# International Human Rights Law Olivier De Schutter



CAMBRIDGE

This page intentionally left blank

# International Human Rights Law CASES, MATERIALS, COMMENTARY

How do you keep students motivated when their perception of a subject conflicts with the reality of its academic study? International human rights law, unquestionably an exciting field, is also complex and demanding. With his breakthrough textbook, De Schutter focuses on international human rights law as a global legal system, rather than as a collection of different (though related) rights, giving it relevance and immediacy. Drawing on cases and materials from a wide range of sources, he shows how human rights law is used as a tool to address contemporary issues such as counterterrorism and global poverty. Materials are organized thematically, allowing readers to make comparisons and connections between different legal treaties and systems. Students can also easily assess how human rights are protected under domestic and international laws. The law is placed in context throughout, ensuring full understanding of why laws exist and how they work.

OLIVIER DE SCHUTTER is the UN Special Rapporteur on the right to food. A professor at the University of Louvain (UCL) and at the College of Europe and a Member of the Global Law School Faculty at New York University, he has been Visiting Professor at a number of institutions, most recently at Columbia University. From 2002 to 2006, he chaired the EU Network of Independent Experts on Fundamental Rights, a highlevel group of experts which advised the European Union institutions on fundamental rights issues. He has acted on a number of occasions as expert for the Council of Europe and for the European Union. Since 2004, and until his appointment as the UN Special Rapporteur on the right to food, he has been the General Secretary of the International Federation of Human Rights (FIDH) on the issue of globalization and human rights.

# International Human Rights Law

CASES, MATERIALS, COMMENTARY

Olivier De Schutter



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521764872

© Olivier De Schutter 2010

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provision of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published in print format 2010

ISBN-13 978-0-521-76487-2 Hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-74866-7 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of urls for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# Contents

Tables of Cases xi

Introduction

1

1 2

3 4 Table of Treaties and Conventions

PART I THE SOURCES		
The emergence of international human rights 11 Introduction 11		
The universal level: the United Nations and human rights 12		
The regional level 20		
2.1 The Council of Europe and human rights 20		
2.2 The Organization of American States and human rights 22		
2.3 The African Union and human rights 28		
The emerging jus commune of human rights 31		
Human rights law as part of international law 48		
4.1 Human rights beyond treaties 49		
(a) Human rights in the UN Charter 49		
(b) Human rights as part of customary international law 50		
(c) Human rights as general principles of law 53		
(d) The significance of human rights as part of general international law 55		
4.2 Human rights in the hierarchy of international law 59		
(a) The arguments in favour of hierarchy 60		
(b) Human rights as jus cogens norms 64		
(c) Serious breaches of <i>jus cogens</i> norms 87		
4.3 The <i>erga omnes</i> character of human rights obligations 89		
4.4 Human rights treaties as non-contractual in nature 94		
4.5 Reservations to human rights treaties 96		
(a) The regime of reservations in international law 97		
(b) Reservations in the Inter-American and European systems 103		
(c) From regional to universal human rights treaties: the doctrine of the Human		
Rights Committee 109		

xliv Table of Comments and Recommendations of Various International Committees

lxiii

2	State responsibility and 'jurisdiction' 123
	Introduction 123
1	National territory and 'effective control' 125
	1.1 Occupied foreign territory 125
	1.2 The inability of the State to control all the national territory 132
2	Extraterritorial obligations under international human rights law 142
	2.1 The responsibility of States for the activities of State agents operating
	outside the national borders 142
	2.2 The obligation of States to protect human rights beyond the national
	territory 162
	2.3 The obligation of international assistance and co-operation 172
	2.4 Human rights and development 178
3	The responsibility of States in inter-State co-operation 194
Ū	3.1 Deportation cases 194
	3.2 The execution of foreign judgments 210
1	The responsibility of States for the acts of the international organizations 216
4	·
	4.2 The specific character of the UN Charter and of UN Security Council Resolutions 233
	RESOLUTIONS 233
	DADT II THE CHOCTANTIVE ADDICATIONS
	PART II THE SUBSTANTIVE OBLIGATIONS
	PART II THE SUBSTANTIVE OBLIGATIONS
3	
3	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect
3	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241
	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241
3	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242
	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb'
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262
1	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of legitimacy 306
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of legitimacy 306
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of proportionality 313
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of proportionality 313 (a) The general principle 313
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of proportionality 313 (a) The general principle 313 (b) The importance of procedures for weighing all relevant
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of legitimacy 306 3.4 The condition of proportionality 313 (a) The general principle 313 (b) The importance of procedures for weighing all relevant interests 316
2	The typology of States' obligations and the obligation to respect human rights 241 Introduction 241 The typology of States' obligations 242 1.1 Obligations to respect, to protect and to fulfil 242 1.2 Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability 253 Rights of an 'absolute' character 257 2.1 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in 'ticking bomb' situations 257 2.2 The absolute character of the prohibition of torture in the context of deportation proceedings 262 (a) The principle 262 (b) Diplomatic assurances 278 The regime of rights which may be restricted 288 3.1 The acceptability of limitations on human rights 288 3.2 The condition of legality 293 3.3 The condition of legitimacy 306 3.4 The condition of proportionality 313 (a) The general principle 313 (b) The importance of procedures for weighing all relevant interests 316 (c) The importance of contextual assessments 322

4	The application of human rights in private relationships and the
	obligation to protect 365
1	Introduction 365 The imputability to the State of the conduct of non-State actors and the
•	obligation to protect 366
	1.1 The attribution to the State of acts committed by private entities 367
	1.2 Positive obligations to protect 379
	(a) Before UN human rights treaty bodies 379
	(b) Before regional courts 384
2	Measuring the scope of the obligation to protect 399
	2.1 The principle 399
	2.2 An obligation of means 414
	2.3 The limits of the obligation to protect 415
	<ul> <li>2.4 Human rights in contractual relationships and the question of waiver of rights</li> <li>2.5 Respect for conflicting human rights as a limit to the scope of the obligation</li> </ul>
	to protect 446
	(a) Affirming the priority of the obligation to respect over the obligation
	to protect 446
	(b) Deferring to the evaluation of other authorities 447
	(c) Developing judicial techniques that can solve conflicts between
	rights: 'practical concordance' and beyond 453
5	The progressive realization of human rights and the obligation
	to fulfil 461
	Introduction 461
	The principle 462
2	Framework laws and action plans 466
	2.1 Framework laws 466
2	2.2 National strategies and action plans 472 Indicators and benchmarks 479
3	Indicators and benchmarks 479 3.1 General considerations on the role of indicators in human rights
	monitoring 481
	3.2 The use of indicators by the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural
	Rights and the IBSA methodology for monitoring compliance with
	economic and social rights 492
	3.3 Indicators in the Inter-American system 496
4	Measuring the obligation of progressive realization 500
6	Derogations in time of public emergency 513
	Introduction 513
1	First condition: a public emergency which threatens the life of the nation 517
	1.1 What is a public emergency threatening the life of the nation? 517
_	1.2 The need for an official proclamation of a state of emergency 527
2	Second condition: the necessity requirement 530
3	Third condition: the non-discrimination requirement 547  Fourth condition: compliance with other international obligations 551
4	
5	in the condition. Figures which are not subject to derogation 553

558

6 Sixth condition: international notification

7

7	The prohibition of discrimination 561
1	The scope of the requirement of non-discrimination 562
-	1.1 The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 562
	1.2 The European Convention on Human Rights 571
	1.3 The European Social Charter 575
	1.4 The American Convention on Human Rights 576
2	The range of States' obligations 576
_	2.1 Equality before the law 577
	2.2 Equal protection of the law 596
	(a) Sex 597
	(b) Religious or philosophical conviction 598
	(c) Sexual orientation 601
	(d) Nationality 605
	2.3 The legal prohibition of discrimination 612
	2.4 The guarantee of an effective protection against discrimination 623
3	The notion of discrimination 625
Ū	3.1 Direct and indirect discrimination 625
	3.2 Reasonable accommodation 640
	3.3 Positive action 645
	(a) The notion of positive action or 'temporary special measures' 645
	(b) The contribution of temporary special measures to the promotion of
	equality 648
	(c) The compatibility of temporary special measures with the non-
	discrimination requirement 662
4	Systematizing anti-discrimination law 674
	Self-determination and minority rights 681
	5.1 The right to self-determination 681
	(a) General principles 681
	(b) The internal and external dimensions of self-determination 687
	(c) The lack of justiciability of the right to self-determination in the context
	of individual communications 694
	5.2 Minority rights 703
	(a) The direct route: the explicit protection of rights of minorities 704
	The definition of minorities in international law 713
	(b) The indirect route: the protection of the rights of minorities and the right
	to respect for private and family life 714

# PART III THE MECHANISMS OF PROTECTION

8 Ensuring compliance with international human rights law: the role of national authorities 729

Introduction 729

1 Judicial remedies 731

- 1.1 The general requirement to provide effective remedies
- 1.2 The question of the justiciability of economic and social rights 740
  - (a) Challenges to the justiciability of social and economic rights 740

	(b) Answers to these challenges 742
	(c) The justiciability of economic, social and cultural rights: conceptual guidance 745
	1.3 Social and economic rights before national courts 751
2	Non-judicial mechanisms 771
	2.1 The role of preventive mechanisms in general 772
	2.2 Human rights impact assessments 778
	2.3 The role of national human rights institutions 780
	2.4 Mainstreaming human rights 787
9	The United Nations human rights treaties system 791
	Introduction 791
1	State reporting 793
-	1.1 The objectives of State reporting 793
	1.2 The role of non-governmental organizations 799
	1.3 The problem of overdue or lacking reports 801
2	Individual communications 805
2	
	2.1 The 'ratione temporis' rule 808
	2.2 The 'victim' requirement 813
	2.3 The exhaustion of local remedies 819
	2.4 Non-duplication with other international procedures 825
3	The implementation of findings of UN human rights treaty bodies 831
	3.1 The follow-up of Concluding Observations 832
	3.2 The implementation of decisions (views) adopted on the basis of individual
	communications 841
4	The reform of the UN human rights treaties system 845
10	The United Nations Charter-based monitoring of human rights 855
10	Introduction 855
1	The establishment of the Human Rights Council 856
1	
_	•
3	The universal periodic review 870
4	The special procedures 881
	4.1 The origins and diversity of the special procedures of the Human Rights
	Council 881
	4.2 The selection of mandate-holders of special procedures of the Human
	Rights Council 884
	4.3 The code of conduct for special procedures of the Human Rights Council 885
	4.4 The tools used by the special procedures of the Human Rights Council 891
	(a) Communications 891
	(b) Country visits 893
	(c) Annual Reports 894
11	Regional mechanisms of protection 897
••	Introduction 897
1	The European system of protection of human rights 899
•	
	1.1 The original system: before Protocol No. 11 restructuring the control machinery of the European Convention on Human Rights 899
	1.2 The system reformed: Protocol No. 11 restructuring the control machinery of the European Convention on Human Rights 901

# x Contents

	(a) The admissibility phase 901
	(b) The merits phase and the supervision of the execution of judgments 903
	(c) Treating large-scale violations: the 'pilot' judgments 908
	(d) Co-operation in the execution of judgments 913
2	The Inter-American system of protection of human rights 921
	2.1 The powers of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights 921
	2.2 The powers of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights 927
	(a) The advisory function 927
	(b) Individual petitions 930
	2.3 The approach of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights 945
3	The African system of protection of human and peoples' rights 947
	3.1 The African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights 948
	(a) Promoting human and peoples' rights 948
	(b) Providing an authoritative interpretation of the Charter 949
	(c) Protecting human and peoples' rights 949
	3.2 The African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights 953
	·
	Index 956

# Table of Cases

#### AFRICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES' RIGHTS

Democratic Republic of Congo v. Burundi, Rwanda and Uganda, No. 227/99 AHRLR 19 (ACHPR 2004) 191

Jawara v. The Gambia, Nos 147/95 and 149/96 (2000) AHRLR 107 (ACHPR 2000) 687 Katangese Peoples' Congress v. Zaire, No. 75/92 (2000) AHRLR 72 (ACHPR 1995) 688 Purohit and Moore v. The Gambia, No. 241/2001 (2003) AHRLR 96 928

The Social and Economic Rights Action Center and the Center for Economic and Social Rights *v*. Nigeria (Ogoniland case), No. 155/96 (2001) AHRLR 60 (ACHPR 2001) 29, 191, 246–7, 248, 702–3

UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, advisory opinion May 2007 949 Union des Jeunes Avocats *v.* Chad, No. 74/92 702

### **COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE**

Agiza v. Sweden, No. 233/2003 279
Attia v. Sweden, No. 199/2002 282
Chipana, Cecilia Rosana Núñez v. Venezuela, No. 110/1998 37
Dadar v. Canada, No. 258/2004 845
Encarnacion Blanco Abad v. Spain, No. 59/1996 384
Hajrizi Dzemajl et al. v. Serbia and Montenegro, No. 161/2000 383–4

#### COMMITTEE ON THE ELIMINATION OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

Documentation and Advisory Centre on Racial Discrimination v. Denmark, CERD/ C/63/D/28/2003 815–16

## EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS (NUMERICAL)

1957/332 Lawless *v*. Ireland (No 3), judgment of 1 July 1961 518–19, 524–7, 533 1960/788 Austria *v*. Italy (the 'Pfunders' case) ECHR Yearbook, vol. 4 (1961) 116 95

```
1960/867 X v. Norway, decision of 29 May 1961 405
```

- 1962/1474, 1677, 1691, 1769/63, 1994/63 and 2126/64 Belgian Linguistics case, Series A No. 6, judgment of 23 July 1968 572
- 1966/2832, 2835 and 2899 De Wilde, Ooms and Versyp *v*. Belgium, Series A No. 12, judgment of 18 June 1971 332
- 1967/3321, 3322, 3323 and 3344 Greek Case (1969) 12 YB 1 519, 525
- 1970/4451 Golder v. United Kingdom, Series A No. 18, judgment of 21 February 1975 80, 148, 159, 444
- 1970/4464 National Union of Belgian Police *v.* Belgium, Series A No. 19, judgment of 27 October 1975 432
- 1970/4715, 4783 and 4827 East African Asians v. United Kingdom 78-A DR 574
- 1971/5029 Klass and others *v*. Germany, Series A No. 28, judgment of 6 September 1978 536
- 1971/5095, 1972/5920 and 1972/5926 Kjeldsen, Busk Madsen and Pedersen  $\nu$  Denmark, Series A No. 23, judgment of 7 December 1976 197
- 1971/5310 Ireland *v.* United Kingdom, Series A No. 25, judgment of 18 January 1978 197, 265, 519, 523, 526, 532, 533, 536, 899
- 1972/5493 Handyside v. United Kingdom, Series A No. 24, judgment of 7 December 1976 311
- 1972/5589 Schmidt and Dahlström v. Sweden, Series A No. 21, judgment of 6 February 1976 432
- 1972/5614 Swedish Engine Drivers' Union *v.* Sweden, Series A No. 20, judgment of 6 February 1976 432
- 1972/5856 Tyrer v. United Kingdom, Series A No. 26, judgment of 25 April 1978 407
- 1972/5920, 1972/5926 and 1971/5095 Kjeldsen, Busk Madsen and Pedersen *v*. Denmark Series A No. 23, judgment of 7 December 1976 197
- 1972/5947, 1973/6205, 1975/7052, 7061, 7107, 7113 and 7136 Silver and others *v*. United Kingdom, Series A No. 61, judgment of 25 March 1983 735
- 1973/6231 Hess v. United Kingdom (1975) 2 DR 73 157, 216–17
- 1973/6289 Airey v. Ireland, Series A No. 32, judgment of 9 October 1979 221, 388, 451
- 1974/6538 Sunday Times v. United Kingdom (no. 1), Series A No. 30, judgment of 26 April 1979 332
- 1974/6694 Artico v. Italy, Series A No. 37, judgment of 13 May 1980 197
- 1974/6780 and 1975/6950 Cyprus v. Turkey, decision of 26 May 1975 2 DR 125 127
- 1974/6833 Marckx v. Belgium, Series A No. 31, judgment of 13 June 1979 411, 412, 426, 428, 720
- 1975/6903 Deweer *v*. Belgium, Series A No. 35, judgment of 27 February 1980 440, 442–6
- 1975/6950 Cyprus *v*. Turkey 127
- 1975/6959 Brüggemann and Scheuten v. Germany, report of 12 July 1977 10 DR 100 405, 407
- 1975/7045 X v. Austria, decision of 10 December 1976 7 DR 87 405

- 1975/7052, 7061, 7107, 7113 and 7136 Silver and others *v*. United Kingdom, Series A No. 61, judgment of 25 March 1983 735
- 1976/7525 Dudgeon *v.* United Kingdom, Series A No. 45, judgment of 22 October 1981 42, 149, 437, 438, 439
- 1976/7601 and 7806 Young, James and Webster *v*. United Kingdom, Series A No. 44, judgment of 13 August 1981 334, 385–7, 411, 412, 428, 436
- 1977/8007 Cyprus v. Turkey, decision of 10 July 1978 13 DR 85 127
- 1977/8030 CFDT v. European Communities, decision of 10 July 1978, DR 13 231 223
- 1979/8416 X v. United Kingdom, decision of 13 May 1980 19 DR 244 405
- 1979/8691 Malone *v*. United Kingdom, Series A No. 82, judgment of 2 August 1984 297, 304
- 1979/8695 Inze *v.* Austria, Series A No. 126, judgment of 28 October 1987 426, 572, 608
- 1979/8734 Barthold v. Germany, Series A No. 90, judgment of 25 March 1985 332
- 1979/8793 James and others v. United Kingdom, Series A No. 98, judgment of 21 February 1986 735
- 1980/8978 X and Y v. Netherlands, Series A No. 91, judgment of 26 March 1985 47, 388–9, 400, 414, 428, 429, 437, 450, 703
- 1980/9186 De Cubber v. Belgium, Series A No. 86, judgment of 26 October 1984 413
- 1980/9214, 1981/9473 and 1981/9474 Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali Series A No. 94, judgment of 28 May 1985 196, 336, 415, 572, 574
- 1981/9248 Leander v. Sweden, Series A No. 116, judgment of 26 March 1987 735
- 1981/9278 and 9415 G and E v. Norway, decision of 3 October 1983 DR 35 717
- 1981/9473, 9474 and 1980/9214 Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali, Series A No. 94, judgment of 28 May 1985 196, 336, 415, 572, 574
- 1981/9532 Rees *v.* United Kingdom, Series A No. 106, judgment of 17 October 1986 400, 415
- 1982/9697 Johnson v. Ireland, Series A No. 112, judgment of 18 December 1986 36, 148 1982/9704 Kosiek v. Germany, Series A No. 105, judgment of 28 August 1986 36 1982/10083 R v. United Kingdom 1983 DR 33 45
- 1982/10126 Plattform 'Ärzte für das Leben' *v.* Austria, Series A No. 139, judgment of 21 June 1988 400, 414–15, 428, 455
- 1983/10328 Belilos *v.* Switzerland, Series A No. 132, judgment of 29 April 1988, 88 ILR 635 107–9
- 1983/10454 Gaskin *v.* United Kingdom, Series A No. 160, judgment of 7 July 1989 400, 449, 452
- 1983/10465 Olsson v. Sweden, Series A No. 130, judgment of 24 March 1988 315
- 1983/10581 Norris v. Ireland, Series A No. 142, judgment of 26 October 1988 310
- 1984/10737 Müller and others v. Switzerland, Series A No. 133, judgment of 24 May 1988 311
- 1984/10802 Pfeifer and Plankl v. Austria, Series A No. 227, judgment of 25 February 1992 440

332, 335

```
1984/10828 Funke v. France, Series A No. 256-A, judgment of 25 February 1993 315
1984/11209, 11234, 11266 and 11386/85 Brogan and others v. United Kingdom Series
  A No. 145-B, judgment of 29 November 1988 531, 532, 533, 534, 536
1985/11801 Kruslin v. France, Series A No. 176-A, judgment of 24 April 1990 332
1987/12747 Drozd and Janousek v. France and Spain, Series A No. 240, judgment of 26
  June 1992 126, 147, 150, 215
1987/12849 Vermeire v. Belgium, Series A No. 214-C, judgment of 29 November 1991
  426, 428
1987/12850 Tomasi v. France, Series A No. 241-A, judgment of 27 August 1992 265
1987/13163, 13164, 13165, 13447 and 13448 Vilvarajah and others v. United Kingdom,
  Series A No. 215, judgment of 30 October 1991 150, 201, 265, 287, 526
1987/13258 M. & Co. v. Germany, decision of 9 February 1990 222, 223, 224
1987/13343 B v. France, judgment of 25 March 1992 437
1987/13470 Otto-Preminger-Institut v. Austria, Series A No. 295-A, judgment of 20
  September 1994 334, 448, 456
1988/13539 Dufay v. European Communities, decision of 19 January 1989 223
1988/13580 Schmidt v. Germany, Series A No. 291-B, judgment of 18 July 1994 572,
  603,608
1988/13585 Observer and Guardian v. United Kingdom, Series A No. 216, judgment of
  26 November 1991 311
1988/13914 Informations verein Lentia and others v. Austria (no. 1), Series A No. 276,
 judgment of 24 November 1993 412
1988/14038 Soering v. United Kingdom, Series A No. 161, judgment of 7 July 1989 38,
  66, 70, 126, 149, 150, 153, 169, 194, 265
1988/14234 Open Door and Dublin Well Woman v. Ireland, Series A No. 246-A,
 judgment of 29 October 1992 308-12, 406
1988/14307 Kokkinakis v. Greece 17 EHRR 397 335
1989/14518 Schuler-Zgraggen v. Switzerland, Series A No. 263, judgment of 24 June
  1993 336
1989/14553 and 14554 Brannigan and McBride v. United Kingdom, Series A No.
  258-B, judgment of 25 May 1993 523, 531-7, 552-3
1989/14556 Papamichalopoulos and others v. Greece, Series A No. 330-B, judgment of
  31 October 1995 905
1989/14838 A v. France, Series A No. 277-B, judgment of 23 November 1993 394
1989/15041, 15717 and 15779 Informationsverein Lentia and others v. Austria (no. 1)
  Series A No. 276, judgment of 24 November 1993 412
1989/15225 Friedl v. Austria, Series A No. 305-B 437
1989/15318 Loizidou v. Turkey (preliminary objections) Series A No. 310, judgment of
  23 March 1995 125-6, 135, 147, 148, 149-50, 151, 153, 159, 168, 224
1989/15318 Loizidou v. Turkey (merits), judgment of 18 December 1996 Reports
  1996-VI 81, 125, 126-9, 147, 148, 151, 155, 157, 169
1989/15450 Casado Coca v. Spain, Series A No. 285-A, judgment of 24 February 1994
```

- 1989/15573 Gustafsson v. Sweden, judgment of 25 April 1996 Reports 1996-II 431, 432, 448
- 1989/15576 Cruz Varas *v.* Sweden, Series A No. 201, judgment of 20 March 1991 38, 150
- 1989/15764 Lobo Machado v. Portugal, judgment of 20 February 1996 Reports 1996-I
- 1990/16130 Sigurjónsson v. Island, Series A No. 264, judgment of 30 June 1993 436
- 1990/16213 Burghartz *v.* Switzerland, Series A No. 280-B, judgment of 22 February 1994 39, 336, 437, 603, 608
- 1990/16278 Karaduman v. Turkey, decision of 3 May 1993 335, 336, 347
- 1990/16462 Iribarne Pérez v. France, Series A No. 325-B, judgment of 24 October 1995 215
- 1990/16969 Keegan v. Ireland, Series A No. 290, judgment of 26 May 1994 452, 720
- 1990/17004 H v. Norway, decision of 19 May 1992 73 DR 155 406
- 1990/17207 Informationsverein Lentia and others *v.* Austria (no. 1), Series A No. 276, judgment of 24 November 1993 412
- 1990/17371 Gaygusuz *v*. Austria, judgment of 16 September 1996 *Reports* 1996-IV 573, 574, 608
- 1990/17383 Johansen v. Norway, judgment of 7 August 1996 *Reports* 1996-III 450, 452
- 1990/17419 Wingrove *v.* United Kingdom *Reports* 1996-V, judgment of 25 November 1996 334, 448
- 1991/18357 Hornsby v. Greece Reports 1997-II, judgment of 19 March 1997 939
- 1991/18535 Kroon and others *v*. Netherlands, Series A No. 297-C, judgment of 27 October 1994 452, 720
- 1991/18748 Manoussakis and others *v.* Greece *Reports* 1996-IV, judgment of 26 September 1996 334, 335
- 1991/18783 Bulut v. Turkey, decision of 3 May 1993 347
- 1991/18984 McCann and others *v*. United Kingdom, Series A No. 324, judgment of 27 September 1995 400, 408, 410, 421, 436
- 1991/19075 Vermeulen v. Belgium, judgment of 20 February 1996 Reports 1996-I 212
- 1992/19392 United Communist Party of Turkey and others *v*. Turkey, judgment of 30 January 1998, *Reports* 1998-I 223, 334, 528
- 1992/20060 Van Raalte *v.* Netherlands, judgment of 21 February 1997 *Reports* 1997-I 336, 572
- 1992/20348 Buckley *v.* United Kingdom, judgment of 25 September 1996 *Reports* 1996-IV 316, 639, 717, 719, 720, 723
- 1992/20458 Petrovic *v.* Austria, judgment of 27 March 1998 *Reports* 1998-II 336, 572, 573, 608
- 1992/20605 Halford v. United Kingdom, judgment of 25 June 1997 Reports 1997-III 304
- 1992/21090 Heinz *v.* Contracting Parties also parties to the European Patent Convention, decision of 10 January 1994 222

```
1993/21439 Botta v. Italy, judgment of 24 February 1998 573
1993/21497 Mantovanelli v. France, judgment of 18 March 1997 Reports 1997-II 212
1993/21627, 21826 and 21974 Laskey, Jaggard and Brown v. United Kingdom, judg-
  ment of 19 February 1997 Reports 1997-I 437, 438, 439
1993/21830 X, Y and Z v. United Kingdom, judgment of 22 April 1997 Reports 1997-II
1993/21980 Bladet Tromsø and Stensaas v. Norway [GC], judgment of 20 May 1999
  ECHR 1999-III 213, 214
1993/21987 Aksoy v. Turkey, judgment of 18 December 1996 Reports 1996-VI 523, 544
1993/22083 Stubbings and others v. United Kingdom, judgment of 22 October 1996
  Reports 1996-IV 409
1993/22414 Chahal and others v. United Kingdom (1996), judgment of 15 November
  1996 23 EHRR 413 263-6, 267, 268, 281, 287, 516, 541, 543
1993/22492 Kilic v. Turkey, judgment of 28 March 2000 ECHR 2000-III 436
1993/22924 Aït-Mouhoub v. France, judgment of 28 October 1998 Reports 1998-VIII
  221
1993/23144 Özgür Gündem v. Turkey, judgment of 16 March 2000 399–401
1994/21787 Valsamis v. Greece, judgment of 18 December 1996 Reports 1996-VI 337,
  639
1994/23413 L.C.B. v. United Kingdom, judgment of 9 June 1998 Reports 1998-III 408,
1994/23452 Osman v. United Kingdom, judgment of 28 October 1998 Reports 1998-
  VIII 400, 416, 418-23, 429, 436, 446
1994/24276 Kurt v. Turkey, judgment of 25 May 1998 Reports 1998-III 543
1994/24573 H.L.R. v. France, judgment of 29 April 1997 Reports 1997-III 201, 287
1994/24699 Verein gegen Tierfabriken (VgT) v. Switzerland, judgment of 28 June
  2001 411-13
1994/24746 Jordan v. United Kingdom, 4 May 2001 636
1994/24755 McLeod v. United Kingdom, judgment of 23 September 1998 Reports *53
  1998-VIII 315, 316
1994/24760 Assenov and others v. Bulgaria, judgment of 28 October 1998 Reports
  1998-VIII 400
1994/24833 Matthews v. United Kingdom [GC], judgment of 18 February 1999 *29
  ECHR 1999-I 135, 149, 218-19, 221, 223, 224
1994/24844 Reeve v. United Kingdom, decision of 30 November 1994 79-A DR 146 405
1994/24888 V v. United Kingdom [GC], judgment of 16 December 1999 *72 ECHR
  1999-IX 149
1994/25088 Chassagnou and others v. France [GC], judgment of 29 April 1999 334, 447
```

1994/25781 Cyprus v. Turkey, judgment of 10 May 2001, \*78 ECHR 2001-IV 133, 147, 151, 153, 155, 168, 169, 574

1994/25390 Rekvényi *v.* Hungary [GC], judgment of 20 May 1999 \*34 ECHR 1999-III 301 1994/25599 A *v.* United Kingdom, judgment of 23 September 1998 *Reports* 1998-VI

```
1994/25803 Selmouni v. France [GC], judgment of 28 July 1999 *74 ECHR 1999-V 903
1994/25964 Ahmed v. Austria, judgment of 17 December 1996 Reports 1996-VI 201
1994/26083 Waite and Kennedy v. Germany [GC], judgment of 18 February 1999 *59
  ECHR 1999-I 80, 202, 223, 230
1995/26737 Brualla Gómez de la Torre v. Spain, judgment of 19 December 1997
  Reports 1997-VIII 409
1995/26958 Jerusalem v. Austria, judgment of 27 February 2001 *36 ECHR 2001-II
  457
1995/27229 Keenan v. United Kingdom, judgment of 3 April 2001 *116 ECHR 2001-III
1995/27238 Chapman v. United Kingdom, judgment of 18 January 2001 318-19, 320,
  717 - 23
1995/28331 and 28443 Chassagnou and others v. France [GC], judgment of 29 April
  1999 334, 447
1995/28341 Rotaru v. Romania, judgment of 4 May 2000 296-9
1995/28780 Ramirez Sanchez v. France, inadmissibility decision of 24 June 1996 160
1995/28934 Beer and Regan v. Germany, judgment of 18 February 1999 220-1, 230
1995/29221 and 29225 Stankov and the United Macedonian Organisation Ilinden v.
  Bulgaria, judgment of 2 October 2001 455
1995/29392 Z and others v. United Kingdom [GC], judgment of 10 May 2001 ECHR *73
  2001-V 169, 416
1995/29515 Larkos v. Cyprus [GC], judgment of 18 February 1999 *29 ECHR 1999-I
  425, 638
1995/29529 Schettini and others v. Italy, inadmissibility decision of 9 November 2000
1996/30210 Kudla v. Poland, judgment of 26 October 2000 736, 902-3
1996/30240 D v. United Kingdom, judgment of 2 May 1997 Reports 1997-III 201
1996/30668, 30671 and 30678 Wilson, NUJ and others v. United Kingdom, judgment
  of 2 July 2002 431-3, 441
1996/30882 Pellegrini v. Italy, judgment of 20 July 2001 ECHR 2001-VIII 210-12, 215
1996/30985 Hassan and Chauch v. Bulgaria [GC], judgment of 26 October 2000 *78
  ECHR 2000-XI 334
1996/31253 McElhinney v. Ireland and United Kingdom, decision of 9 February 2000
1996/31417 and 32377 Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. United Kingdom, judgment of 27
  September 1999 609
1996/31443 Broniowski v. Poland [GC], judgment of 22 June 2004 905, 909-13
1996/31679 Ignaccolo-Zenide v. Romania, judgment of 25 January 2000 ECHR 2000-I
  428
1996/31821 Issa and others v. Turkey, judgment of 16 November 2004 154
1996/31871 Sommerfeld v. Germany, judgment of 8 July 2003 608
1996/32967 Calvelli and Ciglio v. Italy [GC], judgment of 17 January 2002 ECHR
  2002-I 408, 409, 410
```

```
1996/33218 E and others v. United Kingdom, judgment of 26 November 2002 415–17
1996/33290 Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v. Portugal, judgment of 21 December 1999 *29
  ECHR 1999-IX 603
1996/33985 and 33986 Smith and Grady v. United Kingdom, judgment of 27
  September 1999 308, 574, 603, 609
1996/34044, 1997/35532 and 1998/44801 Streletz, Kessler and Krenz v. Germany [GC],
 judgment of 22 March 2001 ECHR 2001-II 410
1996/34256 Di Mauro v. Italy [GC], judgment of 28 July 1999 *23 ECHR 1999-V 911
1997/34369 Thlimmenos v. Greece, judgment of 6 April 2000 573, 634–5, 636, 657,
1997/34406 Mazurek v. France, judgment of 1 February 2000 *43 ECHR 2000-II 426,
  428,608
1997/34884 Bottazzi v Italy [GC], judgment of 28 July 1999 *22 ECHR 1999-V 911
1997/34939 Giacometti and others v. Italy, decision of 8 November 2001 ECHR 2001-
  XII 911
1997/35014 Hutten-Czapska v. Poland [GC], judgment of 19 June 2006 905
1997/35394 Khan v. United Kingdom, judgment of 12 May 2000 Reports 2000-V 304
1997/35763 Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom, judgment of 21 November 2001 *55 ECHR
  2001-XI 79-84, 86, 148, 150, 223
1997/35765 A.D.T. v. United Kingdom, judgment of 31 July 2000 ECHR *37 2000-IX
  439, 609
1997/36022 Hatton and others v. United Kingdom, judgment of 8 July 2003 316-18, 319
1997/36515 Fretté v. France, judgment of 26 February 2002 *34 ECHR 2002-I 425, 603
1997/36983 Hass v. Netherlands, judgment of 13 January 2004 572
1997/37292 F.R. v. Switzerland, judgment of 28 June 2001 212
1997/37703 Mastromatteo v. Italy [GC], judgment of 24 October 2002 *90 ECHR
  2002-VIII 409
1997/38178 Serif v. Greece, judgment of 14 December 1999 *53 ECHR 1999-IX 334
1997/38621 Zehlanova and Zehnal v. Czech Republic, decision of 14 May 2002 573
1998/39221 and 41963 Scozzari and Giunta v. Italy [GC], judgment of 13 July 2000
  *249 ECHR 2000-VIII 911
1998/39339 M.M. v. Netherlands, judgment of 8 April 2003 394-5
1998/39392 and 39829 L. and V. v. Austria, judgment of 9 January 2003 609
1998/39393 M.G. v. United Kingdom, judgment of 24 September 2002 449, 452
1998/39394 Scharsach and News Verlagsgesellschaft v. Austria, judgment of
  13 November 2003 *30 ECHR 2003-XI 456
1998/39473 Xhavara and others v. Italy and Albania, inadmissibility decision of
  11 January 2001 154, 161
1998/39594 Kress v. France [GC], judgment of 7 June 2001 ECHR 2001-VI 409
1998/40016 Karner v. Austria, judgment of 24 July 2003 603-4, 609
1998/40892 Poirrez v. France, judgment of 30 September 2003 573, 609
1998/41340, 41342, 41343 and 41344 Refah Partisi (Welfare Party) and others v.
  Turkey [GC], judgment of 13 February 2003 *57 ECHR 2003-II 301, 334, 335, 336, 346
```

```
1998/41571 Marshall v. United Kingdom, inadmissibility decision of 10 July 2001 523
1998/41604 Buck v. Germany, judgment of 28 April 2005 315
1998/42326 Odièvre v. France [GC], judgment of 13 February 2003 448-53, 455
1998/42393 Dahlab v. Switzerland, inadmissibility decision of 15 February 2001
  ECHR 2001-V 327-9, 334, 335, 346, 443
1998/42527 Hans-Adam II of Liechtenstein v. Germany [GC], judgment of 12 July
  2001 *47 ECHR 2001-VIII 223
1998/43577 and 43579 Nachova and others v. Bulgaria [GC], judgment of 6 July 2005
  ECHR 2005-VII 441, 636, 637
1998/43844 T.I. v. United Kingdom, inadmissibility decision of 7 March 2000 200-2
1998/44158 Gorzelik and others v. Poland [GC], judgment of 17 February 2004 300-3,
  331, 332, 333, 334
1998/44179 Murphy v. Ireland, judgment of 10 July 2003 334, 448
1998/44306 Appleby v. United Kingdom, judgment of 6 May 2003 ECHR 2003-VI 428
1998/44774 Şahin v. Turkey [GC], judgment of 10 November 2005 320, 322, 330-7, 346,
  347, 350
1998/44787 P.G. and J.H. v. United Kingdom, judgment of 25 September 2001 *44
  ECHR 2001-IX 304
1998/45036 Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm ve Ticaret Anonim Şirketi v. Ireland,
 judgment of 30 June 2005 221-4, 235, 237
1999/45276 Hilal v. United Kingdom, judgment of 6 March 2001 *64 ECHR 2001-II 287
1999/45305 Powell v. United Kingdom, inadmissibility decision of 4 May 2000 ECHR
  2000-V 408, 409
1999/45330 S.L. v. Austria, judgment of 9 January 2003 *36 ECHR 2003-I 603, 609
1999/46210 Wretlund v. Sweden, inadmissibility decision of 9 March 2004 431
1999/46221 Öçalan v. Turkey, admissibility decision of 14 December 2000 154
1999/46221 Öçalan v. Turkey, judgment of 12 May 2005 161
1999/46346 Noack and others v. Germany, inadmissibility decision of 25 May 2000
  717
1999/46544 Kutzner v. Germany, judgment of 26 February 2002 *66 ECHR 2002-I 450,
  452
1999/46827 and 46951 Mamatkulov and Askarov v. Turkey, judgment of 5 February
  2005 41 EHRR 494 38, 39, 281
1999/47287 Perez v. France [GC], judgment of 12 February 2004 ECHR *70 2004-I 408
1999/47916 Menson v. United Kingdom, inadmissibility decision of 6 May 2003 ECHR
  2003-V 428
```

- 1999/48198 Lindberg v. Sweden, inadmissibility decision of 15 January 2004 212–15 1999/48205, 48207 and 48209 Gentilhomme, Schaff-Benhadji and Zerouki v. France, judgment of 14 May 2002 168
- 1999/48787 Ilascu and Others *v.* Moldova and Russia [GC], judgment of 8 July 2004 132, 133–4, 135, 154, 157, 167–70, 375–9, 905
- 1999/49853 Pichon and Sajous v. France, inadmissibility decision of 2 October 2001, ECHR 2001-X 337

```
1999/50490 Boso v. Italy, inadmissibility decision of 5 September 2002 ECHR
  2002-VII 406, 407, 408
1999/51564 Čonka and others v. Belgium, judgment of 5 February 2002 287, 735
1999/52207 Banković and others v. Belgium and 16 Other States, inadmissibility
  decision of 12 December 2001 147-54, 155, 157, 161, 168, 169
1999/53176 Mikulić v. Croatia, judgment of 7 February 2002 437, 449, 450
2000/53749 Lazzarini and Ghiacci v. Italy, 7 November 2002 409
2000/53924 Vo v. France [GC], judgment of 8 July 2004 404–10
2000/55480 and 59330 Sidabras and Dziautas v. Lithuania, judgment of 27 July 2004
  573
2000/55707 Andrejeva v. Latvia, judgment of 18 February 2009 609–10
2000/55762 and 55974 Timishev v. Russia, judgment of 13 December 2005 441, 594–5
2000/56501 Mata Estevez v. Spain, inadmissibility decision of 10 May 2001 ECHR
  2001-VI 603, 604
2000/57325 D.H. and others v. Czech Republic [GC], judgment of 13 November 2007
  435, 440-2, 635-40
2000/57420 Younger v. United Kingdom, inadmissibility decision of 7 January 2003
2000/58341 Madsen v. Denmark, inadmissibility decision of 7 November 2002 431
2000/58461 Hoogendijk v. Netherlands, inadmissibility decision of 6 January 2005
  636
2000/62617 Copland v. United Kingdom, judgment of 3 April 2007 304-5
2001/65731 and 65900 Stec and others v. United Kingdom [GC], judgment of 12 April
  2006 636, 638
2001/66746 Connors v United Kingdom, judgment of 27 May 2004 639
2001/69498 Pla and Puncernau v. Andorra, judgment of 13 July 2004 423-9
2001/69789 Brusco v. Italy, inadmissibility decision of 6 September 2001 ECHR
  2001-IX 911
2001/71412 Behrami v. France, inadmissibility decision of 2 May 2007 234, 235
2001/71503 Assanidze v. Georgia, judgment of 8 April 2004 134–5, 168, 905
2001/76900 Öllinger v. Austria, judgment of 29 June 2006 455–8
2001/78166 Saramati v. France, Germany and Norway, inadmissibility decision of 2
  May 2007 234-7
2002/2346 Pretty v. United Kingdom, judgment of 29 April 2002 ECHR 2001-VIII 47,
  215, 435-40
2002/15472 Folgerø and others v. Norway [GC], judgment of 26 June 2007 829
2002/17209 Zarb Adami v. Malta, judgment of 20 June 2006 ECHR 2006-VIII 636
2002/18114 Hermi v. Italy [GC], judgment of 10 October 2006 ECHR 2006-XII 441
2002/27677 Sentges v. Netherlands, inadmissibility decision of 8 July 2003 573
2002/36378 Shamayev v. Georgia and Russia, judgment of 12 April 2005 282, 285-8
2003/17341 Fashkami v. United Kingdom, inadmissibility decision of 22 June 2004 209
2003/28867 Keegan v. United Kingdom, judgment of 18 July 2006 314–16
2004/8320 Ryabikin v. Russia, judgment of 19 June 2008 282, 284
```

2004/13444 Glor *v*. Switzerland, judgment of 30 April 2009 641–2 2004/33509 Burdov *v*. Russia (No. 2), judgment of 15 January 2009 913 2005/3455 A and others *v*. United Kingdom, judgment of 19 February 2009 524–7, 545, 549–51

2005/25424 Ramzy v. Netherlands, admissibility decision of 28 May 2008 267–8 2006/2947 Ismoilov and others v. Russia, judgment of 24 April 2008 282, 284 2006/37201 Saadi v. Italy [GC], judgment of 28 February 2008 268–70, 282

# EUROPEAN COMMISSION AND COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS (ALPHABETICAL)

- A and others *v*. United Kingdom Appl. No. 3455/05, judgment of 19 February 2009 524–7, 545, 549–51
- A v. France Appl. No. 14838/89, Series A No. 277-B, judgment of 23 November 1993 394
- A v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 25599/94, judgment of 23 September 1998 Reports 1998-VI 416
- Abdulaziz, Cabales and Balkandali Appl. Nos 9214/80, 9473/81 and 9474/81, Series A No. 94, judgment of 28 May 1985 196, 336, 415, 572, 574
- A.D.T. v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 35765/97, judgment of 31 July 2000 ECHR \*37 2000-IX 439, 609
- Ahmed v. Austria Appl. No. 25964/94, judgment of 17 December 1996 Reports 1996-VI 201
- Airey *v.* Ireland Appl. No. 6289/73, Series A No. 32, judgment of 9 October 1979 221, 388, 451
- Aït-Mouhoub v. France Appl. No. 22924/93, judgment of 28 October 1998 Reports 1998-VIII 221
- Aksoy *v*. Turkey Appl. No. 21987/93, judgment of 18 December 1996 *Reports* 1996-VI 523, 544
- Al-Adsani *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 35763/97, judgment of 21 November 2001 \*55 ECHR 2001-XI 79–84, 86, 148, 150, 223
- Andrejeva v. Latvia Appl. No. 55707/00, judgment of 18 February 2009 609–10
- Appleby v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 44306/98, judgment of 6 May 2003 ECHR 2003-VI 428
- Artico v. Italy Appl. No. 6694/74, Series A No. 37, judgment of 13 May 1980 197
- Assanidze v. Georgia Appl. No. 71503/01, judgment of 8 April 2004 134-5, 168, 905
- Assenov and others v. Bulgaria Appl. No. 24760/94, judgment of 28 October 1998 Reports 1998-VIII 400
- Austria v. Italy (the 'Pfunders' case) Appl. No. 788/60 ECHR Yearbook, vol. 4 (1961) 116 95
- B v. France Appl. No. 13343/87, judgment of 25 March 1992 437
- Banković and others *v.* Belgium and 16 Other States Appl. No. 52207/99, inadmissibility decision of 12 December 2001 147–54, 155, 157, 161, 168, 169

- Barthold v. Germany Appl. No. 8734/79, Series A No. 90, judgment of 25 March 1985 332
- Beer and Regan v. Germany Appl. No. 28934/95, judgment of 18 February 1999 220–1, 230
- Behrami v. France Appl. No. 71412/01, inadmissibility decision of 2 May 2007 234, 235
- Belgian Linguistics case Appl. Nos. 1474/62, 1677/62, 1691/62, 1769/63, 1994/63 and 2126/64 Series A No. 6, judgment of 23 July 1968 572
- Belilos *v.* Switzerland Appl. No. 10328/83, Series A No. 132, judgment of 29 April 1988 88 ILR 635 107–9
- Bladet Tromsø and Stensaas v. Norway [GC] Appl. No. 21980/93, judgment of 20 May 1999 ECHR 1999-III 213, 214
- Boso v. Italy Appl. No. 50490/99, inadmissibility decision of 5 September 2002 ECHR 2002-VII 406, 407, 408
- Bosphorus Hava Yollari Turizm ve Ticaret Anonim Şirketi *v.* Ireland Appl. No. 45036/98, judgment of 30 June 2005 221–4, 235, 237
- Botta v. Italy Appl. No. 21439/93, judgment of 24 February 1998 573
- Bottazzi v. Italy [GC] Appl. No. 34884/97, judgment of 28 July 1999 \*22 ECHR 1999-V 911
- Brannigan and McBride *v*. United Kingdom Appl. Nos. 14553 and 14554/89, Series A No. 258-B, judgment of 25 May 1993 523, 531-7, 552-3
- Brogan and others *v.* United Kingdom Appl. Nos. 11209/84, 11234/84, 11266/84 and 11386/85, Series A No. 145-B, judgment of 29 November 1988 531, 532, 533, 534, 536
- Broniowski v. Poland [GC] Appl. No. 31443/96, judgment of 22 June 2004 905, 909–13
- Brualla Gómez de la Torre v. Spain Appl. No. 26737/95, judgment of 19 December 1997 Reports 1997-VIII 409
- Brüggemann and Scheuten v. Germany Appl. No. 6959/75, report of 12 July 1977 10 DR 100 405, 407
- Brusco v. Italy Appl. No. 69789/01, inadmissibility decision of 6 September 2001 ECHR 2001-IX 911
- Buck *v*. Germany Appl. No. 41604/98, judgment of 28 April 2005 315
- Buckley *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 20348/92, judgment of 25 September 1996 *Reports* 1996-IV 316, 639, 717, 719, 720, 723
- Bulut *v*. Turkey Appl. No. 18783/91, decision of 3 May 1993 347
- Burdov v. Russia (No. 2) Appl. No. 33509/04, judgment of 15 January 2009 913
- Burghartz *v.* Switzerland Appl. No. 16213/90, Series A No. 280-B, judgment of 22 February 1994 39, 336, 437, 603, 608
- Calvelli and Ciglio *v.* Italy [GC] Appl. No. 32967/96, judgment of 17 January 2002 ECHR 2002-I 408, 409, 410
- Casado Coca *v.* Spain Appl. No. 15450/89, Series A No. 285-A, judgment of 24 February 1994 332, 335
- CFDT v. European Communities Appl. No. 8030/77, decision of 10 July 1978 DR 13 231 223

- Chahal and others *v*. United Kingdom Appl. No. 22414/93 (1996), judgment of 15 November 1996 23 EHRR 413 263–6, 267, 268, 281, 287, 516, 541, 543
- Chapman v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 27238/95, judgment of 18 January 2001 318–19, 320, 717–23
- Chassagnou and others *v*. France [GC] Appl. Nos. 25088/94, 28331/95 and 28443/95, judgment of 29 April 1999 334, 447
- Čonka and others v. Belgium Appl. No. 51564/99, judgment of 5 February 2002 287, 735
- Connors v United Kingdom Appl. No. 66746/01, judgment of 27 May 2004 639
- Copland v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 62617/00, judgment of 3 April 2007 304-5
- Cruz Varas *v.* Sweden Appl. No. 15576/89, Series A No. 201, judgment of 20 March 1991 38, 150
- Cyprus v. Turkey Appl. No. 25781/94, judgment of 10 May 2001 \*78 ECHR 2001-IV 133, 147, 151, 153, 155, 168, 169, 574
- Cyprus *v*. Turkey Appl. Nos. 6780/74 and 6950/75, decision of 26 May 1975 2 DR 125 127
- Cyprus v. Turkey Appl. No. 8007/77, decision of 10 July 1978 13 DR 85
- D v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 30240/96, judgment of 2 May 1997 Reports 1997-III 201
- D.H. and others *v*. Czech Republic [GC] Appl. No. 57325/00, judgment of 13 November 2007 435, 440–2, 635–40
- Dahlab *v.* Switzerland Appl. No. 42393/98, inadmissibility decision of 15 February 2001 ECHR 2001-V 327-9, 334, 335, 346, 443
- De Cubber *v.* Belgium Appl. No. 9186/80, Series A No. 86, judgment of 26 October 1984 413
- De Wilde, Ooms and Versyp *v.* Belgium Appl. Nos. 2832, 2835 and 2899/66, Series A No. 12, judgment of 18 June 1971 332
- Deweer *v*. Belgium Appl. No. 6903/75, Series A No. 35, judgment of 27 February 1980 440, 442–6
- Di Mauro *v.* Italy [GC] Appl. No. 34256/96, judgment of 28 July 1999 \*23 ECHR 1999-V 911
- Drozd and Janousek *v.* France and Spain Appl. No. 12747/87, Series A No. 240, judgment of 26 June 1992 126, 147, 150, 215
- Dudgeon *v*. United Kingdom Appl. No. 7525/76, Series A No. 45, judgment of 22 October 1981 42, 149, 437, 438, 439
- Dufay *v.* European Communities Appl. No. 13539/88, decision of 19 January 1989 223 E and others *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 33218/96, judgment of 26 November 2002 415–17
- East African Asians *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 4715, 4783 and 4827/70 78-A DR 574 Fashkami *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 17341/03, inadmissibility decision of 22 June 2004 209
- Folgerø and others v. Norway [GC] Appl. No. 15472/02, judgment of 26 June 2007 829

- F.R. v. Switzerland Appl. No. 37292/97, judgment of 28 June 2001 212
- Fretté *v.* France Appl. No. 36515/97, judgment of 26 February 2002 \*34 ECHR 2002-I 425, 603
- Friedl *v.* Austria Appl. No. 15225/89, Series A No. 305-B 437
- Funke v. France Appl. No. 10828/84, Series A No. 256-A, judgment of 25 February 1993 315
- G and E *v.* Norway Appl. Nos 9278 and 9415/81, decision of 3 October 1983 DR 35
- Gaskin *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 10454/83, Series A No. 160, judgment of 7 July 1989 400, 449, 452
- Gaygusuz *v.* Austria Appl. No. 17371/90, judgment of 16 September 1996 *Reports* 1996-IV 573, 574, 608
- Gentilhomme, Schaff-Benhadji and Zerouki *v.* France Appl. Nos 48205, 48207 and 48209/99, judgment of 14 May 2002 168
- Giacometti and others v. Italy Appl. No. 34939/97, decision of 8 November 2001 ECHR 2001-XII 911
- Glor v. Switzerland Appl. No. 13444/04, judgment of 30 April 2009 641–2
- Golder *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 4451/70, Series A No. 18, judgment of 21 February 1975 80, 148, 159, 444
- Gorzelik and others *v.* Poland [GC] Appl. No. 44158/98, judgment of 17 February 2004 300–3, 331, 332, 333, 334
- Greek Case Appl. Nos 3321, 3322, 3323 and 3344/67 (1969) 12 YB 1 519, 525
- Gustafsson v. Sweden Appl. No. 15573/89, judgment of 25 April 1996 *Reports* 1996-II 431, 432, 448
- H v. Norway Appl. No. 17004/90, decision of 19 May 1992 73 DR 155 406
- Halford v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 20605/92, judgment of 25 June 1997 Reports 1997-III 304
- Handyside *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 5493/72, Series A No. 24, judgment of 7 December 1976 311
- Hans-Adam II of Liechtenstein *v.* Germany [GC] Appl. No. 42527/98, judgment of 12 July 2001 \*47 ECHR 2001-VIII 223
- Hass v. Netherlands Appl. No. 36983/97, judgment of 13 January 2004 572
- Hassan and Chauch v. Bulgaria [GC] Appl. No. 30985/96, judgment of 26 October 2000 \*78 ECHR 2000-XI 334
- Hatton and others *v*. United Kingdom Appl. No. 36022/97, judgment of 8 July 2003 316–18, 319
- Heinz v. Contracting Parties also parties to the European Patent Convention Appl. No. 21090/92, decision of 10 January 1994 222
- Hermi v. Italy [GC] Appl. No. 18114/02, judgment of 10 October 2006 ECHR 2006-XII 441
- Hess v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 6231/73 (1975) 2 DR 73 157, 216–17
- Hilal v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 45276/99, judgment of 6 March 2001 \*64 ECHR 2001-II 287

- H.L.R. v. France Appl. No. 24573/94, judgment of 29 April 1997 *Reports* 1997-III 201, 287
- Hoogendijk v. Netherlands Appl. No. 58461/00, inadmissibility decision of 6 January 2005 636
- Hornsby v. Greece Appl. No. 18357/91, judgment of 19 March 1997 Reports 1997-II 939
- Hutten-Czapska v. Poland [GC] Appl. No. 35014/97, judgment of 19 June 2006 905 Ignaccolo-Zenide v. Romania Appl. No. 31679/96, judgment of 25 January 2000 ECHR 2000-I 428
- Ilascu and Others *v.* Moldova and Russia [GC] Appl. No. 48787/99, judgment of 8 July 2004 132, 133–4, 135, 154, 157, 167–70, 375–9, 905
- Informationsverein Lentia and others *v.* Austria (no. 1) Appl. Nos 13914/88, 15041/89, 15717/89, 15779/89 and 17207/90, Series A No. 276, judgment of 24 November 1993 412
- Inze *v.* Austria Appl. No. 8695/79, Series A No. 126, judgment of 28 October 1987 426, 572, 608
- Ireland v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 5310/71, Series A No. 25, judgment of 18 January 1978 197, 265, 519, 523, 526, 532, 533, 536, 899
- Iribarne Pérez v. France Appl. No. 16462/90, Series A No. 325-B, judgment of 24 October 1995 215
- Is moilov and others  $\nu$ . Russia Appl. No. 2947/06, judgment of 24 April 2008 282, 284
- Issa and others v. Turkey Appl. No. 31821/96, judgment of 16 November 2004 154
- James and others v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 8793/79 Series A No. 98, judgment of 21 February 1986 735
- Jerusalem v. Austria Appl. No. 26958/95, judgment of 27 February 2001 \*36 ECHR 2001-II 457
- Johansen v. Norway Appl. No. 17383/90, judgment of 7 August 1996 *Reports* 1996-III 450, 452
- Johnson v. Ireland Appl. No. 9697/82, Series A No. 112, judgment of 18 December 1986 36, 148
- Jordan v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 24746/94, 4 May 2001 636
- Karaduman v. Turkey Appl. No. 16278/90, decision of 3 May 1993 335, 336, 347
- Karner v. Austria Appl. No. 40016/98, judgment of 24 July 2003 603-4, 609
- Keegan v. Ireland Appl. No. 16969/90, Series A No. 290, judgment of 26 May 1994 452, 720
- Keegan v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 28867/03, judgment of 18 July 2006 314–16 Keenan v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 27229/95, judgment of 3 April 2001 \*116 ECHR
- Keenan v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 27229/95, judgment of 3 April 2001 \*116 ECHR 2001-III 436, 437
- Khan v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 35394/97, judgment of 12 May 2000 Reports 2000-V 304
- Kiliç v. Turkey Appl. No. 22492/93, judgment of 28 March 2000 ECHR 2000-III 436 Kjeldsen, Busk Madsen and Pedersen v. Denmark Appl. Nos 5095/71, 5920/72 and 5926/72, Series A No. 23, judgment of 7 December 1976 197

Klass and others *v*. Germany Appl. No. 5029/71, Series A No. 28, judgment of 6 September 1978 536

Kokkinakis v. Greece Appl. No. 14307/88 17 EHRR 397 335

Kosiek v. Germany Appl. No. 9704/82, Series A No. 105, judgment of 28 August 1986 36 Kress v. France [GC] Appl. No. 39594/98, judgment of 7 June 2001 ECHR 2001-VI 409 Kroon and others v. Netherlands Appl. No. 18535/91, Series A No. 297-C, judgment of 27 October 1994 452, 720

Kruslin *v.* France Appl. No. 11801/85, Series A No. 176-A, judgment of 24 April 1990

Kudla *v.* Poland Appl. No. 30210/96, judgment of 26 October 2000 736, 902–3 Kurt *v.* Turkey Appl. No. 24276/94, judgment of 25 May 1998 *Reports* 1998-III 543 Kutzner *v.* Germany Appl. No. 46544/99, judgment of 26 February 2002 \*66 ECHR 2002-I 450, 452

L.C.B. v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 23413/94, judgment of 9 June 1998 *Reports* 1998-III 408, 420

L. and V. v. Austria Appl. Nos 39392 and 39829/98, judgment of 9 January 2003 609 Larkos v. Cyprus [GC] Appl. No. 29515/95, judgment of 18 February 1999 \*29 ECHR 1999-I 425, 638

Laskey, Jaggard and Brown v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 21627, 21826 and 21974/93, judgment of 19 February 1997 *Reports* 1997-I 437, 438, 439

Lawless *v*. Ireland (No 3) Appl. No. 332/57, judgment of 1 July 1961 518–19, 524–7, 533 Lazzarini and Ghiacci *v*. Italy Appl. No. 53749/00, 7 November 2002 409

Leander v. Sweden Appl. No. 9248/81, Series A No. 116, judgment of 26 March 1987 735

Lindberg v. Sweden Appl. No. 48198/99, inadmissibility decision of 15 January 2004 212-15

Lobo Machado v. Portugal Appl. No. 15764/89, judgment of 20 February 1996 Reports 1996-I 212

Loizidou *v.* Turkey (preliminary objections) Appl. No. 15318/89 Series A No. 310, judgment of 23 March 1995 125–6, 135, 147, 148, 149–50, 151, 153, 159, 168, 224

Loizidou *v*. Turkey (merits) Appl. No. 15318/89, judgment of 18 December 1996 *Reports* 1996-VI 81, 125, 126–9, 147, 148, 151, 155, 157, 169

Lustig-Prean and Beckett v. United Kingdom Appl. Nos 31417 and 32377/96, judgment of 27 September 1999 609

M. & Co. v. Germany Appl. No. 13258/87, decision of 9 February 1990 222, 223, 224

M.G. v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 39393/98, judgment of 24 September 2002 449, 452

M.M. v. Netherlands Appl. No. 39339/98, judgment of 8 April 2003 394-5

McCann and others *v*. United Kingdom Appl. No. 18984/91 Series A No. 324, judgment of 27 September 1995 400, 408, 410, 421, 436

McElhinney v. Ireland and United Kingdom Appl. No. 31253/96, decision of 9 February 2000 151

McLeod *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 24755/94 *Reports* 1998-VIII, judgment of 23 September 1998 315, 316

- Madsen *v.* Denmark Appl. No. 58341/00, inadmissibility decision of 7 November 2002 431
- Malone v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 8691/79, Series A No. 82, judgment of 2 August 1984 297, 304
- Mamatkulov and Askarov *v*. Turkey Appl. No. 46827/99 and 46951/99, judgment of 5 February 2005 41 EHRR 494 38, 39, 281
- Manoussakis and others *v*. Greece Appl No. 18748/91, judgment of 26 September 1996 *Reports* 1996-IV 334, 335
- Mantovanelli v. France Appl. No. 21497/93, judgment of 18 March 1997 Reports 1997-II 212
- Marckx v. Belgium Appl. No. 6833/74, Series A No. 31, judgment of 13 June 1979 411, 412, 426, 428, 720
- Marshall v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 41571/98, inadmissibility decision of 10 July 2001 523
- Mastromatteo v. Italy [GC] Appl. No. 37703/97, judgment of 24 October 2002 \*90 ECHR 2002-VIII 409
- Mata Estevez *v.* Spain Appl. No. 56501/00, inadmissibility decision of 10 May 2001 ECHR 2001-VI 603, 604
- Matthews *v.* United Kingdom [GC] Appl. No. 24833/94, judgment of 18 February 1999 \*29 ECHR 1999-I 135, 149, 218–19, 221, 223, 224
- Mazurek v. France Appl. No. 34406/97, judgment of 1 February 2000 \*43 ECHR 2000-II 426, 428, 608
- Menson v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 47916/99, inadmissibility decision of 6 May 2003 ECHR 2003-V 428
- Mikulić *v.* Croatia Appl. No. 53176/99, judgment of 7 February 2002 437, 449, 450 Müller and others *v.* Switzerland Appl. No. 10737/84, Series A No. 133, judgment of 24 May 1988 311
- Murphy v. Ireland Appl. No. 44179/98, judgment of 10 July 2003 334, 448
- Nachova and others *v*. Bulgaria [GC] Appl. Nos. 43577 and 43579/98, judgment of 6 July 2005 ECHR 2005-VII 441, 636, 637
- National Union of Belgian Police *v*. Belgium Appl. No. 4464/70 Series A No. 19, judgment of 27 October 1975 432
- Noack and others *v*. Germany Appl. No. 46346/99, inadmissibility decision of 25 May 2000 717
- Norris v. Ireland Appl. No. 10581/83, Series A No. 142, judgment of 26 October 1988 310
- Observer and Guardian v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 13585/88 Series A No. 216, judgment of 26 November 1991 311
- Öçalan v. Turkey Appl. No. 46221/99, admissibility decision of 14 December 2000 154 Öçalan v. Turkey Appl. No. 46221/99, judgment of 12 May 2005 161
- Odièvre v. France [GC] Appl. No. 42326/98, judgment of 13 February 2003 448–53, 455
- Öllinger v. Austria Appl. No. 76900/01, judgment of 29 June 2006 455-8

- Olsson v. Sweden Appl. No. 10465/83, Series A No. 130, judgment of 24 March 1988 315
- Open Door and Dublin Well Woman v. Ireland Appl. No. 14234/88, Series A No. 246-A, judgment of 29 October 1992 308-12, 406
- Osman v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 23452/94, judgment of 28 October 1998 *Reports* 1998-VIII 400, 416, 418–23, 429, 436, 446
- Otto-Preminger-Institut *v.* Austria Appl. No. 13470/87, Series A No. 295-A, judgment of 20 September 1994 334, 448, 456
- Özgür Gündem v. Turkey Appl. No. 23144/93, judgment of 16 March 2000 399-401
- Papamichalopoulos and others *v.* Greece Appl. No. 14556/89, Series A No. 330-B, judgment of 31 October 1995 905
- Pellegrini *v.* Italy Appl. No. 30882/96, judgment of 20 July 2001 ECHR 2001-VIII 210–12, 215
- Perez *v.* France [GC] Appl. No. 47287/99, judgment of 12 February 2004 \*70 ECHR 2004-I 408
- Petrovic *v.* Austria Appl. No. 20458/92, judgment of 27 March 1998 *Reports* 1998-II 336, 572, 573, 608
- Pfeifer and Plankl *v.* Austria Appl. No. 10802/84, Series A No. 227, judgment of 25 February 1992 440
- P.G. and J.H. *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 44787/98, judgment of 25 September 2001 \*44 ECHR 2001-IX 304
- Pichon and Sajous *v.* France Appl. No. 49853/99, inadmissibility decision of 2 October 2001 ECHR 2001-X 337
- Pla and Puncernau *v*. Andorra Appl. No. 69498/01, judgment of 13 July 2004 423–9 Plattform 'Ärzte für das Leben' *v*. Austria Appl. No. 10126/82, Series A No. 139, judgment of 21 June 1988 400, 414–15, 428, 455
- Poirrez v. France Appl. No. 40892/98, judgment of 30 September 2003 573, 609
- Powell v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 45305/99, inadmissibility decision of 4 May 2000 ECHR 2000-V 408, 409
- Pretty *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 2346/02, judgment of 29 April 2002 ECHR 2001-VIII 47, 215, 435–40
- R v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 10083/82 1983 DR 33 45
- Ramirez Sanchez *v.* France Appl. No. 28780/95, inadmissibility decision of 24 June 1996 160
- Ramzy v. Netherlands Appl. No. 25424/05, admissibility decision of 28 May 2008 267-8
- Rees *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 9532/81, judgment of 17 October 1986, Series A No. 106 400, 415
- Reeve v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 24844/94, decision of 30 November 1994 79-A DR 146 405
- Refah Partisi (Welfare Party) and others *v.* Turkey [GC] Appl. Nos. 41340, 41342, 41343 and 41344/98, judgment of 13 February 2003 \*57 ECHR 2003-II 301, 334, 335, 336, 346

- Rekvényi v. Hungary [GC] Appl. No. 25390/94, judgment of 20 May 1999 \*34 ECHR 1999-III 301
- Rotaru v. Romania Appl. No. 28341/95, judgment of 4 May 2000 296-9
- Ryabikin v. Russia Appl. No. 8320/04, judgment of 19 June 2008 282, 284
- Saadi v. Italy [GC] Appl. No. 37201/06, judgment of 28 February 2008 268-70, 282
- Şahin *v*. Turkey [GC] Appl. No. 44774/98, judgment of 10 November 2005 320, 322, 330–7, 346, 347, 350
- Salgueiro da Silva Mouta v. Portugal Appl. No. 33290/96, judgment of 21 December 1999 \*29 ECHR 1999-IX 603
- Saramati v. France, Germany and Norway Appl. No. 78166/01, inadmissibility decision of 2 May 2007 234–7
- Scharsach and News Verlagsgesellschaft *v.* Austria Appl. No. 39394/98, judgment of 13 November 2003 \*30 ECHR 2003-XI 456
- Schettini and others *v.* Italy Appl. No. 29529/95, inadmissibility decision of 9 November 2000 432
- Schmidt and Dahlström *v.* Sweden Appl. No. 5589/72, Series A No. 21, judgment of 6 February 1976 432
- Schmidt *v.* Germany Appl. No. 13580/88, Series A No. 291-B, judgment of 18 July 1994 572, 603, 608
- Schuler-Zgraggen v. Switzerland Appl. No. 14518/89, Series A No. 263, judgment of 24 June 1993 336
- Scozzari and Giunta v. Italy [GC] Appl. Nos. 39221 and 41963/98, judgment of 13 July 2000 \*249 ECHR 2000-VIII 911
- Selmouni v. France [GC] Appl. No. 25803/94, judgment of 28 July 1999 \*74 ECHR 1999-V 903
- Sentges v. Netherlands Appl. No. 27677/02, inadmissibility decision of 8 July 2003 573 Serif v. Greece Appl. No. 38178/97, judgment of 14 December 1999 \*53 ECHR 1999-IX 334
- Shamayev v. Georgia and Russia Appl. No. 36378/02, judgment of 12 April 2005 282, 285-8
- Sidabras and Dziautas v. Lithuania Appl. Nos. 55480 and 59330/00, judgment of 27 July 2004 573
- Sigurjónsson v. Island Appl. No. 16130/90, Series A No. 264, judgment of 30 June 1993 436
- Silver and others *v*. United Kingdom Appl Nos. 5947/72, 6205/73, 7052/75, 7061/75, 7107/75, 7113/75 and 7136/75, Series A No. 61, judgment of 25 March 1983 735
- S.L. v. Austria Appl. No. 45330/99, judgment of 9 January 2003 \*36 ECHR 2003-I 603, 609
- Smith and Grady v. United Kingdom Appl. Nos. 33985 and 33986/96, judgment of 27 September 1999 308, 574, 603, 609
- Soering *v.* United Kingdom Appl. No. 14038/88, Series A No. 161, judgment of 7 July 1989 38, 66, 70, 126, 149, 150, 153, 169, 194, 265
- Sommerfeld v. Germany Appl. No. 31871/96, judgment of 8 July 2003 608

- Stankov and the United Macedonian Organisation Ilinden v. Bulgaria Appl. Nos. 29221 and 29225/95, judgment of 2 October 2001 455
- Stec and others v. United Kingdom [GC] Appl. Nos. 65731 and 65900/01, judgment of 12 April 2006 636, 638
- Streletz, Kessler and Krenz v. Germany [GC] Appl. Nos. 34044/96, 35532/97 and 44801/98, judgment of 22 March 2001 ECHR 2001-II 410
- Stubbings and others v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 22083/93, judgment of 22 October 1996 Reports 1996-IV 409
- Sunday Times v. United Kingdom (no. 1) Appl. No. 6538/74, Series A No. 30, judgment of 26 April 1979 332
- Swedish Engine Drivers' Union v. Sweden Appl. No. 5614/72, Series A No. 20, judgment of 6 February 1976 432
- Thlimmenos v. Greece Appl. No. 34369/97, judgment of 6 April 2000 573, 634-5, 636, 657, 658, 769
- T.I. v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 43844/98, inadmissibility decision of 7 March 2000 200 - 2
- Timishev v. Russia Appl. Nos 55762 and 55974/00, judgment of 13 December 2005 441, 594-5
- Tomasi v. France Appl. No. 12850/87, Series A No. 241-A, judgment of 27 August 1992 265
- Tyrer v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 5856/72, Series A No. 26, judgment of 25 April 1978 407
- United Communist Party of Turkey and others v. Turkey Appl. No. 19392/92, judgment of 30 January 1998 Reports 1998-I 223, 334, 528
- V v. United Kingdom [GC] Appl. No. 24888/94, judgment of 16 December 1999 \*72 ECHR 1999-IX 149
- Valsamis v. Greece Appl. No. 21787/94, judgment of 18 December 1996 Reports 1996-VI 337, 639
- Van Raalte v. Netherlands Appl. No. 20060/92, judgment of 21 February 1997 Reports 1997-I 336, 572
- Verein gegen Tierfabriken (VgT) v. Switzerland Appl. No. 24699/94, judgment of 28 June 2001 411-13
- Vermeire v. Belgium Appl. No. 12849/87, Series A No. 214-C, judgment of 29 November 1991 426, 428
- Vermeulen v. Belgium Appl. No. 19075/91, judgment of 20 February 1996 Reports 1996-I 212
- Vilvarajah and others v. United Kingdom Appl. Nos 13163, 13164, 13165, 13447 and 13448/87 Series A No. 215, judgment of 30 October 1991 150, 201, 265, 287, 526
- Vo v. France [GC] Appl. No. 53924/00, judgment of 8 July 2004 404–10
- Waite and Kennedy v. Germany [GC] Appl. No. 26083/94, judgment of 18 February 1999 \*59 ECHR 1999-I 80, 202, 223, 230
- Wilson, NUJ and others v. United Kingdom Appl. Nos 30668, 30671 and 30678/96, judgment of 2 July 2002 431–3, 441

Wingrove v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 17419/90 Reports 1996-V, judgment of 25 November 1996 334, 448

Wretlund v. Sweden Appl. No. 46210/99, inadmissibility decision of 9 March 2004 431

X v. Austria Appl. No. 7045/75, decision of 10 December 1976 7 DR 87 405

X v. Norway Appl. No. 867/60, decision of 29 May 1961 405

X v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 8416/79, decision of 13 May 1980 19 DR 244 405

X and Y v. Netherlands Appl. No. 8978/80, Series A No. 91, judgment of 26 March 1985 47, 388-9, 400, 414, 428, 429, 437, 450, 703

X, Y and Z v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 21830/93, judgment of 22 April 1997 Reports 1997-II 149

Xhavara and others v. Italy and Albania Appl. No. 39473/98, inadmissibility decision of 11 January 2001 154, 161

Young, James and Webster v. United Kingdom Appl. Nos 7601/76 and 7806/77, Series A No. 44, judgment of 13 August 1981 334, 385-7, 411, 412, 428, 436

Younger v. United Kingdom Appl. No. 57420/00, inadmissibility decision of 7 January 2003 418

Z and others v. United Kingdom [GC] Appl. No. 29392/95, judgment of 10 May 2001 \*73 ECHR 2001-V 169, 416

Zarb Adami v. Malta Appl. No. 17209/02, judgment of 20 June 2006 ECHR 2006-VIII 636

Zehlanova and Zehnal v. Czech Republic Appl. No. 38621/97, decision of 14 May 2002 573

#### EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF SOCIAL RIGHTS

Autisme-Europe v. France No. 13/2002 575, 657–8, 769, 918 ERRC v. Bulgaria No. 31/2005 769

International Commission of Jurists v. Portugal No. 1/1998 657, 917, 918, 919-20 International Federation for Human Rights (FIDH) v. France No. 14/2003 40-1

## **EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE (NUMERICAL)**

1/1958 Stork v. High Authority [1959] ECR 17 23

36/37/38/40/1959 Geitling v. High Authority [1960] ECR 423 23

6/1964 Costa v. ENEL [1964] ECR 585 223

29/1969 Stauder v. City of Ulm [1969] ECR 419 24

11/1970 Internationale Handelsgesellschaft mbH v. Einfuhr- und Vorratssttelle für Getreide und Futtermittel [1970] ECR 1125 24

C-165/82 Commission v. United Kingdom [1983] ECR 3431 615

C-222/84 Johnston v. Chief Constable of RUC [1986] ECR 1651 672

C-312/86 Commission v. France [1988] ECR 6315 670

C-109/88 Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund I Danmark v. Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening, acting on behalf of Danfoss [1989] ECR 3199 627

C-260/89 ERT [1991] ECR I-2925 23

C-450/93 Kalanke v. Freie Hansestadt Bremen [1995] ECR I-3051 666, 667, 670

C-409/95 Marschall v. Land Nordrhein-Westfalen [1997] ECR I-6363 667, 670

C-158/97 Badeck and others [2000] ECR I-1875 667, 668, 670, 671, 672

C-273/97 Sirdar [1999] ECR I-7403 672

C-407/98 Abrahamsson and Anderson [2000] ECR I-5539 667, 671, 672

C-274/99 P, Connolly v. Commission [2001] ECR I-1611 23

C-94/00 Roquette Frères [2002] ECR I-9011 24

C-112/00 Schmidberger [2003] ECR I-5659 24

C-36/02 Omega [2004] ECR I-9609 24

C-319/03 Briheche [2004] ECR I-8807 667

C-145/04 Spain v. United Kingdom [2006] ECR 1-7917 232

C-402/05 and C-415/05 P Kadi and Al Barakaat International Foundation v. Council and Commission Joined Cases, judgment of 3 September 2008 64

## COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE (GENERAL COURT OF THE EU)

T-315/01 Kadi v. Council and Commission, judgment of 21 September 2005 63-4

## **EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE (ALPHABETICAL)**

Abrahamsson and Anderson (Case C-407/98) [2000] ECR I-5539 667, 671, 672

Badeck and others (Case C-158/97) [2000] ECR I-1875 667, 668, 670, 671, 672

Briheche (Case C-319/03) [2004] ECR I-8807 667

C-109/88 Handels- og Kontorfunktionærernes Forbund I Danmark v. Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening, acting on behalf of Danfoss (Case C-109/88) [1989] ECR 3199 627

Commission v. France (Case C-312/86) [1988] ECR 6315 670

Commission v. United Kingdom (Case 165/82) [1983] ECR 3431 615

Connolly v. Commission (Case C-274/99 P) [2001] ECR I-1611 23

Costa v. ENEL (Case 6/64) [1964] ECR 585 223

ERT (Case C-260/89) [1991] ECR I-2925 23

Geitling v. High Authority (Joint Cases 36, 37, 38 and 40/59) [1960] ECR 423 23

Internationale Handelsgesellschaft mbH v. Einfuhr- und Vorratssttelle für Getreide und Futtermittel (Case 11/70) [1970] ECR 1125 24

Johnston v. Chief Constable of RUC (Case C-222/84) [1986] ECR 1651 672

Kadi and Al Barakaat International Foundation *v.* Council and Commission Joined Cases C-402/05 P and C-415/05 P, judgment of 3 September 2008 64

Kalanke v. Freie Hansestadt Bremen (Case C-450/93) [1995] ECR I-3051 666, 667, 670

Marschall v. Land Nordrhein-Westfalen (Case C-409/95) [1997] ECR I-6363 667, 670

Omega (Case C-36/02) [2004] ECR I-9609 24

Roquette Frèåres (Case C-94/00) [2002] ECR I-9011 23

Schmidberger (Case C-112/00) [2003] ECR I-5659 24

Sirdar (Case C-273/97) [1999] ECR I-7403 672

Spain v. United Kingdom (Case C-145/04) [2006] ECR 1-7917 232

Stauder v. City of Ulm (Case 29/69) [1969] ECR 419 24

Stork v. High Authority (Case 1/58) [1959] ECR 17 23

## EUROPEAN FREE TRADE ASSOCIATION (EFTA) COURT

EFTA Surveillance Authority v. Norway Case E-1/02, judgment of 24 January 2003 665–74

#### **HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE**

Adam v. Czech Republic, No. 586/1994 607

Aduayom et al. v. Togo, Nos. 422, 423 and 424/1990 812-13

A.R.J. v. Australia, No. 692/1996 205

Aumeeruddy-Cziffra et al v. Mauritius, No. 035/1978 613, 817

Ballantyne, Davidson, McIntyre v. Canada, Nos. 359 and 385/1989 709-10

Bhinder v. Canada, No. 208/1986 345

Blom v. Sweden, No. 191/1985 568

Broeks v. Netherlands, No. 172/1984 565-7, 613

Celiberti de Casariego v. Uruguay, No. 56/79 130-200

Correia de Matos v. Portugal, No. 1123/2002 830

Cox v. Canada, No. 539/1993 206, 817–18

Danning v. Netherlands, No. 180/1984 597, 601, 605

Derksen v. Netherlands, No. 976/2001 601, 632-4

Diergaardt et al. v. Namibia, No. 760/1997 696-701

Foin v. France, No. 666/1995 598-600, 625

Gauthier v. Canada, No. 633/1995 443, 578-81

G.T. v. Australia, No. 706/1996 205

Gueye and others v. France, No. 196/1985 606

H. v.d. P. v. Netherlands, No. 217/1986 217–18

Hendriks v. Netherlands, No. 201/1985 824-5

Henry v. Jamaica, No. 230/1987 823-4

Herrera Rubio et al. v. Columbia, No. 161/1983 380-1

Hertzberg et al. v. Finland, No. 61/1979 817

Hopu and Bessert v. France, No. 549/1993 714-17

Hudoyberganova v. Uzbekistan, No. 931/2000 329, 345, 347

Jacobs v. Belgium, No. 943/2000 663-5

Järvinen v. Finland, No. 295/1988 600

J.L. v. Australia, No. 491/1992 809

Joslin v. New Zealand, No. 902/1999 604

Judge v. Canada, No. 829/1998 203-6

Karakurt v. Austria, No. 965/2000 607, 828

```
Kavanagh v. Ireland, No. 819/1998 577-8
Kennedy v. Trinidad and Tobago, No. 845/1998 121
Kindler v. Canada, No. 470/1990 202-3
Kitok v. Sweden, No. 197/1985 693-6, 701
Kollar v. Austria, No. 989/2001 828
Könye v. Hungary, No. 520/1992 809-11
Kuok Koi v. Portugal, No. 925/2000 58
Kurowski v. Poland, No. 872/1999 811-12
Leirvåg v. Norway, No. 1155/2003 826-7
López Burgos v. Uruguay, No. 52/1979 130, 143, 147, 160
Lovelace v. Canada, No. 24/1977 696
Maille v. France, No. 689/1996 600
Mbenge v. Zaire, No. 16/1977 816
El-Megreisi v. Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, No. 440/1990 842
Montero v. Uruguay, No. 106/1981 130
Müller, M.A. and Engelhard, I. v. Namibia No. 919/2000 597–8, 625
Munoz v. Peru, No. 203/1986 821
Ominayak and Lubicon Lake Band, Chief Bernard v. Canada, No. 167/1984 705–9,
  819-23
van Oord v. Netherlands, No. 658/1995 607
Oulajin and Kaiss v. Netherlands, Nos. 406 and 426/1990 568
Pauger v. Austria, No. 415/1990 568
Piandiong, Morallos and Bulan v. Philippines, No. 869/1999 37
Pinkney v. Canada, No. 27/1978 294-5
Rojas García v. Colombia, No. 687/1996 314
Sánchez López v. Spain, No. 777/1997 826
S.E. v. Argentina, No. 275/1988 810
Simunek v. Czech Republic, No. 516/1992 607
Singh Bhinder v. Canada, No. 208/1986 631–2
Sprenger v. Netherlands, No. 395/1990 568–9
Stalla Costa v. Uruguay, No. 198/1985 663
Tadman et al. v. Canada, No. 816/1998 813-14
Toonen v. Australia, No. 488/1992 814-15
Valenzuela, Carlos Orihuela v. Peru, No. 309/1988 568
Venier et Nicolas v. France, No. 690/1996 600
Vos, Hendrika S. v. Netherlands, No. 218/1986 568, 613
Vos, J. v. Netherlands, No. 786/1997 568
Waldman v. Canada, No. 694/1996 443, 569-71, 814
Weiss v. Austria, No. 1086/2002 37
X v. Colombia, No. 1361/2005 601-2
Young v. Australia, No. 941/2000 601
Zwaan de Vries v. Netherlands, No. 182/1984 567, 613
```

# INTER-AMERICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Coard *v.* United States, Report No. 109/9 146, 147, 153
Diniz, Simone André *v.* Brazil, Report No. 66/06 622–3, 624
Haitian Interdiction, Case 10.675 Reports No. 28/93 and 51/96 144–6, 160, 161
Ménendez et al *v.* Argentina, Case 11.670 Report No. 3/01 26
Roach and Pinkerton, Case 9647, Res. No. 3/87 [1987] Inter-Am. Yearbook on HR
260 65

#### INTER-AMERICAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Baena Ricardo et al. v. Panama, 2 February 2001 Series C No. 72 559, 936-7

Baena Ricardo et al. v. Panama, judgment on competence 28 November 2003 937-45

Bámaca Velásquez, judgment of 25 November 2000 Series C No. 70 734

Cantoral Benavides, judgment of 18 August 2000 Series C No. 69 734

Castillo-Petruzzi et al. v. Peru, judgment of 30 May 1999 Series C No. 52 546-7

Cesti Hurtado, judgment of 29 September 1999 Series C No. 56 734

Claude-Reyes et al. v. Chile, judgment of 19 September 2006 Series C No. 151 392

Effect of Reservations on the Entry into Force of the American Convention on Human Rights (Arts 74 and 75), advisory opinion OC-2/82 of 24 September 1982, Series A No. 2 5, 95, 103

Exceptions to the Exhaustion of Domestic Remedies (Articles 46(1), 46(2)(a) and 46(2) (b) ACHR), advisory opinion OC-11/90 of 10 August 1990 932-5

Fairén Garbi and Solís Corrales, judgment of 15 March 1989 Series C No. 6 931, 935 'Five Pensioners' v. Peru 939

Gangaram Panday, judgment of 4 December 1991 Series C No. 12 931–2

Garrido and Baigorria v. Argentina, judgment of 27 August 1998 Series C No. 39 137

Godínez Cruz, judgment of 20 January 1989 Series C No. 5 931, 933, 935

Habeas corpus in Emergency Situations, Advisory Opinion OC-8/87 of 30 January 1987 545-6, 556-7

Hilaire *v.* Trinidad and Tobago, preliminary objections, judgment of 1 September 2001 Series C No. 80 105–7

Interpretation of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man within the Framework of Article 64 of the ACHR, advisory opinion OC-10/89 Series A No. 10 921–5

Ituango Massacres v. Colombia, judgment of 1 July 2006 Series C No. 148 138 Judicial Guarantees in States of Emergency, advisory opinion OC-9/87 of 6 October 1987 558, 734

Juridical Condition and Human Rights of the Child, advisory opinion OC-17/02 of 28 August 2002 Series A No. 17 392

Juridical Condition and Rights of Undocumented Migrants, advisory opinion OC-18/03 of 17 September 2003 66-7, 392-3, 624-5, 660, 946

- Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua, judgment of 31 August 2001 Series C No. 79 733–5
- 'Other Treaties' Subject to the Advisory Jurisdiction of the Court (Article 64 ACHR), advisory opinion OC-1/82 of 24 September 1982 Series A No. 1 928, 930
- Proposed Amendments of the Naturalization Provisions of the Constitution of Costa Rica, advisory opinion OC-4/84 of 19 January 1984 576
- Pueblo Bello Massacre *v.* Colombia, judgment of 31 January 2006 Series C No. 140 392 Restrictions to the Death Penalty (Articles 4(2) and 4(4) ACHR), advisory opinion OC-3/83, 8 September 1983 Series A No. 3 927–30
- Right to Information on Consular Assistance in the Framework of the Guarantees of the Due Process of Law, advisory opinion OC-16/99 of 1 October 1999 582–3, 945
- Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay, judgment of 29 March 2006 Series C No. 146 59–60, 392
- Velásquez-Rodríguez *v.* Honduras, judgment of 29 July 1988 Series C No. 4 390–1, 581, 703, 931, 933, 935
- Viviana Gallardo et al., judgment of 13 November 1981 931
- Word 'Laws' in Article 30 of the American Convention on Human Rights, advisory opinion OC-06/86 of 9 May 1986 293
- Yakye Axa Indigenous Community *v.* Paraguay, judgment of 17 June 2005 Series C No. 125 392
- Yean and Bosico *v.* Dominican Republic, judgment of 8 September 2005 Series C No. 130 610–11, 624
- Zambrano Vélez et al. v. Ecuador, judgment of 4 July 2007 Series C, No. 166 558

# INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

- Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina *v.* Serbia and Montenegro) [1993] ICR Reports 3, order of 8 April 1993 62
- Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina *v.* Serbia and Montenegro) [1996] ICR Reports 595 57
- Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina *v.* Serbia and Montenegro) (merits), judgment of 27 February 2007 371–5
- Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (New Application: 2002) (Democratic Republic of the Congo *v.* Rwanda), judgment of 3 February 2006 65
- Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Democratic Republic of Congo *v.* Belgium) [2002] ICJ Reports 3, judgment of 14 February 2002 84–6
- Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company (Belgium *v.* Spain) [1970] ICJ Reports 3, 2nd phase 67–8, 90, 91, 923
- Corfu Channel Case (United Kingdom v. Albania) [1949] ICJ Reports 4, judgment of April 1949 54, 165, 938

- East Timor Case (Portugal *v.* Australia) [1995] ICJ Reports 90, judgment of 30 June 1995 54, 68, 90, 685
- Interpretation of the Agreement of 25 March 1951 between WHO and Egypt [1980] ICJ Reports 73 228
- Interpretation of Peace Treaties [1950] ICJ 65 928, 929
- LaGrand (Germany v. United States) [2001] ICJ Reports 466, judgment of 27 June 2001 38, 582
- Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, advisory opinion of 9 July 2004 88, 90, 124, 129–31, 684–7
- Legal Consequences of the Continued Presence of South Africa in Namibia (South West Africa) notwithstanding SC Resolution 276 (1970), Advisory Opinion of 21 June 1971 49, 125, 684, 923, 929
- Legality of threat or use of nuclear weapons [1996] ICJ Reports 66, advisory opinion of 8 July 1996 4, 54, 64, 90, 165
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua *v*. United States) [1986] ICJ Reports 14, judgment of 27 June 1986 54, 368–71, 372, 373
- North Sea Continental Shelf (Federal Republic of Germany *v.* Denmark and Federal Republic of Germany *v.* Netherlands) [1969] ICJ Reports 3, judgment of 20 February 1969 50, 942
- Questions of Interpretation and Application of 1971 Montreal Convention arising from the Aerial Incident at Lockerbie (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya *v.* United Kingdom) [1992] ICJ Reports 113, order of 14 April 1992 62
- Questions of Interpretation and Application of 1971 Montreal Convention arising from the Aerial Incident at Lockerbie (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya *v.* United States) [1992] ICJ Reports 16, order of 14 April 1992 62
- Reparation for Injuries Suffered in Service of UN [1949] ICJ Reports 174 235
- Reservations to the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide [1951] ICJ Reports 15, advisory opinion of 28 May 1951 54, 95, 98, 115, 929
- South West Africa cases (second phase) [1966] ICJ Reports 6 593
- United States and Consular Staff in Tehran (United States *v.* Iran) ICJ reports 1980 3, judgment of 24 May 1980 54, 923
- Western Sahara, advisory opinion of 16 October 1975 684, 929

### INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA

Prosecutor v. Tadic IT-94-1-A, judgment of 15 July 1999 371, 374

Prosecutor v. Anto Furundzija Case 95-17/1-T, judgment of 10 December 1998 65, 69-72, 74, 82, 86

### PERMANENT COURT OF INTERNATIONAL JUSTICE

Corfu Channel, advisory opinion of 23 July 1926 Series B No. 13 938

Free City of Danzig and International Labour Organization, advisory opinion of 26 August 1930 Series B No. 18 942

German Settlers in Upper Silesia, advisory opinion of 10 September 1923, Series B No. 6 70

Greco-Bulgarian Communities, advisory opinion of 31 July 1930 Series B No. 17 713 Minority Schools in Albania (Greece *v.* Albania), advisory opinion of 6 April 1935 1935 PCIJ Series A/B No. 64 5

### ARBITRATION TRIBUNALS

Affaire de l'impôt sur les benefices de guerre, 1 RIAA 302 70

Hyacinthe Pellat, 5 RIAA 536 137

Mariposa Development Company and Others, Decision, US-Panama General Claims Commission, 27 June 1933 6 RIAA 338 70

Montijo Case, award of 26 July 1875 137

Trail Smelter Case, RIAA 1938 Vol. III 1905 165

### **CANADA**

B.C. Motor Vehicle Act, Re [1985] 2 SCR 486 272, 277

B.(R.) v. Children's Aid Society of Metropolitan Toronto [1995] 1 SCR 315 352, 353

British Columbia (Superintendent of Motor Vehicles) v. British Columbia (Council of Human Rights) [1999] 3 SCR 868 355

Canada v. Schmidt [1987] 1 SCR 500 274

Central Alberta Dairy Pool v. Alberta (Human Rights Commission) [1990] 2 SCR 489 643, 644

Central Okanagan School District No. 23 v. Renaud [1992] 2 SCR 970 642-5

Dagenais v. Canadian Broadcasting Corp. [1994] 3 SCR 835 353

Eldridge v. British Columbia [1997] 3 SCR 624 350, 356, 358, 640

Irwin Toy v. Quebec [1989] 1 SCR 927 361

Kindler v. Canada [1991] 2 SCR 779 272, 273

Libman v. Attorney General of Quebec (1997) 3 BHRC 269 541

Multani v. Commission scolaire Marguerite-Bourgeoys [2006] 1 SCR 256 321, 322, 350–62, 640, 642

New Brunswick (Minister of Health and Community Services) v. G. (J.) [1999] 3 SCR 46 277

Ontario Human Rights Commission and O'Malley v. Simpsons-Sears Ltd [1985] 2 SCR 536 642, 643, 644, 645

R v. Big M Drug Mart [1985] 1 SCR 295 352, 353

R v. Edwards Books and Art Ltd [1986] 2 SCR 713 355, 357

R v. Keegstra [1990] 3 SCR 697 353

R v. Oakes [1986] 1 SCR 103 353, 355, 357, 361, 362, 541

R v. Smith [1987] 1 SCR 1045 273

RJR-MacDonald Inc. v. Attorney General of Canada [1995] 3 SCR 199 356

Rodriguez v. British Columbia (Attorney General) [1993] 3 SCR 519 44-6, 47, 322-3, 439

Ross v. New Brunswick Social District No 15 [1996] 1 SCR 825 352

Secession of Quebec [1998] 2 SCR 217 688-92

Sing v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) 2007 FC 361 282

Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson [1989] 1 SCR 1038 360, 361

Suresh v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration) [2002] 1 SCR 3 204, 270–8, 283

Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem [2004] 2 SCR 551 352, 353-4

Trinity Western University *v.* British Columbia College of Teachers [2001] 1 SCR 772 352, 353

United States v. Burns [2001] 1 SCR 283 204, 272, 273

## **CHINA**

Equal Opportunities Commission v. Director of Education [2001] 2 HKLRD 690 (High Court of Hong Kong) 588

### **COLOMBIA**

Sentencia (Judgment) C-075/07, 7 February 2007 602

### **DENMARK**

Hanne Norup Carlsen and others v. Prime Minister Poul Nyrup Rasmussen [1999] 3 CMLR 854 24

### **FRANCE**

Farouk, Ex-King v. Dior 24 ILR 228 (CA Paris 1957) 77

#### **GERMANY**

Bananas case [2000] BVerfGE 102, 147 (BVerfG, 2 BvL 1/97) 24 Lüth decision [1958] BVerfGE 7, 198 403 Maastricht judgment [1993], BVerfGE 89, 155, 1 CMLR 57 24 Rasterfahndung method, decision of 4 April 2006 (1 BvR 518/02) 595–6 Solange I [1974] BVerfGE 37, 271, 2 CMLR 551 24, 25 Solange II [1987] BVerfGE 73, 339, 3 CMLR 225 24

#### INDIA

People's Union for Civil Liberties and another *v*. Union of India, Writ Petition (Civil) No.196 of 2001, judgment of 2 May 2003 767–8

# **IRELAND**

Open Door and Dublin Well Woman [1988] Irish Reports 618 309

### **ISRAEL**

Botzer v. Municipal Council of Maccabim-Reut HCJ 7081/93 765

Eichmann 36 ILR 5; aff'd 36 ILR 277, S Ct 72, 75, 160

Hat'm Abu Zayda v. Israel General Security Service 38 ILM 1471 (1999) 277

Public Committee against Torture in Israel *v.* Israel and General Security Service HCJ 5100/94, judgment of 6 September 1999 258–62

Shoharei Gilat v. Minister of Education and Culture HCJ 7715, 1554/95 764

Yated – Non-Profit Organization for Parents of Children with Down Syndrome, and 54 Parents *v*. Ministry of Education, judgment of 14 August 2002 HCJ 2599/00 762–7, 770

## **LESOTHO**

Law Society of Lesotho v. Minister of Defence and Internal Security [1988] LRC (Const.) 226 527

#### **SOUTH AFRICA**

Government of South Africa and others *v*. Grootboom and others 2000 (11) BCLR 1169 751–62

S v. Makwanyane and Another 1995 (3) SA 391 (CC), 1995 (6) BCLR 665 (CC) 33

#### **SWITZERLAND**

Dahlab case, Federal Court judgment of 12 November 1997, A.T.F 123/296 347

### UNITED KINGDOM

A and others v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2004] UKHL 56 520-4, 540-5, 548-9

AH (Sudan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2007] UKHL 49 283

AS and DD (Libya) *v.* Secretary of State for the Home Department [2008] EWCA Civ 289 283

Al Skeini and others *v*. Secretary of State for Defence [2004] EWHC 2911 Admin; [2005] EWCA Civ 1609; [2007] UKHL 26 155–60, 161

Cooke v. Secretary of State for Social Security [2002] 3 All ER 279 283

de Freitas *v.* Permanent Secretary of Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Lands and Housing [1999] 1 AC 69 541

G v. Secretary of State for the Home Department SC/2/2002, Bail Application SCB/10, 20 May 2004 543 Glasgow City Council v. Zafar [1997] 1 WLR 1659 586 King v. Great Britain-China Centre [1992] ICR 516 586 Nagarajan v. London Regional Transport [2000] 1 AC 501 585, 586, 588 0.0. (Jordan) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2009] UKHL 10 280-5 Osman and another v. Ferguson and another [1993] 4 All ER 344 420 Pratt and Morgan v. Attorney-General for Jamaica [1994] 2 AC 1 (PC) 27, 105, 120 R (on application of Begum) v. Headteachers and Governors of Denbigh High School [2006] UKHL 15 319-21 R (on the application of Pretty) v. DPP [2001] UKHL 61 43-4, 46-7 R v. Birmingham City Council, ex parte EOC [1989] AC 1155 588 R v. Bow Street Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate, ex parte Pinochet (No. 3) [2000] AC 147 (HL) 72-9, 82, 83, 86 R v. Commission for Racial Equality, ex parte Westminster City Council [1985] ICR 827 588 R v. Entry Clearance Officer, Bombay, ex parte Amin [1983] 2 AC 818 587 R v. Immigration Officer at Prague Airport and another ex parte European Roma Rights Centre and others [2004] UKHL 55 161, 583-94 R v. Ministry of Defence ex parte Smith [1996] QB 517 320 R v. Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Chalal [1994] Immigration Appeal Reports 107 263-4 RB and U (Algeria) v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2009] UKHL 10 280 - 5Secretary of State for the Home Department v. Rehman [2001] 3 WLR 877 277 Singh [1984] 1 WLR 704 541 Thomas and Hilaire v. Attorney General and Others [1999] 3 WLR 249 (PC) 120 X and others v. Bedfordshire County Council [1995] 3 All ER 353 416 Y v. Secretary of State for the Home Department SC/36/2005, 24 August 2006 284 **UNITED STATES** Ansonia Board of Education v. Philbrook, 479 US 60 (1986) 643 Argentina v. de Blake, 507 US 1017, 123L. Ed. 2d 444, 113 S. Ct 1812 (1993) 71 Bowers v. Hardwick, 478 US 186 (1986) 41, 42 Boy Scouts of America et al. v. Dale, 530 US 640 615–18

Ansonia Board of Education *v.* Philbrook, 479 US 60 (1986) 643
Argentina *v.* de Blake, 507 US 1017, 123L. Ed. 2d 444, 113 S. Ct 1812 (1993) 71
Bowers *v.* Hardwick, 478 US 186 (1986) 41, 42
Boy Scouts of America et al. *v.* Dale, 530 US 640 615–18
Brown *v.* Board of Education of Topeka, 347 US 483 (1954) 442
Caban *v.* Mohammed, 441 US 380 (1979) 611
Cabiri *v.* Assasie-Gyimah, 921 F. Supp. 1189, 1196 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) 71
Catholic Charities of Sacramento Inc. *v.* Superior Court, 32 Cal. 4th 527 (2004) 619, 620, 621
Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye Inc. *v.* Hialeah, 508 US 520 (1993) 619, 620

```
City of Chicago v. Morales et al, 527 US 41 (1999) 296
Committee of US Citizens Living in Nicaragua v. Reagan, 859 F 2d 929, 949 (D.C. Cir.
  1988) 71
Craig v. Boren, 429 US 190 (1976) 611
Daniel R.R. v. State Board of Education, 874 F. Supp. 2d 1036 (5th Cir. 1989) 765
De Blake v. Argentina, 965 F 2d 699 (9th Cir. 1992) 71
Demjanjuk, In the Matter of the Extradition of, 612 F. Supp. 544 72
Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky, (1985) 603 F. Supp. 1468; 776 F 2d 571 74
Doe I v. Unocal Corp., 395 F 3d 932 (9th Cir. 2002) 170
Employment Div., Ore. Dept. of Human Res. v. Smith, 494 US 872 (1990) 619, 620
Filartiga v. Peña-Irala, 630 F 2d 876 (2d Cir. 1980) 69, 170
Gaston County v. United States, 395 US 285 (1969) 629
Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente Uniao do Vegetal, 546 US 418 (2006) 611
Grayned v. City of Rockford, 408 US 104 (1972) 296
Green et al. v. County School Board of New Kent County et al., 391 US 430 (1968) 442
Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 401 US 424 (1971) 626–31
Hatch v. Baez, [1876] 7 Hun. 596 77
Hazelwood School District v. United States, 433 US 299 (1977) 628
Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group of Boston Inc., 515 US 557
  (1995) 617
J.E.B. v. Alabama, 511 US 127 (1994) 611
Jimenez v. Aristeguieta, 311 F 2d 547 (1962) 77
Johnson v. Transportation Agency, Santa Clara County, Calif. et al., 107 S. Ct. 1442
  (1987) 628
Kadic v. Karadzic, 70 F 3d 232 (2d Cir. 1995) 170
Kissinger v. Board of Trustees, 5 F 3d 177 (1993) 621
Korematsu v. United States, 323 US 214 (1944) 611
Lawrence et al. v. Texas, 539 US 558 (2003) 41–3
Marcos, In re Estate of Ferdinand E., 978 F 2d 493 (9th Cir. 1992) 71, 170
Marcos Manto v. Thajane, 508 US 972, 125L. Ed. 2d 661, 113 S. Ct 2960 (1993) 71
Mendaro v. World Bank, 717 F 2d 610 (D.C. Cir. 1983) 229
Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan, 458 US 718 (1982) 611
New York State Club Assn Inc. v. City of New York, 487 US 1 (1988) 617
North Coast Women's Care Medical Care Group Inc. et al. v. San Diego County
  Superior Court (G.T. Benitez), Case S142892, judgment of 18 August 2008 618-21
Oberti v. Board of Education, 1204 F. Supp. 995 (2d Cir. 1993) 765
Philadelphia, Mayor of v. Educational Equality League, 415 US 605 (1974) 628
Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pa. v. Casey, 505 US 833 (1992) 41, 42
Richmond, City of v. J.A. Croson Co., 488 US 469 (1989) 628
Roberts v. United States Jaycees, 468 US 609 (1984) 615, 616, 617
Roper v. Simmons, 543 US 551 (2005) 118
Rotary Int'l, Board of Directors of v. Rotary Club of Duarte, 481 US 537 (1987) 617
```

```
Sale, Acting Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service, et al. v. Haitian Centers Council, INC., et al., 509 US 155 (1993) 144, 145

Shelley v. Kraemer, 334 US 1 (1948) 458

Sherbert v. Verner, 374 US 398 (1963) 611, 619

Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain, 542 US 692 (2004) 171

Teamsters v. United States, 431 US 324 (1977) 628

Tel-Oren v. Libyan Arab Republic, 233 US App. D.C. 384, 726 F 2d 774 (D.C. Cir. 1984) 170

Trans World Airlines Inc. v. Hardison, 432 US 63 (1977) 643, 644

United States v. O'Brien, 391 US 367 (1968) 617

United States v. Virginia, 518 US 515 (1996) 611

United Steelworkers of America v. Weber, 443 US 193 (1979) 628

Washington v. Glucksberg, 521 US 702, 117 S. Ct 2258 (1997) 43

Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 US 205 (1972) 619

Xuncax et al v. Gramajo, 886 F. Supp. 162 (D. Mass. 1995) 71, 170
```

# **Table of Treaties and Conventions**

```
African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 1981 28-9, 124, 247, 248, 250,
      898, 947
  Preamble 28
    7th Recital 29
  Art. 2 564
  Art. 3 564
  Art. 4 249, 250
  Art. 13(1) 688
  Art. 14 248, 249
  Art. 15 564
  Art. 16 246, 248, 249
  Art. 18(1) 248, 249
  Art. 20 687
  Art. 20(1) 687, 688
  Art. 21 701, 702, 703
  Art. 22 191, 249
  Art. 24 246
  Art. 31-32 948
  Art. 45(1) 948
  Art. 45(2) 949
  Art. 45(3) 949
  Arts. 47-53 950
  Art. 55 950
  Art. 56 950
  Art. 56(5) 950, 952
  Art. 60 33
  Art. 62 949, 953
  1998 Ouagadougou Protocol 28, 898, 947
    Art. 3 953
    Art. 4 953
```

```
Art. 5 954
    Art. 5(3) 954
    Art. 29(2) 954
    Art. 34(6) 954
  2003 Protocol on Rights of Women 28, 947
African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child 1990 29
African Union Constitutive Act 2002
  Art. 430
American Convention on Human Rights 1969 27, 34, 60, 95, 120, 139, 140, 141,
       197, 391, 527, 553, 555, 610, 611, 622, 624, 660, 661, 921, 924, 925
  Preamble
    para. 3 923
  Art. 1 146, 153, 733
  Art. 1(1) 124, 389, 390, 564, 576, 581, 582, 610, 622, 734, 933, 934
  Art. 2 390, 611, 733, 734
  Art. 3 515, 556
  Art. 4 390, 405, 515, 556, 930
  Art. 5 390, 515, 556
  Art. 6 515, 556
  Art. 7 390, 547, 556, 557
  Art. 7(5) 547
  Art. 7(6) 556, 558, 733
  Art. 8 558, 582, 933, 934, 939
  Art. 8(2) 934, 936
  Art. 8(2)(d) and (e) 933
  Art. 9 515, 556
  Art. 12 515, 556
  Art. 12(3) 344
  Art. 17 515, 556
  Art. 18 39, 515, 556
  Art. 19 515, 556, 610
  Art. 20 515, 556, 610
  Art. 23 515, 556
  Art. 24 564, 576, 610, 622, 623, 933, 934
  Art. 25 556, 582, 734, 939
  Art. 25(1) 556, 558, 733
  Art. 27 513, 514, 545, 547, 551, 559
  Art. 27(1) 514, 546, 556
  Art. 27(2) 345, 556, 557
  Art. 27(3) 558, 559
  Art. 28 135, 136, 137
  Art. 29(a) 941
  Art. 29(d) 923, 925
```

```
Art. 30 290
Art. 33 945
Art. 41 929
Arts. 44-51 925
Art. 44 105, 928, 930
Art. 45 105, 928, 930
Art. 46 930
Art. 46(1) 933, 934, 935
Art. 46(2) 931, 932, 933, 934
Art. 46(2)(b) 935
Art. 48(1) 928
Art. 50 935
Art. 51 935
Art. 53 927
Art. 61 927, 928
Art. 61(1) 928
Art. 61(2) 928
Art. 62 105, 107, 927, 928, 930, 937
Art. 62(1) 106, 927, 928, 938, 939, 940, 945
Art. 62(2) 106, 939
Art. 62(3) 928, 938, 945
Art. 63 927, 928
Art. 63(1) 927, 936, 938
Art. 63(2) 39, 930
Art. 64 927, 928, 929, 930
Art. 64(1) 921, 924, 927, 928, 930
Art. 64(2) 927
Art. 65 940, 945
Art. 67 937, 938, 944
Art. 68(1) 927, 937, 938, 941, 944
Art. 74 104
Art. 75 103, 105
1988 San Salvador Protocol 27, 496, 730
  Art. 1 496
  Art. 8(a) 27, 496
  Art. 13 27, 496
  Art. 19 496
  Art. 19(5) 496
1990 Protocol 27
```

Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal 1945 74
Charter of the Organization of American States 1948 921, 922, 923, 924, 929
Art. 5(j) (now Art. 3(l)) 26

Art. 10 483

```
Art. 112 (now Art. 106) 923, 929
  Art. 150 (now Art. 145) 923, 924
Convention against Corruption 2003 397
Convention against Discrimination in Education 1960 563
  Art. 2 653
Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or
      Punishment 1984 28, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78, 110, 275, 276, 382, 848, 849, 878
  Art. 175, 207
  Art. 277, 81, 82
  Art. 2(1) 75, 123, 835
  Art. 2(2) 276
  Art. 2(3) 75
  Art. 3 194, 196, 197, 207, 208, 262, 268, 276, 277, 279, 840, 845
  Art. 3(1) 276
  Art. 3(2) 207
  Art. 475, 82
  Art. 5(1) 75, 76
  Art. 5(2) 75, 76
  Art. 6 75, 76
  Art. 7 75
  Art. 7(1) 76
  Art. 8(1) 75
  Art. 8(4) 75
  Art. 12 382, 384
  Art. 13 382, 384
  Art. 16 276, 382, 383, 384, 835
  Art. 16(1) 384
  Arts 17-24 276
  Art. 18(2) 37
  Art. 19834
  Art. 22 37, 206, 207, 844
  Art. 22(4) 206, 208
  Art. 22(5)(a) 826
  Optional Protocol 2002 791
Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
       1979 55, 505, 563, 566, 648, 651, 665, 673, 865
  Art. 2(b), (c) and (e) 612
  Art. 4 650
  Art. 4(1) 646, 648, 650, 652
  Art. 4(2) 646
  Art. 7 650
  Art. 8 650
```

Art. 29 764

```
Art. 12 486
  Art. 24 651
  Optional Protocol 833
    Art. 2806, 813
    Art. 4(2)(a) 826
Convention for the Establishment of a European Space Agency 1975 221
  Art. XV(2) 220
  Annex I 220, 221
Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine 1997 22
Convention on the Non-applicability of Statutory Limitations to War Crimes and
      Crimes Against Humanity 1968 19
Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 19, 95,
      97-101, 371
  Art. XI 98
Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations 1946
  Art. VI: section 22 886
Convention for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of
      Personal Data 1981 300
  Preamble 299
  Art. 1 299
Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South
      Pacific Region 1986
  Art. 4(6) 165
Convention relating to the Status of Refugees 1951 144, 145, 263, 268, 583, 584
  Preamble 276
  Art. 3 593
  Art. 5(1)(f) 268
  Art. 32 262, 263, 264, 265, 269
  Art. 33 144, 145, 196, 262, 263, 264, 265, 269, 276
  Art. 33(1) 145
  Art. 33(2) 276
  Protocol 1967 144, 584
Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 20, 24, 29, 55, 129, 138, 166, 486, 551,
      764, 878
  Art. 2 131
  Art. 2(1) 123
  Art. 3(1) 772
  Art. 4 177, 477, 491, 773
  Art. 7 39
  Art. 8 39
  Art. 23 765
  Art. 28 764
```