaughter A-M, Burke-White W (2007) The future of international law is domestic (or, the European way of law). In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 110
Sloss D (1999) The domestication of international human rights: non-self-execution declarations. Yale J Int Law 24:129
Sloss D (2002) Non-self-executing treaties: exposing a constitutional fallacy. UC Davis Law Rev 36:1
Sloss D (2007) Schizophrenic treaty law. Tex Int Law J 43:15
Sondaal H (1986) De Nederlandse verdragspraktijk. TMC Asser, The Hauge
Sondaal H (1988) Some features of Dutch treaty practice. Neth Yearb Int Law 19:179
Spiro S (2001) Treaties, executive agreements, and constitutional method. Tex Law Rev 79:961
Stack K (2005) The statutory president. Iowa Law Rev 90:539

Starke J (1936) Monism and dualism in the theory of international law. Brit Yearb Int Law 17:42
Steger M (2009) Globalisation: a very short introduction, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Stephens B (1997) The law of our land: customary international law after Erie. Fordham Law Rev 66:393
Stiglitz J (2003) Globalism and its discontents. Norton, New York
Stirling–Zanda S (2004) The determination of customary international law in the European courts (France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland). Non-State Actors Int Law 4:3
Strauss P (1987) Formal and functional approaches to the separation of powers questions—a foolish inconsistency? Cornell Law Rev 72:488
Strauss P (1984) The place of agencies in government: separation of powers and the fourth branch. Columbia Law Rev 84:573
Strauss P (1997) Presidential rule-making. Chic-Kent Law Rev 72:965
Stroink F (1995) De toekomst van de Raad van State. In: ten Berg et al (eds), De Grondwet als voorwerp van aanhoudende zorg. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 299
Sunkin M, Payne S (eds) (1999) The nature of the crown. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Sunstein C (2005) Administrative law goes to war. Harv Law Rev 118:2663
Swaine E (2006) Reserving. Yale J Int Law 31:307
Swaine E (2010) The political economy of Youngstown. South Calif Law Rev 83:263
Sweeny J (1995) A tort only in violation of the law of nations. Hastings Int Comp Law Rev 18:445
Symposium (1992) Federal common law. Pace Law Rev 12:227–357
Symposium (2006a) Koskenniemi and the gentle civiliser. German Law J 7:981
Symposium (2006b) The limits of international law. Georgia J Int Comp Law 34:253
Tams C (2005) Enforcing obligations erga omnes in international law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
Teboul G (1991) Le droit international nonécrit devant le juge administrative: quelques reflections. RGDIP 321
Telders B, Verzijl J (1937) Preadviezen: Is het gewenscht dat den Netherlandschen rechter de bevoegdheid toekomt international verdragen en andere overeenkomsten rechtstreeks toe te passen? Nederlandse Juristen Vereniging, Zwolle
Templeman (Lord) (1991) Treaty-making and the British parliament. Chi-Kent Law Rev 67:459
Thirlway H (1972) International customary law and codification. Sijthoff, Leiden
Thompson C (2007) Presidential signing statements: The big impact of a little known presidential tool. Toledo Law Rev 39:185
Tomkins A (1999) Of constitutional spectres. Public Law 525
Tribe L (1995) Taking text and structure seriously: reflections on free-form method in constitutional interpretation. Harv Law Rev 108:1221
Trimble P (1986) A revisionist view of customary international law. UCLA Law Rev 33:665
Trimble P, Weiss J (1991) The role of the president, the senate and congress with respect to arms control treaties concluded by the United States. Chi-Kent Law Rev 67:645
van der Burg F (1995) Het materiele wetsbegrip. In: ten Berg et al (eds), De Grondwet als voorwerp van aanhoudende zorg (Burkens bundel), Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 311
Van der Zanden J (1952) Vergdrag gaat voor wet, ook in nationale rechtsbetrekkingen. Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle
van Dijk P (1995) Het parliamentaire goedkeuringsrecht inzake verdragen (artikel 91). In: ten Berge J et al (eds), De Grondwet als voorwerp van aanhoudende zorg, Tjeenk Willink, Zwolle, p 349
van Dijk P, Tahzib B (1991) Parliamentary participation in the treaty-making process of the Netherlands. Chi-Kent Law Rev 67:413
van Panhuys H (1953) The Netherlands constitution and international law. Am J Int Law 47:537
van Panhuys H (1964) The Netherlands constitution and international law: a decade of experience. Am J Int Law 58:88
Vazquez C (1992) Treaty based rights and remedies of individuals. Columbia Law Rev 92:1082

Vazquez C (1995) The four doctrines of self-executing treaties. Am J Int Law 89:695
Vazquez C (1999a) Breard, Printz, and the treaty power. Colorado Law Rev 30:1317
Vazquez C (1999b) Laughing at treaties: response. Columbia Law Rev 99:2154
Vazquez C (2001) W(h)ither Zschernig? Villanova Law Rev 46:1259
Vazquez C (2008a) Missouri v Holland's second holding. Missouri Law Rev 73:939
Vazquez C (2008b) The separation of powers as a safeguard of nationalism. Notre Dame Law Rev 83:1601
Vazquez C (2008c) Treaties as law of the land: the supremacy clause and judicial enforcement of treaties. Harv Law Rev 122:599
Verhey L (2005) Protection of fundamental rights: interactions between the legislature and the judiciary. Judicial constitutional review in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. In: Stroink F, van der Linden E (eds), Judicial law making and administrative law, Intersentia, Belgium, p 121
Vile M (1998) Constitutionalism and the separation of powers, 2nd edn. Liberty Fund, Indianapolis
Villiger M (1985) Customary international law and treaties: a study of their interactions and interrelations with special consideration of the 1969 Vienna convention on the law of treaties. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague
von Bogdandy A (2008) Pluralism, direct effect, and the ultimate say: on the relationship between international and domestic constitutional law. Int J Const Law 6:397
Wade W (1955) The Legal Basis of Sovereignty. Camb Law J 196
Wade W (1985) Procedure and prerogative in public law. Law Q Rev 101:180
Wade W (1999) The crown, ministers and officials: legal status and liability. In: Sunkin M, Payne S (eds) The nature of the crown: a legal and political analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 23
Wade W, Forsyth C (2009) Administrative law, 10th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
Walter C (2001) Constitutionalising (Inter)national governance: possibilities for and limits to the development of an international constitutional law. German Yearb Int Law 44:170
Walter C (2007) International law in a process of constitutionalization. In: Nijman J, Nollkaemper A (eds) New perspectives on the divide between national and international law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p 191
Warbrick C (2003) International Law in English courts—recent cases. ICLQ 52:814
Weil P (1983) Towards relative normativity in international law. Am J Int Law 77:413
Wheaton H (1916) Elements of international law. Stevens, New York
White E (1999) The transformation of the constitutional regime of foreign relations. Virginia Law Rev 85:1
Woltjer A (2002) Wetgever, rechter en het primaat van de gelijkheid. Boom Juridische uitgevers, The Hague
Yoo J (1999a) Globalism and the constitution: treaties, non-self-execution, and the original understanding. Columbia Law Rev 99:1955
Yoo J (1999b) Treaties and public lawmaking: a textual and structural defense of non-self-execution rejoinder. Columbia Law Rev 99:2218
Yoo J (2001) Laws as treaties? The constitutionality of congressional executive agreements. Mich Law Rev 99:757
Young E (2002) Sorting out the debate over customary international law. Virginia J Int Law 42:365
Zijderveld A (2000) The institutional imperative. Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam

Index

A
act of state doctrine, *see* sovereign immunity
acte clair, 173
Alien Tort Statute (US), 245, 247, 248–249, 272
Allen, CK, 41

B
Bagehot, 36, 38, 45
Barber, 282–284
Bentham, 13
Blackstone, 42
Boyle and Chinkin, 30
Bradley and Goldsmith, 245–246
Brownlie, 22

C
Charming Betsy canon, 81, 250, 267, 271
Constitutional Reform and Governance Act (UK), 99–100, 112
Constitutionalism, 8, 9, 25–27, 274
  customary international law, 5, 220–221, 221, 223–225, 250, 254
  dualism, 224–228, 229, 230
  effect of globalisation on, 6, 23, 24
  legality and legitimacy, 18, 19, 73, 111, 205, 271–272, 275, 276, 280
  the separation of powers, 4, 5, 72–73, 267, 275–276, 278, 285–286
  territoriality, 279–280, 285, 287
Constitutional identity, 278–279, 284–285, 291
Council of Europe, 114
Convention against Torture 1984, 239, 255
Customary international law, 17, 21, 212–213, 215, 218
  before national courts, 219–220, 234–235, 250
  de lege ferenda and de lege lata, 214
  dualism, 221–225, 252, 254, 275, 276
  opinio juris, 213–214, 215–217
  the separation of powers, 217–220, 221, 234, 237, 249–250, 272–275
  state practice, 214–216

D
D'Amato, 216, 217
Declaration of incompatibility, 40, 45, 241
Dicey, 36, 38, 42
Direct effect, 277
  France, 175–177, 269
  the Netherlands, 197–198, 201–207, 269
  US, 143, 146, 160, 268, 269–270
  see also treaties
Division of powers (US), 158–159, 164, 243, 245
Dualism, 277–278, 280–282, 286, 288
  UK, 96, 111, 115–117, 121, 126, 128, 221, 223–226, 230, 231
  US, 144–147, 154, 156
  France, 181–182, 220, 221, 252

D. Haljan, *Separating Powers: International Law Before National Courts*,
DOI: 10.1007/978-90-6704-858-3, © T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague,
The Netherlands, and the author 2013

D (*cont.*)
the Netherlands, 199, 200, 205–207, 254, 256
and customary international law, 221–226, 230, 252, 254
see also monism

E
ECJ, 59, 115, 202, 203
EConvHR, 59, 106, 107, 114, 115, 131–132, 171, 193, 203, 205–206, 271
ECtHR, 59
EURATOM, 105
European Union, 1, 9, 10, 12, 22, 91, 100, 114, 153, 271, 285
Executive agreements (US)
congressional, 139, 151–152
sole/presidential, 140, 154–156
External perspective, 14–16, 29–31, 77, 134, 147, 242, 278

F
Franck, 22
Federalism, 35, 63, 71–52, 153, 155, 163, 243
customary international law, 243, 245–247
Foreign affairs power, 4, 96
US, 65–66, 70, 135–138, 153–154, 159
France, 50, 165–168, 171, 173, 180
UK, 43, 107, 109, 113–134, 133, 221
the Netherlands, 60, 184, 186, 195
Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act (US), 245, 248–249

G
Goldsmith and Posner, 22
Globalisation, 24–25
Geneva Conventions
armed conflict, 117, 162, 165, 194
refugees and asylum seekers, 176
territorial seas, 121

H
Henkin, 19–20, 22–23, 247
Hart, 22, 70, 73, 74–75, 79, 95
Henry VIII clauses, 40–41
Human Rights Act (UK), 106–107, 114–115, 117
see also declaration of incompatibility

I
ICC, 18, 19
ICCPR, 59, 91, 173, 194, 195, 202–203, 206
ICJ, 18, 19, 23, 30, 164, 213, 241
ICJ Statute, 17, 82, 84, 212
ICESCR, 59, 176
Institutional strategy, 11, 79–80, 169, 171–172, 251, 263
Internal perspective, 14, 15–16, 29–31, 75, 221, 236–237, 244, 252, 278
International law, 13–14, 16, 17, 29–30, 75, 77–78
frailties of, 17, 18–19, 20–23
erga omnes, obligations, 29, 193, 246, 274, 281
incorporation and transformation doctrines, 237–238
internal and external perspectives, 14–15
influence of globalism, 24–25, 27–28
ius cogens, 5, 9, 77, 193, 195, 240, 241, 246, 249, 274, 281
non liquet, 82
private law, distinguished from, 14
rule of law in, 28–29
sovereignty concerns, 13–14, 16, 75, 77
tension with national law, 4, 7, 19, 31, 75, 77, 82–83, 237–238, 263–264
Interpretative amendment, 258

J
Jennings, 37–38
Judicial power, 268–269, 273–275
Conseil Consitutionnel, 53–54, 168, 181
Conseil d'Etat, 51, 52–53
France, 69
the Netherlands, 57–58, 59–60, 69
UK, 45–48, 69, 269
US, 61–63, 67–69, 268–269
see also judicial review, separation of powers
Judicial review, 273–274
UK, 44, 45–48
France, 51–53, 181–182
US, 64, 68–70
the Netherlands, 57–60, 257–258

K
Kelsen, 3, 26, 74, 138, 218, 256

L

Laski, 42–43
Law-making, 32, 38–39, 43–45, 60, 73–75, 79, 84, 91, 93, 96, 100, 102, 136, 146–147, 187, 199, 219, 230, 234–235, 265, 267, 269, 272, 275–276, 280, 291
League of Nations, 18, 28, 212
Lege ferenda, 21, 78, 214
Lege lata, 21, 78, 214
Legislation (primary, secondary, delegated)
  see law-making, secondary legislation
Legitimacy and validity, 18, 31, 73–74, 75, 77, 111, 205, 221, 230, 271–272, 275, 276, 286
  customary international law, 229–230
  institutional strategy, 79–80
  presumptive strategy, 82, 84
  reflexive strategy, 85, 264
Legitimate expectations, doctrine of, 123, 190
Loi-écran, 172, 252

M

Maitland, 113
Memorandum of understanding / MOU, 21, 88, 90, 100
Montevideo Convention, 15
Montesquieu, 32–33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 48, 51, 61, 73, 291
Monism, 261, 277
  dualism, pluralism, and, 92–95, 96
  France, 93
  the Netherlands, 93, 185–186, 189–190, 194–195, 198–200, 205, 206–207, 254, 256–257, 260
  parliamentary monism, 45
  qualified monism, 93, 206–207
  see also dualism

P

Paramountcy, 5, 71–72, 150, 157–158, 198, 243, 256, 268
Parliamentary power, 259–260
  executive law-making, 41, 43–46, 77, 166, 181–182, 183, 260
  see also reservations, declarations, provisos
PCIJ, 18, 28, 212
Pluralism
  see monism
political questions doctrine, 4, 69–70, 147, 149, 220, 243
Ponsonby rule, 99–100, 112
Pre-emption
  see paramountcy
Prerogative powers, 4, 96–97
  UK, 37, 39, 42–43, 44–47, 98, 102, 103, 107, 109–112, 116, 121, 132, 221
  customary international law, 220
  the Netherlands, 57, 60–61
  France, 50, 171, 181
Presidential powers (US), 64–66
  separation of powers, 135–136
  presidential orders, 66
  law-making, 136, 146–148, 153–155, 161
  treaties, 133, 153
Presidential powers (France), 50, 51–52, 54, 166–167
Presumptive strategy, 10–11, 80–84, 190, 197, 219–220, 223, 247, 254, 263–264, 266, 274–275
Principle of legality, 18

Q

Question prioritaire de constitutionalité, 54

R

Rule of recognition, 11, 22, 70, 75, 94–95, 97, 114, 138, 140, 186, 196, 200, 207, 243, 247, 254, 257–258, 260, 263–264, 267
Rule of law, 20, 25–27, 31, 286, 290
  international law, 28–29
Reflexive strategy, 84–85, 264–266, 274
Reciprocity conditions (France), 187
Reservations, declarations, provisos
  US, 142–143, 270
  France, 170, 270–271
  the Netherlands, 183–184, 270–271

S

Secondary legislation
  US, 66–67
  UK, 39–41
Sens clair doctrine
  see acte claire
Self-executing treaties
  see treaties
Separation of powers, 3–4, 5, 70–78, 31–33, 75, 77, 280–281
  as balancing, 34–35, 199, 286
  customary international law, 217–220
  division of power, 33, 199, 280–281
  dynamism, 34–35, 72–73
  efficiency, 273–274

S (*cont.*)
federalism, 71–72
formalism and functionalism, 33–34
France, 38, 39, 49–51, 53, 165–167
horizontal–vertical, 72, 243, 281
inter-branch tension, 4, 5, 33, 40–41, 45, 77, 97, 267, 269, 272
international law, 6, 19, 72, 80–81, 149, 186, 217–220, 221, 223, 224–225, 231, 262–263, 269, 272, 287
the Netherlands, 39, 55–58, 59–60, 61, 183–184, 186–188, 191–192, 195–196, 199–200, 203, 205, 256
treaties, 87, 92, 94, 96, 149, 166–167, 169, 267–269, 270–272
UK, 36–38, 39–41, 45, 99–100, 102–103, 114, 118, 126, 223–187
US, 39, 61–62, 64, 67–69, 70, 133, 136, 140, 143, 149, 155–156, 243–245, 249
Supremacy clause, 96–97, 268–269, 270, 272
France, 96, 168, 173–174, 177–178, 240, 268
the Netherlands, 96, 183, 186–187, 189, 197–207, 255, 268
US, 66, 96, 138, 143–145, 156, 157–158, 160, 162–163, 165, 268, 270
Supremacy of parliament
see sovereignty
Sovereign immunity, 4, 13, 21, 274, 281
France, 251, 253
the Netherlands, 60, 256–257, 258–259
UK, 47, 101–103, 106–110, 232–233, 234–236, 239–241
US, 147, 232, 244, 246–249
State immunity
see sovereign immunity
State Immunity Act (UK), 232, 239–240
Sovereignty, 6, 7, 14, 15, 16, 19, 23, 26, 27–28, 29, 43, 77, 102–104, 109, 111, 121, 137, 182, 186, 206, 218–219, 223, 242, 256–257
dualism, 93, 256
of parliament, 36, 38, 96, 187, 230

T
Tate Letter (1952), 234
Treaties, 5, 17, 87–88, 95–96, 212
constitutionalism, 30, 92, 94–95, 153
distinguished from other agreements, 89, 90
elements of, 89–90
incorporation by statute, 116–118
implementation (France), 166–169, 170–173
implementation (the Netherlands), 183–184, 185, 186–187, 188–191
implementation (UK), 98–99, 99–100, 113, 118, 270
implementation (US), 135–137, 138–140, 141, 143–145, 151–153, 154, 156, 270
internal treaties (US), 138
interpretation, 81, 119–122, 124–125, 128–132, 157–158, 159, 165, 173–176, 179, 195–196, 201–206, 250, 267, 271
law-making, 90–92, 146–148, 167, 185–188
supremacy clauses, 66, 80, 97, 145, 177–178, 197–201, 258–259
self-executing, 143, 146, 160–162, 201–207
travaux preparatoires, 98, 119
unincorporated, 99, 114, 122–123, 124, 126, 131
validity before the courts, 95–97, 271
see also dualism, monism
Treaty of Versailles, 110
Treaty of Rome, 112
Trias politica
see separation of powers

U
Uniform Code of Military Justice (US), 165, 272
United Nations, 23
General Assembly, 18
Security Council, 18, 20, 106, 107, 253
Charter of, 18, 88

V
Validity criteria, 73–74
VCLT, 88, 89, 91, 119, 142, 190, 193, 195, 201, 258
VCCR, 156, 164–165, 174

W
Wade, 42, 104
WTO, 19, 23, 91