# EFFECT OF MnO<sub>2</sub> ADDITION ON EARLY-STAGE SINTERING BEHAVIOR AND PROPERTIES OF NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> CERAMICS

Jinjing Du\*, Yihan, Liu, Guangchun Yao, Xiuli Long, Xiao Zhang School of Materials and Metallurgy, Northeastern University

\* Corresponding author: Jinjing Du

School of Materials and Metallurgy, Northeastern University, 117 Box, 110819 Shenyang, China Tel.: +86 24 83686462; fax: +86 24 83682912; E-mail: dujinzi521@126.com

Key words: NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Composite Ceramic, MnO<sub>2</sub> Addition, Sintering Behavior, Microstructure, Mechanical Property.

### Abstract

The samples with small amounts of MnO<sub>2</sub> (0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5 wt%, respectively) were prepared via ball-milling process and two-step sintering process from commercial powders (i.e. Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, NiO and MnO<sub>2</sub>). Microstructure features, phase transformation, the early-stage sintering behavior and mechanical properties of Mn-doped NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> samples have been investigated. Results indicate that the reduction of MnO<sub>2</sub> into Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and following the reduction of Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into MnO existed in sintering process. No new phases are detected in the matrix, the crystalline structures of ceramic matrix are still NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel structure. MnO<sub>2</sub> addition can promote the sintering process. The temperature for 1 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped samples to reach the maximum shrinkage rate is 59 °C lower than that of un-doped samples.

#### Introduction

Much attention has been paid on novel techniques of inert anodes in aluminum electrolysis area for many years [1]. With development of green anode materials for aluminum production, the current efficiency of aluminum electrolysis is as high as 96 % now, but consumable carbon anodes are used, the anode product being  $CO_2$  and CO, also with fluorocarbons in the electrolysis process [2].

Up to now, various researches have been carried out to find a kind of appropriate materials as inert anodes, which would solve numerous ecological and economical problems through releasing environment-friendly O2 during electrolysis. A patent applied by S. P. Ray in 1998 brought NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> into researchers' view [3-5]. NiFe2O4 based cermets are one of the most promising candidate inert anodes materials for aluminum electrolysis production, which have the desirable properties of metallic materials as well as those of ceramics and possess a better corrosion resistance and a higher thermal shock resistance in the molten cryolite-alumina bath [6-8]. For low reactivity of solid substance in the preparation of ceramic matrix for the intensive bonding force among the solid particles, it is hard to obtain high density target products at lower temperatures. Preparing ultra-fine powder or using sintering promoters has been exploited [9-11] mostly to obtain target products. The latter one is more economical and directly to improve sintering ability for large-scale industrial fabrication. MnO<sub>2</sub> introduction can improve relative density of CeO<sub>2</sub> from 68% for un-doped samples to 94 % for 1 wt% MnO2-doped samples sintered at 1300 °C for 1 h [12-14]. The densities of alumina samples containing 3.0 % MnO<sub>2</sub> and 0.5 %TiO<sub>2</sub> sintered at 1250 °C for 1 h are up to 98.2 % of the theoretical density

(T.D.) [15]. It can be seen that  $MnO_2$  is an effective sintering promoter for certain ceramic materials mentioned above.

In this paper, a two-step sintering process was applied to prepare  $MnO_2$ -doped NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ceramics. Effect of  $MnO_2$  introduction on early-stage sintering behavior, microstructures, mechanical properties and promoting sintering mechanism was presented.

#### **Experimental Procedure**

# Synthesis 3 1

The molar ratio of NiO to  $Fe_2O_3$  was 1.87:1 in mixture of NiO and  $Fe_2O_3$  commercial powders. Ceramic bodies were fabricated from high purity reagents [ $Fe_2O_3$ : 99.3 %, NiO: 99.9 % and MnO\_2: 97.5% (Guoyao, China)]. Raw materials were ground in distilled water via a ball-milling for 24 h and dried at 120 °C, then ground with 4 vol% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) binder and pressed into cylinder blocks. The blocks were calcined at 1000 °C for 6 h to produce NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ceramic matrix.

The matrix products were crushed and ball-milled with  $MnO_2$  in the same way, then the mixture of calcined matrix and  $MnO_2$ additive were dried thoroughly. Adding 4 vol% PVA binders, the dried mixture was fabricated into cylinder bars (70 mm×15 mm×8 mm) by cold pressing at a pressure of 200 MPa and pressure holding time 5 min.

### Sintering Experiment

Sintering studies for the green blocks ( $\Phi$  8.5 mm × 9.5 mm) were performed in air in a vertical dilatometer (SETSYS18 EV-24, France). The samples were heated at a constant rate (10 K/min) to a desired temperature to observe axial shrinkage and then cooled down to ambient temperature.

### Characterization and Performance Test

Fracture surface was characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (SSX-550, Japan). Crystalline phases were identified by X-ray diffractometer (Japan) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation, pip voltage 40 kV, current 100 mA. The samples for measurements of relative density and mechanical property were sintered at 1200 °C in air for 6 h, then cooled down to room temperature. The porosity and bending strength of samples were tested by Archimedes drainage and three-point method on INSTRON4206–006 electron mechanical experimental machine (USA), respectively.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Phase Identification

Phase analysis using X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) was performed, as shown in Figure 1, which confirms the formation of cubic spinel structure. It indicates no new phases formed in the sintered samples with the introduction of  $MnO_2$  into ceramic matrix. It may be contributed to the entrance of Mn ions into the lattice of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. And the calculated lattice constants for undoped and 1 wt%  $MnO_2$  doped samples are 8.31 Å and 8.34 Å, which leads to lattice distortion of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel. It is beneficial for sintering process.



Figure 1. XRD patterns of the samples with different amounts of MnO<sub>2</sub> addition.



Figure 2. DTA curve of the sample with 2 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub> addition..

Results from DTA curve for 2 wt%  $MnO_2$ -doped samples, as shown in Figure 2, indicates that there are three exothermal peaks at 596.1 °C , 917.7 °C and 1158.4 °C, respectively. It is somewhat different from  $MnO_2$ -CeO<sub>2</sub> system [12]. Zhang et al. [14] found that three reduction peaks of  $MnO_2$  occurred at 650 °C, 1000 °C and 1200 °C, respectively.

It seems that the reduction of  $MnO_2$  in our case follows the same way as presented in literature [14], the decomposition temperature of each step is lower than that in their case, i.e.:

$$2\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \xrightarrow{596.1\mathrm{°C}} \mathrm{Mn}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{3} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{O}_{2} \uparrow$$
(1)

$$Mn_2O_3 \xrightarrow{917.7C} 2 xMnO + (1-x)Mn_2O_3 + \frac{1}{2}xO_2 \uparrow$$
(2)

$$(1-x)\operatorname{Mn}_{2}\operatorname{O}_{3} \xrightarrow{-11584\mathrm{C}} 2(1-x)\operatorname{MnO} + \frac{1}{2}(1-x)\operatorname{O}_{2} \uparrow$$
(3)

# Characterization and Mechanical Properties

Results from measurements of relative densities and bending strengths are listed in Table 1. It can be seen that un-doped samples have a lower relative density (~ 90.84 %) and poorer bending strength (~ 19.03 MPa) than those without  $MnO_2$  addition. As the  $MnO_2$  addition increases from 0 to 1 wt%, the values of the densities and the bending strengths increase and reach a maximum at x = 1.0 wt%, which are about 93.6 % and 38.75 MPa, respectively.

Table I Relative Densities and Bending Strengths of the Samples Sintered at 1200 °C for 6 h with different amounts of MnO<sub>2</sub>

MnO <sub>2</sub> content (wt%)	Relative density (%)	Bending strength (MPa)
0	90.84	19.03
0.5	91.98	24.76
1.0	93.63	38.75
1.5	93.24	34.11
2.0	93.12	30.24
2.5	92.71	21.34

The selected microstructures of un-doped, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.5 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped samples sintered in air at 1200 °C for 6h are shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that un-doped samples have a looser structure with grain size  $2 \sim 4 \mu m$ . When 0.5 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub> added, the apparent sintering trajectories were detected. Solid-solution phenomenon and smaller grain size can be detected in 1 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped samples. When the doping amount is up to 2.5 wt%, the local positions are riddled with pores and non-uniform particles.

It suggests  $MnO_2$  additive can enhance the densification in the doping level from 0 to 1.0 wt%. When  $MnO_2$  addition is over 1.0 wt% (for instance, 2.5 wt% in this paper), it is against the densification process in the samples. It may be attributed to  $MnO_2$  doping exceeds its solubility in the ceramic matrix, which could result in accumulation of  $MnO_2$  addition at boundaries, so that increase diffusion activation energy. It is unfavorable for the sintering process.



Figure 3. SEM micrographs of the samples with  $MnO_2$  additions: (a) 0; (b) 0.5 wt%; (c) 1.0 wt%; (d) 2.5 wt%.

### Non-isothermal Sintering Behavior

Linear shrinkage ( $\triangle L/L_0$ ) of samples sintered at a constant heating rate of 10K min<sup>-1</sup> is shown in Figure 4 MnO<sub>2</sub> shifts the onset of sintering towards lower temperatures from 1125 °C for un-doped samples to 1068 °C for 1 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped samples.

Figure 4 shows the curves of shrinkage rate  $(d(\Delta L/L_0)/dt)$  as a function of temperature for different MnO<sub>2</sub> contents, and there is an obvious decrease in temperature of maximum shrinkage rate  $(T_{max})$ . For example, the temperature of maximum shrinkage rate decreases from 1290 °C for un-doped samples to 1221 °C for 1 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped samples. The difference in values of  $T_{max}$  for both samples is close to 60 °C. When doping up to 2.5 wt%,  $T_{max}$  is lower than that for 1 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped samples. Results obtained from non-isothermal sintering indicate MnO<sub>2</sub> can promote the densification process and reduce sintering temperature dramatically.



Figure 4. Shrinkage rate against sintering temperature for (I)andoping, (20.5, (3) and (42.5 wt% MnO<sub>2</sub>-doped ceramic samples sintered at a heating rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>.

# Conclusion

The prepared samples are single phase cubic spinel structure. Decomposition of  $MnO_2$  into  $Mn_2O_3$  and MnO during sintering process is confirmed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The value of lattice parameter for un-doped and 1 wt%  $MnO_2$  doped samples is 8.31 Å and 8.34 Å, respectively.

Introduction of  $MnO_2$  (i.e., 1.0 wt.%) into ceramic matrix is beneficial to promote sintering process.  $MnO_2$  additive can reduce sintering temperature dramatically, and the temperature of maximum shrinkage rate decreases from 1290 °C for the undoped samples to 1221 °C for 1 wt.%  $MnO_2$ -doped samples.

With 1 wt.%  $MnO_2$  introduction, the values of both relative density and bending strength can reach a maximum, which are 93.6 % and 38.75 MPa. The temperature for 1 wt.%  $MnO_2$ -doped samples to reach the maximum shrinkage rate is 59 °C lower than that of un-doped samples.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the State Key Program of National Natural Science of China(No.50834001; No. 50971038) and National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) (No.2009AA03Z502).

### References

1. J., Keniry, "The Economics of Inert Anodes and Wettable Cathodes for Aluminum Reduction Cells," *JOM*, 5(53) (2001), 43-48.

2. J. Ma et al., "Research on Preparation and Properties of 18NiO-NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Composite Ceramic Inert Anodes," *Light Metals*, 2010, 949-952.

3. L.J. Berchmans et al., "Evaluation of  $Mg^{2+}$ -substituted NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> as a Green Anode Material," *Mater. Lett.*, 58(2004), 1928-1933.

4. J.H. Yang et al., "The Behavior and Improvement of SnO<sub>2</sub>-Based Inert Anodes in Aluminum Electrolysis," *Light Metals*, 1993, 493-495.

5. S. P. Ray, R. A. Rapp, "Composition Suitable for Use as Inert Electrode Having Good Electrical Conductivity and Mechanical Properties," US Patent: 4454015, 1984-02-08.

6. S.P. Ray, "Inert Anodes for Hall cells," Light Metals, 1986, 287-298.

7. E. Olsen, and J. Thonstad, "Nickel Ferrite as Inert Anodes in Aluminum Electrolysis (part I): Material Fabrication and Preliminary Testing," J. Appl. Electrochem., 29(1999), 293-299.

8. J. Thonstad et al., *Aluminium (3rd edition) MI*. (Diisseldorf: Aluminium-Verlag, 2001), 328-338.

9. L.M. Zhang et al., Fundamentals of Materials Science (in Chinese), *Wuhan University of Technology Press*, 2008.

10. Z.G. Zhang et al., "Synthesis of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Spinel Nanopowder via Low-Temperature Solid-State Reactions", *Journal of Northeastern University (Natural Science)*, 31(6) 2010, 868-871. (in Chinese)

11. J.H. Xi et al., "Effects of Addtive  $V_2O_5$  on Sintering Mechanism and Properties of Nickel Ferrite," *Journal of the Chinese Ceramic Society*, 33(6) 2005, 683-687. (in Chinese)

12. T.S. Zhang et al., "Sintering and Densification Behavior of Mn-doped CeO<sub>2</sub>," *Mat. Sci. Eng. B.*, 83 (2001), 235-241.

13. J.A. Cerri et al., "Effect of Cobalt (II) Oxide and Manganese (IV) Oxide on Sintering of Tin(IV) Oxide," J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 79(1996), 799-801.

14. T.S. Zhang et al., "Sintering study on commercial  $CeO_2$  powder with small amount of  $MnO_2$  doping," *Mater. Lett.*, 57(2002), 507-512.

15. H. Erkalfa, Z. Misirli, T. Baykara, "Densification of Alumina at  $1250^{\circ}$ C with MnO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> Additives," *Ceram. Int.*, 21(1995), 345-348.